

# 2017-2018 School Health Professional Grant Legislative Report

Submitted to:

The Education Committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives

By:

Sarah Mathew Director, Health and Wellness Unit

Phyllis Reed Assistant Director, Health and Wellness Unit

Kristi Elliott School Professional Grant Program Principal Consultant

June 2019



# **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	3
Introduction	
Program Purpose	4
Grant Goals	4
Program Implementation	4
Description of Program for 2017-18 School Year	
Grant Application Process & Timeline	5
Colorado Map of Cohorts 3 and 4 Grantees	6-7
School Health Professionals Funded	7-12
Cohort 3 - Schools, Districts and Positions Funded	7-9
Cohort 4 - Schools, Districts and Positions Funded	10-12
School Health Professional Grant Implementation	
Student Demographics	13-15
Professional Development & Programs Implemented	15-16
By Grantees	
Grantee Promising Practices	16-17
Next Steps	17
Lessons Learned	18
Conclusion	18
Appendix A: Licensure Definitions	19
Appendix B: Student Demographics by	20-49
School District & Charter	

# **Executive Summary**

The School Health Professional Grant (SHPG) Program was created in 2014 (C.R.S. 22-96-101, et seq.) to increase the presence of school-based health professionals (school nurses, school counselors, school social workers and school psychologists) within secondary schools (grades 7-12).

The purpose of the SHPG is to improve prevention, early intervention, services and programs, in an effort to reduce the risks of marijuana and other substance use by students. For the first two years of the grant, two cohorts each received one year of funding. In 2016, a third cohort was funded for three years and, in 2017, a fourth cohort was funded for three years as a result of the Colorado state legislature approving an additional \$9.2 million in program funding during the 2017 legislative session, which allowed for expanded support to K-12 schools. Cohorts three and four are the focus of this report. Highlights from Cohort 3 and Cohort 4, during the 2017-18 academic year, included:

Cohort 3 Highlights:

- CDE allocated \$2,283,155 in grant funds.
- The grant served 22 districts and charter schools which included 1 elementary school, 24 middle schools, and 29 high schools consisting of a total of 33,236 students.
- Schools funded by the SHPG had a student population with 38 percent free and reduced lunch (FRL) status compared to the state with 42 percent FRL.
- Grant funds were used to hire 45 school health professionals, which include 17 school nurses, 14 school counselors, 11 school social workers, and 3 school psychologists.
- Of the students in the school districts and charter schools served by the grant, 43 percent are middle school students and 57 percent are high school students.
- The student populations in the schools served were 49 percent White, 36 percent Hispanic, six percent Black, and nine percent other. The state's student population was 54 percent White, 34 percent Hispanic, and five percent Black.

Cohort 4 Highlights:

- CDE allocated \$9,123,471 in grant funds.
- The grant served 44 districts and charter schools which included 44 elementary schools, 46 middle schools, and 75 high schools, consisting of a total of 106,689 students.
- Schools funded by the SHPG had a student population with 41 percent free and reduced lunch (FRL) status compared to the state with 42 percent FRL.
- Grant funds were used to hire 148 school health professionals, which include 27 school nurses, 67 school counselors, 39 school social workers and 15 school psychologists.
- Of the students in the school districts and charter schools served by the grant, 17 percent are elementary school students, 19 percent are middle school students, and 64 percent are high school students.
- The student populations in the schools served were 55 percent White, 35 percent Hispanic, and four percent Black. The state's student population was 53 percent White, 34 percent Hispanic, and five percent Black.

The report methodology for this report was acquired utilizing various forms of data collection including, but not limited to, End of Year reports submitted by district and charter program managers, a results-based accountability data collection software—"Scorecard," resources and other information provided to CDE Technical Assistance providers, and CDE's SchoolView data source.



# Introduction

Colorado Senate Bill 14-215 established the School Health Professional Grant (SHPG) Program, (C.R.S. 22-96-101, et seq.). Effective August 14, 2014, this law required the State Board of Education to promulgate rules for the implementation of the program, including: the timeline for submitting applications to the Colorado Department of Education, the form of the grant application, criteria for awarding grants, and any information to be included in the Department's program report.

