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## INTRODUCTION:

This report provides comparative dropout rate tables compiled from information submitted on Form CDE 2 by all public schools having students in grades $7-12$ in Colorado. The Definitions and Interpretation of Dropout Rate Calculations are included to provide an explanation of the terms and rates reported.

Tables I through IV provide basis for comparing district rates with state, region, and size category data. Table $V$ provides for comparing school rates with comparable grade levels statewide. Tables VI and VII provide longitudinal statewide data for 1963-64 to 1968-69. In Table VIII you will find comparable data for four years in Selected Title I and Non-Title I districts.
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## DEFINITIONS RETAATED TO THE REPORTING OF DROPOUT STATISTICS

1. MEMBERSHTP ( $M$ ) is defined as the number of pupils belonging to a class or school. A student is counted as a member from the date he presents himself at the school and is placed on the current roll until he permanently leaves the class or school for one of the causes recognized as sufficient by the school system.
2. UNKNOWN (U) is a withdrawal for whom transfer or dropout status is un-
a. Unless there is evidence to the contrary, any withdrawals from 10-12 for whom no transcript is requested by another school should be considered a dropout.
b. Some pupils may withdraw too late in the school year (last month) for the school to receive a request for a transcript. In such cases the school personnel should use their judgment in identifying the pupil as a transfer or a dropout.
3. DROPOUT is a pupil who leaves a school, for any reason except death, before graduation (from grade 12) or completion of a program of studies without transferring to another school.

Some specific interpretations of this definition can serve as guides to consistent use of the definition:
a. Pupils who do not attend any school after the summer vacation are counted as dropouts from the grade they would have entered had they returned to school.
b. Pupils who withdraw after completion of Special Education or specialized training programs that culminate in less than twelve years of education are dropouts.
c. Pupils who are committed to mental or correctional institutions that do not maintain educational programs are dropouts. If the institution does maintain an educational program, the pupil is a transfer.
d. Pupils who have prolonged absence due to health reasons and who are not on the school's membership list at the end of the school year are dropouts.
e. Pupils who transfer to educational programs recognized by the local district are not dropouts. This includes pupils who receive home or hospital instruction under the supervision of the school, those who enroll in private or parochial schools, and those who leave school for early admission to college.
f. Pupils who leave school and enter programs that do not normally lead to a high school diploma are dropouts. This includes pupils who enter private rocational schools or the armed forces.
g. Pupils who receive any form of certificate (such as an attendance certificate) or other formal recognition at the end of the 12th grade level are not dropouts.
h. Pupils who are expelled or excluded from school, and who are not included in the school's membership at the end of the school year, are dropouts.

## INTERPRETATION OF DROPOUT RATE CALCUGATIONS

## GRADE: HOIDING POWER (H)

The grade holding power is the proportion of pupils held in school at one grade level. It can be used to identify the grade levels of highest and lowest proportion of dropout.

## PROJECYTED DROPOUT RATE (PD)

The projected dropout rate indicates the cumulative effect of dropout that occurred in one year. It is comparable to the results of longitudinal dropout studies and also comparable to national statistics which indicate the percentage of pupils who drop out. It answers the question, "What proportion of our pupils would be dropouts under the current holding power conditions?"

A minimum projected dropout rate is calculated by considering all unknown withdrawals as transfers.

A maximum projected dropout rate is calculated by considering all unknown withdrawals as dropouts.

The true dropout rate is between these minimum and maximum rates.
(When no unknowns are reported for grades 10-12; an actual dropout rate for grades 10-12 can be calculated. If any unknowns are reported for grades 10-12, no actual rate will appear on Colorado Department of Education calculation reports.)

## EDUCATIONAL POTENTTAL RATE (E)

Educational potential rate is the percentage of total potential years of education that would be accomplished by pupils from the end of one grade level to the end of some subsequent grade level, under the holding power conditions that exist during one school year.

As an example - one hundred pupils entering a three-year school have a
potential of 300 pupil-years of education. An educational potential rate of 90 percent indicates that 270 of the 300 pupil-years of education would be accomplished under existing holding power conditions.