## Program Purpose

The legislative declaration in S.B.14-215 stated that the legalization of retail marijuana in the state of Colorado may increase the availability of marijuana to underage youth. The law states that, "Marijuana use by minors can have immediate and lasting health implications, and many youth who engage in substance abuse develop or have underlying behavioral health needs. School health professionals are in a unique position to educate, assess, and refer youth who have substance abuse or behavioral health issues." C.R.S. 22-96-101(1)(f).

The SHPG's purpose is to increase the presence of school-based health professionals in Colorado's elementary and secondary schools. The funded school health professionals (counselors, nurses, psychologists, and social workers) are focused on improving prevention, early intervention, services and programs related to marijuana and other substance use.

# Grant Goals

Per Colorado statute, the goals of the SHPG are to:

- Add or initiate school health professionals,
- Implement more evidence-based programs and strategies;
- Implement staff training and professional development;
- Increase resources for school staff on the implementation of evidence-based programming on substance abuse prevention education; and
- Develop screening for early identification practices and referrals for students.

# Program Implementation

Statute allows grantees to use funding for the following:

- Implementation of evidence-based curriculum education to prevent substance use and behavioral health;
- Utilization of substance use and behavioral health screening tools;
- Early identification and intervention for at-risk students with substance misuse and/or behavioral health needs;
- Development of individual counseling opportunities and/or support groups;
- Enhancement of collaborative health partnerships within the community; and/or
- Engagement of families to support student needs.

# Description of Program for 2017-2018 School Year

#### 2017-2018 SCHOOL HEALTH PROFESSIONAL GRANT LEGISLATIVE REPORT

### Grant Application Process & Timeline

Eligible education providers were invited to apply for a portion of the \$9,123,471 through the department's Request for Proposal (RFP). The RFP included a rubric that proposals were measured by based on: 1) needs; 2) a well-designed plan; 3) partnerships; 4) sustainability; and 5) a budget narrative.

The SHPG defined an eligible applicant as:

- A school district (on behalf of one or more secondary schools);
- A Board of Cooperative Services (BOCES); or
- A charter school (authorized by a school district or the Charter School Institute)

Priority was given to applicants that demonstrated high-need based on:

- Information regarding marijuana use and the number of marijuana establishments located within the boundaries of a school district; and
- School climate surrounding availability, prevalence, usage, or attitude of students and community, and increases in disciplinary action related to substance use.

Statute requires grant recipients to report on the number of school health professionals hired using grant moneys and a list and explanation of the services provided using grant moneys.

For the 2017-2018 academic year (AY), 44 grantees were awarded a total of \$9,123,471. The RFP was released March, 2017. Applications were due May 1, 2017.





#### 6

# Colorado Maps of Cohorts 3 and 4 Grantees

The maps below highlight the 53 funded school districts and charters for cohorts three and four (AY 2017-2018).

### Map 1: Cohort 3 funded school districts and charters






#### Map 2: Cohort 4 funded school districts and charters

# School Health Professionals Funded

The tables and charts below list the roles that were hired under the grant. The four roles eligible to be hired under the grant are school nurses, school counselors, school social workers and school psychologists. See Appendix A for details.

#### TABLE 1: Percentages of funded roles by the SHPG in Cohort 3 (2017-2018 Academic Year)

Role	% funded of total
School Nurses	37.8%
School Counselors	31.1%
School Social Workers	24.4%
School Psychologists	6.7%



## CHART 2: Percentages of funded roles by the SHPG in Cohort 3 (AY 2016-19)

# Schools, School Districts & Positions Funded

TABLE 2: SHPG Cohort 3 Grantees and School Health Professionals Funded by Position and number of people hired

The table below identifies the type of School Health Professionals (SHPs) hired in each district to support students in grades seven through 12.

#### 2016-19 Grantees


	Nurse	Counselor	Social Worker	Psych	Total
<u>Districts</u>					
Archuleta	0	1	0	0	1
Boulder Valley	3	1	0	0	4
Canon City	3	1	0	1	5
Center	1	3	0	0	4
Colorado Springs Early Colleges	1	1	0	1	3
Cripple Creek	1	1	1	0	3
Denver Public Schools	0	0	2	0	2


Fort Morgan	0	1	0	0	1
Fountain-Fort Carson	2	0	0	1	3
Hope Online-Douglas County	0	1	0	0	1
Jefferson County	0	1	0	0	1
LaVeta	1	1	0	0	2
Littleton	0	0	1	0	1
Montezuma-Cortez	1	1	0	0	2
CSI - New America Schools Thornton, Lowry, Lakewood	2	0	1	0	3
CSI - New Legacy	0	0	1	0	1
Roaring Fork	0	1	0	0	1
Sierra Grande	1	0	0	0	1
South Routt	0	0	1	0	1
Steamboat Springs	0	0	1	0	1
Summit	0	0	1	0	1
Thompson	1	0	2	0	3
TOTAL	17	14	11	3	45



### TABLE 3: Percentages of funded roles by the SHPG in Cohort 4 (Academic Year 2017-18)

Role	% funded of total
School Nurses	18.2%
School Counselors	45.3%
School Social Workers	26.4%
School Psychologists	10.1%





## Schools, School Districts & Positions Funded

TABLE 5: SHPG Cohort 4 Grantees and School Health Professionals Funded by Position and Number of People Hired

The table below identifies the type of School Health Professionals (SHPs) hired in each district to support students in grades K through 12. Individuals hired may or may not be full-time employees.

#### 2017-20 Grantees

	Nurse	Counselor	Social Worker	Psych	Total
Districts/Charters					
The Classical Academy	0	5	0	0	5
Alamosa	1	2	0	0	3
Archuleta 50 JT	1	2	1	1	5
Canon City RE-1	4	2	0	1	7
Center 26 JT	0	1	0	0	1
Creede	1	0	0	1	2
CSI-Colorado Sp. Early Colleges	0	2	0	0	2
CSI-Ft. Collins	0	0	0	1	1
CSI-Parker	1	0	0	1	2
CSI-Aurora	0	0	0	1	1
CSI-Mountain Middle School	1	1	0	0	2
Delta 50 J	0	1	1	0	2
Vision Charter Academy	0	1	0	0	1
Dolores RE- 4A	1	2	1	0	4
Fountain Fort Carson	0	1	0	2	3
Lake County	1	2	1	1	5
Mountain Valley RE-1	1	1	0	0	2
Montrose County RE-1J	1	2	2	0	5
Pikes Peak BOCES	0	1	1	1	3
Silverton	1	0	1	0	2
South Conejos	1	1	0	0	2




TOTAL	27	67	39	15	148
Valley RE-1	0	1	0	0	1
Steamboat Springs	0	0	1	0	1
South Routt	0	1	0	0	1
Roaring Fork	0	2	0	0	2
Poudre	0	5	0	0	5
Mapleton	0	2	0	0	2
CSI-New America Schools	1	0	0	1	2
Mesa County D51	0	4	4	0	8
Littleton School District 6	0	0	1	0	1
Jefferson County Schools	3	2	4	0	9
Greeley Evans-6	0	0	3	0	3
East Grand RE-2	0	1	1	0	2
Eagle County Schools	0	1	0	0	1
Douglas County RE-1	0	9	0	0	9
DPS-Academy of Urban Learning	1	1	0	0	2
Denver Public Schools	0	3	11	4	18
CSI-New Legacy	0	1	0	0	1
Cherry Creek	0	1	2	0	3
Boulder Valley	4	5	0	0	9
Aspen	0	0	1	0	1
APS-Vanguard Classical	2	0	2	0	4
Woodland Park RE-2	0	1	1	0	2
Swink	1	0	0	0	1

#### 2017-2018 SCHOOL HEALTH PROFESSIONAL GRANT LEGISLATIVE REPORT

### **Student Demographics**


The following graphs outline the student demographic information for the SHPG cohort of students in school districts and charter schools for both the 2016-19 grantees (Cohort 3) and the 2017-20 grantees (Cohort 4). Of the students from schools in districts/charter schools with 2016-19 funding (Cohort 3), 43 percent are middle school students and 57 percent are high school students. Of the students from schools in districts/charter schools students. Of the students from schools in districts/charter schools students. Of the students from schools in districts/charter schools with 2017-20 funding (Cohort 4), 17 percent are elementary, 19 percent are middle school students and 64 percent are high school students. Please see Appendix B for school district and charter school student demographics for each grantee.