This rate has its primary use in the evaluation of experimental prom grams designed to increase holding power. If pupils are held in school longer before they drop out, the educational potential rate will change even if the dropout rate does not change.

ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE (A)
The annual dropout rate is the percentage of the total membership of a school or school district that dropped out in one year. This rate is a useful research tool, but it is important to note that it does not indicate the cumulative effect of dropout and it is not comparable to national dropout rate figures.

This rate can be figured for special groups of students, such as "ungraded" and Special Education.

A comprehensive explanation of the calculations included formulas and work sheets for making the calculations is available from the Division of Guidance Services in the publication, "Definitions and Calculations for Dropout Studies."

TABLE I

STATE-WIDE DROPOUT RATES - GRADES 7-12
1968-69

|  | Projected |  | Educational <br> Potential <br> Rate | Mnnual <br> Dropout <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 25.8 | - | 90.3 | 4.6 |
| Female | 20.4 | - | 92.5 | 3.6 |
| Special Ed. | - | - | - | Maximum $\%$ |

TABLE II

NUMBER OF DROPOUTS BY GRADE AND SEX 1968-69

|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Special <br> Ed. | Un- <br> grad- <br> ed | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

TABLE III

## DROPOUT RATE DATA BY SIZE OF DISTRICT 1968-69

A. Number of Students, Dropouts, and Unknowns

|  | Size <br> Category | No. of Students <br> End-of-Year <br> Membershio | No. of <br> Iropouts | No. of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size 1 | $1-99$ | 2,914 | 49 | 3 |
| Size 2 | $100-299$ | 8,077 | 139 | 13 |
| Size 3 | $300-999$ | 23,400 | 781 | 86 |
| Size 4 | $1000-4999$ | 61,281 | 2,178 | 211 |
| Size 5 | 5000 and over | 124,085 | 6,219 | 312 |
| STATE TOTAL |  | 219,757 | 9,366 | 625 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

B. Rate Data

|  | Projected Minimum - \% |  |  | jecte <br> mum $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Educational } \\ & \text { Potential Rate - \% } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Annual Dropout Rat M F |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size 1 | 11.1 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 10.4 | 96.0 | 95.9 | 96.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| Size 2 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Size 3 | 19.5 | 17.6 | 18.7 | 20.3 | 91.6 | 92.3 | 91.8 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| Size 4 | 21.5 | 18.4 | 20.1 | 21.5 | 91.3 | 93.4 | 92.3 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| Size 5 | 30.2 | 22.6 | 26.5 | 27.5 | 89.1 | 91.9 | 90.5 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 4.8 |
| STATE TOTAL | 25.8 | 20.4 | 23.2 | 24.4 | 90.3 | 92.5 | 91.4 | 4.6 | 3.6 | , |

## DROPOUT RATE DATA BY GEOGRAPHIC AREA - GRADES 7-12

 1968-69Area (Counties)

1. NORTHERN
(Boulder, Latimer, Weld)
No. of Students

2. DENVER METROPOLITAN

$$
28,081 \quad 930
$$

25
$20.0 \quad 16.4 \quad 18.3$
18.6
91.8
94.0
92.9 3.
. 2
83.2
(Adams, Arapahoes, Clear
Creek, Denver, Douglas, Gilpin, Jefferson)
3. COLORADO SPRINGS -

PUEBLO METROPOLITAN
(El Paso, Pueblo, Teller)
4. ARKANSAS VALLEY

35,312 1,271
$\begin{array}{llll}15 & 22.1 & 18.1 & 20.2\end{array}$
20.3
91
1.893

592
$2.6 \quad 3$
.
3.1
3.5

6,607 209
$\begin{array}{llll}6 & 20.3 & 14.6 & 17.8\end{array}$
18.2
90.
93.


```
                                3
```

```
                                3
```

```
                                3
```

```
                                3
```