### Cohort 3 2016-19



### Chart 4: Percentage of Students in School Districts/Charter Schools by Level

The student populations in funded school districts/charter schools averaged to be 49 percent White, 36 percent Hispanic, and 6 percent Black with non-white, minority students making up the majority of the student population at 51 percent.




### Chart 5 Student Race & Ethnicity




Other student demographic data show that the population of students served by the grant aligns closely with the state as a whole:

- 42 percent of Colorado students have free and/or reduced lunch;
- 14 percent of Colorado students are English language learners; and
- 11 percent of Colorado students are students with disabilities.


#### Cohort 4 2017-20





The student populations in funded school districts/charter schools averaged to be 55 percent White, 35 percent Hispanic, and four percent Black with non-white, minority students making up 46 percent of the student population.

### Chart 7: Student Race & Ethnicity



# Professional Development & Programs Implemented by Grantees

The information below is self-reported from schools and school districts that are funded grantees as a part of the SHPG and provides an overview of the variety of programs provided and services offered through these funds:

### Professional Development Offered to Staff

Substance Abuse Prevention IThrive Program Prescription Drug Abuse Training Life Skills Marijuana Education from RMC Substance Abuse & Coping Skills The Impact of THC on the Developing Brain Youth and Marijuana Toward No Drug Abuse Marijuana Education Initiative Opioid Training	Behavioral Health Screenagers Trauma Informed Education MTSS/PBIS Dare You To Move	Social & Emotional Learning Bullying Prevention Mindfulness Skill Building Passage Works	Suicide Education Youth Mental Health First Aid Behavioral Health Suicide Prevention Sources of Strength Signs of Suicide Self-Harm & Suicide Education Safe2Tell	Referral Training Referral Identification Alternatives to Suspension Health Referral Training Restorative Practices School Climate and Culture Education
--	--	--	--	--



Substance Abuse Prevention Life Skills Toward No Drug Abuse Marijuana Education Initiative	<b>Behavioral Health</b> Teen Assist Think First Teen Intervene Dare You To Move Screenagers/Like	Social & Emotional Learning The Great Body Shop Project Success Second Step The Incredible Years Coping Cat Learning to Breathe	Suicide Education Sources of Strength Signs of Suicide Safe2Tell	Health Screening Tools SBIRT BIMAS2 BESS
---	--	--	---	--

Programs Implemented for Elementary and Secondary Students

# Grantee Promising Practices

The following are summary statements submitted by project managers overseeing the School Professional Grant Program from the identified districts. Excerpts were taken from End of Year Reports, a results-based accountability data collection software that includes narratives—"Scorecard," and other documents and resources provided to CDE. Their stories are a few examples that depict the tireless work and effort put forth by our SHPG grantees.

### **Cañon City Schools**

At Cañon City Schools, our #1 core value states, "We meet the social-emotional needs of all students, putting Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs before Bloom's Taxonomy." To uphold this statement, we have MTSS tiered resupports that include universal, targeted and intensive strategies and programs. One of our most amazing universal approaches is that every student receives 45 minutes per week of direct School Health Professional instruction. Integrated Curriculum Support includes, but is not limited to, Life Skills Training, 3Rs - Rights, Respect & Responsibility, and Random Acts of Kindness.

### **Roaring Fork School District**

With a continuum of care plan that encompasses collaboration and sustainability, Roaring Fork School District embraces direct services to students. We offer a sustainable curriculum in grades 6-8 with wrap-around and support services to all students and families. Our work links, informs, and transforms services in our community. We have a focus set on practices and relationships that will live far beyond this grant funding.

We have put a lot of effort in training and collaboration with staff and families. Some of this is done through small group education as we support MTSS to improve culture and climate. Restorative practices are implemented at all tiers. One of our most popular programs involves Mindfulness Mondays.

### **Denver Public Schools**

As the largest funded district, at DPS, our goal was that all 18 SHPs were hired and placed in 25 schools was implemented during 2017-18 AY. Furthermore, we completed onboarding and extensive staff training. SHP staff (as well as other staff in schools) were trained on the following: Teen Intervene, MEI, Sources of Strength, Life Skills, Coping Cat, Learning to Breathe, and the BESS Universal screener.