(Baba, Bent, Crowley, Kiowa, Otero, Prowess)
5. SOUTHERN
(Custer, Fremont, Huerfano, Las Animas)
6. SAN LUIS VALIEY
(Alamosa, Conejos, Costilla, Mineral, Rio Grande,Saguache)
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { 7. NORTHEASTERN } \\ \text { (Logan, Morgan, Phillips, } & 7,600 & 138 & 17 & 9.5 & 10.9 & 10.3 & 11.2 & 96.0 & 95.9 & 96.0 & 1.6 & 2.0 & 1.8\end{array}$ Sedgwick, Washington, Yuma)

TABLE IV - Continued

| Area | (Counties) No. of Student |  | No. of Dropouts | No. of Unknowns | Projected Minimum - \% |  |  | Projected Maximum $-\%$ | Educational <br> Potential <br> Rate - \% <br> M <br> F |  |  | AnnualDropout Rate <br> $M$$\quad$F |  | I \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. | EASTERN <br> (Cheyenne, Elbert, Kit <br> Carson, Lincoln) | 2,213 | 27 | 0 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 97.7 | 97.6 | 97.7 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| $9 .$ | SAN JUAN BASIN (Archuleta, Dolores, La Plata, Montezuma) | 4,692 | 150 | 11 | 13.1 | 22.1 | 17.6 | 18.7 | 93.6 | 90.6 | 92.1 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 3.1 |
| ${ }_{c}^{1} 10 .$ | WESTERN <br> (Delta, Gunnison, Hinsdale, <br> Mesa, Montrose, Ouray, <br> San Juan, San Miguel) | $11,532$ | 422 | 51 | 20.8 | 18.7 | 19.8 | 21.6 | 91.8 | 93.0 | 92.4 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| $11 .$ | COLORADO RIVER VALLEY (Chaffee, Eagle,Garfield, Lake, Park, Pitkin, Summit) | 5,158 | 169 | 26 | 21.2 | 15.3 | 18.1 | 20.5 | 91.6 | 92.9 | 92.4 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 3.2 |
| $12 .$ | NORTHNESTERN <br> (Grand,Jackson, Moffat, Rio Blanco, Routt) | 2,865 | 51 | 3 | 11.9 | 9.2 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 95.8 | 97.0 | 96.4 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
|  | TOTALS | 219,757 | 9,366 | 625 | 25.8 | 20.4 | 23.2 | 24.4 | 90.3 | 92.5 | 91.4 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 4.1 |

TABLE V

## COLORADO DROPOUT RATES BY SELECTED GRADE IEVEL COMBINAIIONS 1968-69

Grade
Combinations

## Enrollment Dropouts

Minimum Pro ected
Dropout Rat. - $\%$
Annual
Dropout Rate - \%
Grades 7-8
(M) 40,540
(F) 39,203
(T) 79,743

172
0.8
0.4

106
0.6
0.3
0.7
0.3

Grades 7-9

| (M) 60,350 | 578 | 2.8 | 1.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (F) 58,546 | 379 | 2.0 | 0.6 |
| (I) 118,896 | 957 | 2.4 | 0.8 |

Grades 9-12
(M) 69,807 5,088
25.2
7.3
(F) 67,877 3,730
19.9
5.5
(T) $137,684 \quad 8,818$
22.7
6.4

Grades 10-12 (M) 49,997 4,682
23.7
9.4
(F) $48,534 \quad 3,457$
18.8
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { (T) } 98,531 & 8,139 & 18.8 & 7.1 \\ & 21.5 & 8.3\end{array}$

Grades 7-12 $\quad *(M) 111,711 \quad 5,386$

| 25.8 | 4.6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20.4 | 3.6 |
| 23.2 | 4.1 |

(*) Includes Secondary Level Special Education
Students and Ungraded Secondary Level Students