#### 2017-2018 SCHOOL HEALTH PROFESSIONAL GRANT LEGISLATIVE REPORT

At DPS, we have grown to provide student empowerment groups, we implemented prevention education in classrooms, and we created opportunities for strength and hope with some of the most high needs students by being that trusted adult in a child's life. As we build strength and resiliency, and promote protective factors, we created connections that reached over 9,000 students. Our drug use data improved and our student reported data indicated a reduction in negative emotions and behaviors.

### **Montrose County School District**

For two decades, the ratio of school social workers to students has been 0:6,100 as we haven't employed social work staff in the district. This grant allowed us to lower that number to 1:3,050 and we continue to see an increased need for more social workers as this has been an incredibly helpful resource. Furthermore, prior to the grant, two staff district RN's were employed for 6,100 students. The recommended ratio of school nurses to students is 1:750. This grant allowed us to hire one additional RN. This staff has implemented various curricula (i.e., evidence-based marijuana curriculum district-wide tailored to grade level, prescription drug safety for K-2nd grade, nicotine cessation programming, etc.).

The SHPG Team has taken on the challenge of spreading the word about the dangers of vaping. The 2017 Healthy Kids Colorado survey data showed that of the 37 states which participated, Colorado had the highest rate of teen vaping. Within the state of Colorado, Region 10, which includes Montrose, had the highest rate of teen vaping. The Team created multiple presentations about the dangers of vaping, designed specifically for various populations: students, parents, school staff, community members, and government/agency organizations.

# Next Steps

During 2018-2019, districts have been able to more specifically measure outcome data with the additional technical support from CDE staff and training of a new evaluation tool ("Scorecard") that will be utilized for midyear and end-of-year reporting. The following performance measures have been considered to determine shortterm and long-term outcomes for SHPG:

- Student Outcomes:
  - Decrease suspensions/expulsion rates;
  - Decrease discipline referrals; and
  - Decrease behavioral health referrals
- School and District Outcomes:
  - Number of school health professional grantees hired;
  - Role of the school health professional (nurse, counselor, social worker, school psychologist); and
  - Programs implemented (evidence-based and promising practices)
- Number of staff trained
- Number of students served by the school health professional(s)

Due to an increase in funding beginning in FY2017, the SHPG expanded from 22 grantees to 54 grantees. This additional funding, allowed education providers to be eligible to apply for opportunities to enhance the presence of school health professionals in their elementary, middle, junior high, or high schools.

The capacity of staff at the state level increased, which allowed additional technical support, site visits, and professional development at the SHPG bi-annual conferences, trainings and workshops.



### Lessons Learned

Through annual written reports and anecdotal information from grantees, CDE staff have captured the following barriers and challenges encountered by SHPG recipients:

- Difficulty in being able to hire enough qualified professionals, especially in rural districts;
- Creating sustainable community partnerships;
- Increasing family involvement;
- Continuation of funding for the districts and charters; and
- Ensuring that SHPG grant goals align with goals of schools and districts

### Conclusion

The grant recipients in AY 2014-15 (Cohort 1) and AY 2015-2016 (Cohort 2) were the first cohorts of the School Health Professional Grant and these participating districts and charter schools began assessing the needs of their secondary schools and planning for implementation of evidence-based programs.

Cohort 3 grantees were then funded the beginning of the 2016 academic year for a three year grant period, which concludes June 30, 2019. Twenty-two districts have been funded in this cohort and have hired 42.5 School Health Professionals who work in 61 secondary schools across Colorado. These School Health Professionals were able to begin providing professional development and staff training on evidence-based programs for the prevention of substance abuse and behavioral healthcare services. Additionally, this allowed for an increase in direct services provided to students. In total, \$2,195,492 was allocated to grantees.

Cohort 4 received funding for a three year grant period, beginning in July 2017. With this cohort, funding increased by an additional \$9,123,471. This allowed grant dollars to be distributed to 44 districts and charter schools throughout the state and 148 School Health Professionals were hired to support work in grades K-12. These expanded funds have allowed grantees to provide professional development and staff training on evidence-based programs to more schools. Additionally, this has allowed for an increase in direct services provided to students.

As the staff capacity in the Health & Wellness office at CDE increased during the fall of 2017, the reporting of the SHPG has become more detailed. A new outcome measurement tool ("Scorecard") is being used by grantees, as of fall 2018. This tool will be used to enhance mid-year and end-of year reporting for all grantees that will identify additional outcome indicators and specific performance measures, such as:

- Percentage of students in each grade level receiving prevention education;
- Percentage of student behavioral referrals related to substance misuse;
- Number of other grant programs in place to support student needs;
- Number of family and/or community outreach opportunities;
- Percentage of decrease in perception/use of substances and risky behavior and unhealthy choices; and
- Percentage of students indicating the presence of a trusted adult.

## Appendix A: Licensure Definitions (per statute)

#### **School Counselor:**

- Has held a Colorado Professional Special Services License in school counseling for a minimum of five years; has demonstrated professional growth through continuing education, professional leadership experiences and exceptional program development;
- Has demonstrated commitment to the school counseling professional through professional organization involvement, supervision and training of other school counselors, publication of professional materials and presentations at professional conferences; and
- Has demonstrated active community involvement, development of effective parent partnership programs and promotion of cooperation with other professional educators.

#### School Nurse:

- Has completed additional preparation in the following areas: advanced practice in nursing; specialties in school health-related fields; additional certification in nursing administration, vocational education or other certifications applicable to school nursing;
- Has demonstrated professional leadership experiences and exceptional program development;
- Has had active participation in school nurse professional organizations; and
- Has participated in teaching, research and/or publishing to further the specialty of school nursing.

#### School Psychologist:

- Has demonstrated commitment to the profession of school psychology through active involvement and leadership in local, state or national school psychology organizations;
- Has mentored school psychologists with an initial license and supervised school psychology interns;
- Has contributed to school and district program development;
- Has produced professional publications and presentations; and
- Has received recognition by peers for outstanding performance.


### School Social Worker:

- Has demonstrated leadership in state school social work organizations;
- Has actively participated in leadership roles in national social work organizations and other community and human service organizations;
- Holds advanced credentials in the field (e.g., doctorate in social work, school social work specialist credential, diplomate in clinical social work, etc.);
- Has demonstrated outstanding skill in service to schools and children, such as the creation of innovative and successful programs and services to meet the needs of students and mentoring and supervising school social workers and other school professionals; and
- Has received recognition by peers for outstanding performance.




# Appendix B: Student Demographics of Funded Districts and Charters

## Academy District 20



### <u>Alamosa</u>


DISTRICT ALAMOSA RE-11J (0100)



20


### Archuleta 50JT

DISTRICT ARCHULETA COUNTY 50 JT (0220)



#### <u>Aspen</u>

DISTRICT ASPEN 1 (2640)




### Select Grade Level

21

# **Boulder Valley**




DISTRICT BOULDER VALLEY RE 2 (0480)



# **Calhan RJ-1 PP BOCES**


DISTRICT CALHAN RJ-1 (0970)



Select Grade Level


### **Cañon City Schools**

DISTRICT CANON CITY RE-1 (1140)



### **Center Consolidated**


DISTRICT CENTER 26 JT (2810)






DISTRICT

CHERRY CREEK 5 (0130)




Select Grade Level



### **Colorado Early Colleges**


SCHOOL CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE (8001): COLORADO SPRINGS EARLY COLLEGES (1795)



### Creede

DISTRICT


CREEDE SCHOOL DISTRICT (2010)



## Cripple Creek-Victor RE-1

DISTRICT CRIPPLE CREEK-VICTOR RE-1 (3010)

CRIPPLE CREEK-VICTOR RE-1 (3010)




# <u>Delta 50J</u>




DISTRICT

DELTA COUNTY 50(J) (0870)




### **Delta 50J (Vision Charter Academy)**

SCHOOL DELTA COUNTY 50(J) (0870): VISION CHARTER ACADEMY (2166)



#### **Denver County**


DISTRICT DENVER COUNTY 1 (0880)



#### **Denver Public Schools--Academy of Urban Learning**

#### SCHOOL

DENVER COUNTY 1 (0880): ACADEMY OF URBAN LEARNING (0067)




NEP, LEP, FEP Monitored Year 1, FEP Monitored Year 2, FEP Exited Year 1,

### **Dolores**




DISTRICT DOLORES RE-4A (2055)



## **Douglas County**

DISTRICT DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 (0900)