TABLE VI

COLORADO DROPOUT RATES
1963-64 - 1968-69

| Year | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gra } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hol } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ng pon } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 12 | *Projected Dropout Rate-\% | Educational Potential Rate-\% | Annual <br> Dropout <br> Rate-\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963-64 | .995 | .990 | .970 | . 934 | .927 | . 931 | 23.0 | 90.4 | 4.0 |
| 1964-65 | .995 | .990 | .974 | .934 | . 923 | .935 | 22.7 | 90.6 | 4.0 |
| 1965-66 | .997 | .992 | .978 | . 932 | .922 | . 929 | 22.8 | 90.9 | 4.0 |
| 1966-67 | .997 | .993 | . 980 | .936 | .918 | . 928 | 22.6 | 91.2 | 4.0 |
| 1967-68 | .997 | .995 | .983 | .935 | .917 | . 924 | 22.8 | 91.4 | 4.0 |
| 1968-69 | .998 | .995 | . 983 | .935 | .912 | . 922 . | 23.2 | 91.4 | 4.1 |

*All figures in this table are minimum (unknowns are not included).

TABLE VII

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NUMBER AND PERCENT OF DROPOUTS BY SEX } \\
& \qquad 1963-64-1968-69
\end{aligned}
$$

| Year | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| 1963-64 | 4,574 | 59.2 | 3,149 | 40.8 | 7,723 | 100.0 |
| 1964-65 | 4,778 | 59.3 | 3,275 | 40.7 | 8,053 | 100.0 |
| 1965-66 | 4,879 | 59.5 | 3,324 | 40.5 | 8,203 | 100.0 |
| 1966-67 | 5,005 | 59.7 | 3,385 | 40.3 | 8,390 | 100.0 |
| 1967-68 | 5,099 | 57.7 | 3,741 | 42.3 | 8,840 | 100.0 |
| 1968-69 | 5,386 | 57.5 | 3,980 | 42.5 | 9,366 | 100.0 |

A COMPARISON OF DROPOUT RATES OF TITLE I AND NON-TITLE I HIGH SCHOOLS (10-12) IN SELECTED DISTRICTS AND STATE RATES (10-12) 1965-66 - 1968-69
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Title I } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Non-Title I } \\ \text { (selected) }\end{array} \\ \text { (selected) }\end{array}$ State
PROJECTED DROPOUT RATE (Percent)
(M) 1965-66

| 36.4 | 21.1 | 22.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35.6 | 21.7 | 23.1 |
| 35.0 | 22.1 | 24.0 |
| 38.4 | 26.4 | 23.7 |

(F) 1965-66 1966-67 1967-68
1968-69
(T) 1965-66

1966-67
1967-68
1968-69
27.3
13.1
17.3
$26.6 \quad 12.9 \quad 17.2$
$\begin{array}{lll}26.7 & 16.5 & 18.3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}30.8 & 15.5 & 18.8\end{array}$
$32.0 \quad 17.3 \quad 20.2$
$\begin{array}{lll}31.3 & 17.6 & 20.3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}31.0 & 19.5 & 20.8\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}34.7 & 21.2 & 21.4\end{array}$
EDUCATIONAL POTENTIAL RATE (Percent)
(M) 1965-66 1966-67 $1967=-68$ 1968-69
73.5
74.8
76.4
76.5
81.2
81.8
82.1
80.4
(T) 1965-66

1966-67
1967-68
1968-69
77.2
78.2
79.2
78.3

| 86.5 | 84.1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 86.0 | 83.8 |
| 86.3 | 84.1 |
| 85.1 | 84.2 |

(F) 1965-66

1966-67
1967-68
1968-69
91.6
88.5
88.7
90.0
90.4 $\quad 88.0$
90.0
90.4 $\quad 88.0$

08
$\begin{array}{ll}88.9 & 86.3 \\ 88.9 & 86.4\end{array}$
$88.1 \quad 86.1$
$88.1 \quad 85.8$
ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE
(Percent)
(M) 1965-66

1966-67
1967-68
14.1
13.7
12.6
7.5
8.3

1968-69
12.7
8.4
6.9
9.0
10.0
$4.6 \quad 6.1$
9.8
9.5
10.5
4.5
6.0

1966-67
1967-68
1968-69
12.1
11.8
11.1
11.6
4.9
6.9
(T) 1965-66

1966-67
1967-68
6.1
7.3

1968-69
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