# Select Disaggregation Category

American Indian Or Alaska Native


Notes: Enrollment counts are based on K-12 pupil membership information reported in the October Count submission for the designated year. English Learner status for years prior to 2017-18 includes students reported as NEP, LEP, FEP, or FELL. For 2017-18 and forward, English Learners include NEP, LEP, FEP Monitored Year 1, FEP Monitored Year 2, FEP Exited Year 1,





### East Grand

DISTRICT EAST GRAND 2 (1350)




# 29




30

DISTRICT FOUNTAIN 8 (1000)




# Edison 54 JT PP BOCES

DISTRICT EDISON 54 JT (1120)




### Elbert 200 PP BOCES

DISTRICT ELBERT 200 (0950)



## Ellicott 22 PP BOCES

DISTRICT ELLICOTT 22 (1050)




English Learner status for years prior to 2017-18 includes students reported as NEP, LEP, FEP, or FELL. For 2017-18 and forward, English Learners include NEP, LEP, FEP Monitored Year 1, FEP Monitored Year 2, FEP Exited Year 1,

**Greeley-Evans 6** 




32

DISTRICT GREELEY 6 (3120)



### Hanover 28 PP BOCES

DISTRICT HANOVER 28 (1070)



# Select Grade Level


**Hope Online Elementary** 

#### 2017-2018 SCHOOL HEALTH PROFESSIONAL GRANT LEGISLATIVE REPORT




SCHOOL

SCHOOL DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 (0900): HOPE ONLINE LEARNING ACADEMY ELEMENTARY (3863)



### **Hope Online Middle School**




DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 (0900): HOPE ONLINE LEARNING ACADEMY MIDDLE SCHOOL (3847)

# **Hope Online High School**




SCHOOL DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 (0900): HOPE ONLINE LEARNING ACADEMY HIGH SCHOOL (3995)




## **Jefferson County**

DISTRICT JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1 (1420)




### Lake County





# LaVeta RE-2

DISTRICT LA VETA RE-2 (1400)




35

# Littleton




36

DISTRICT LITTLETON 6 (0140)




# **Mapleton**

DISTRICT MAPLETON 1 (0010)




# Select Grade Level Total Enrollment




### Miami-Yoder 60JT PP BOCES

DISTRICT MIAMI/YODER 60 JT (1130)






SCHOOL MONTEZUMA-CORTEZ RE-1 (2035): SOUTHWEST OPEN CHARTER SCHOOL (8133)



### **Montrose County**

DISTRICT


MONTROSE COUNTY RE-1J (2180)



# Select Grade Level

**Morgan County** 






#### **Mountain Middle School**

SCHOOL

CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE (8001): MOUNTAIN MIDDLE SCHOOL (5453)




39

**Mountain Valley RE1** 



DISTRICT


MOUNTAIN VALLEY RE 1 (2790)



#### **New America - Lowry**

SCHOOL


CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE (8001): NEW AMERICA SCHOOL - LOWRY (6219)



#### 2017-2018 SCHOOL HEALTH PROFESSIONAL GRANT LEGISLATIVE REPORT




SCHOOL ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS (0020): NEW AMERICA SCHOOL - THORNTON (4699)



#### New Legacy


SCHOOL CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE (8001): NEW LEGACY CHARTER SCHOOL (6266)



### **Peyton 23 JT PPBOCES**




DISTRICT PEYTON 23 JT (1060)



### Poudre

DISTRICT POUDRE R-1 (1550)






Notes: Enrollment counts are based on K-12 pupil membership information English Learner status for years prior to 2017-18 includes students reported as NEP, LEP, FEP, or FELL. For 2017-18 and forward, English Learners include


42





### Sierra Grande

DISTRICT SIERRA GRANDE R-30 (0740)




#### 43

#### **Silverton**




DISTRICT






### South Conejos



South Routt RE-3






### **Steamboat Springs RE-2**

DISTRICT

STEAMBOAT SPRINGS RE-2 (2770)




#### 45


### **Summit**




DISTRICT SUMMIT RE-1 (3000)




### Swink





### Valley RE-1

DISTRICT VALLEY RE-1 (1828)




### 47



SCHOOL


ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J (0180): VANGUARD CLASSICAL SCHOOL - EAST (9189)



### Vanguard Classical West


SCHOOL

ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J (0180): VANGUARD CLASSICAL SCHOOL - WEST (9056)



## Woodland Park





49