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## Executive Summary and Highlights

The purpose of this document is to provide data, trends, and informative reports that support fact-based strategic decision-making. The following summary is a listing of "highlights" that have been gleaned from the body of this document by the Office of Enrollment Management and Institutional Research. Users of this document should not limit themselves to relying solely on the below listing for useful information about the College and its environment, but rather, should review the detailed data to draw their own conclusions.

Beside each bulleted highlight is a reference to the source of information. Each source/report is included in this document and listed alphabetically in the Table of Contents.

If you have any comments or suggestions concerning this document, feedback is always welcome.

Respectfully submitted, Lin Claussen, Director
Enrollment Mgmt \& Institutional Research

Strengths:

- ACC reached an all-time high of 12,638 in unduplicated headcount for 02-03. (Ref: ACC Report Card; Headcount, Unduplicated chart)
- ACC's ethnic-minority student make up (percentage) exceeds the service area percentage by almost 6\%. (Ref: ACC Report Card, ACC Ethnic-Minority rate and Age Trends)
- ACC grew $8.6 \%$ in reportable FTE for 02-03, the highest FTE in the past four years. (Ref: ACC Report Card)
$\odot \quad$ The Fall-to-Spring Retention rates increased to $61 \%$ for 02-03 - the highest rate over the past five years. (Ref: ACC Report Card)
- The Jumpstart Program (first semester early intervention program) continues to prove successful with a Fall to Spring retention rate of $73 \%$. (Ref: J umpstart Retention Rates)
- Financial Aid Applicants have increased by 21\% and Financial Aid Award Amounts have increased by 33\% for 02-03 compared to the previous year. (Ref: ACC Report Card)
- Our High School Conversion rate, defined as \# of recent HS graduates from service area high schools enrolled fall semester at ACC, increased to 7\% for the HS class of 02 compared to $6 \%$ for HS Class 01. (Ref: High School Conversion Rates chart).


## Weaknesses:

- The high school co-enroll population has declined by 38\% over the past two years. (Ref: ACC Report Card)
- ACC's Fall-to-Fall retention rate for both ethnic-minority and overall population did not meet CCCS benchmarks (ACC rates $=47-48 \%$, benchmark $=55+\%$ ). (Ref: ACC Report Card - Cohort based)
- Although our ethnic-minority graduation rates meet CCCS benchmarks, our overall graduation rates did not make benchmark (ACC grad rate $=20.3 \%$, benchmark $=21 \%$ ). (Ref: ACC Report Card - Cohort based)
- The Applicant Yield Rate (\# of applicants who subsequently enrolled) has declined from 65.3\% in FY98-99 to 56.3\% in FY02-03. (Ref: ACC Report Card; Applicant Yield Rate chart).

Informational:

- Over the last two years our proportion of full-time students has increased and average age has slightly decreased; in addition, our HS conversion rate has slightly increased which indicates an increase in our "traditional" student population. (Ref: ACC Report Card)
- Our non-resident FTE has declined by $50 \%$ over the last 5 years while the resident FTE (state reimbursable) FTE has increased by $17 \%$ over the same period. (Ref: ACC Report Card)
- Age trends over the next 10 years will affect two broad age groups: age group 15 to 29 and age group 50-74. Therefore, a two-pronged approach to strategic planning is recommended - preparing for an increasingly large group of "traditional age" students and an increasingly large group of older community members. (Ref: ACC Ethnic-Minority Rate and Age Trends Report; Age Trend Analysis)
- Based on Fall 2003 numbers, $66 \%$ of our students are pursuing a certificate or higher; $28 \%$ of our students come to ACC already possessing a certificate or higher; $48 \%$ of our students are employed full-time, $61 \%$ of our students are female. (Ref: ACC General Demographics).
- Based on Fall 02-03 data and analysis, 284 or $28 \%$ of the new students who had placements scores and enrolled were considered "doubly-deficient" (did not meet the cut score in two or more placement areas). "Doubly-deficient" students performed below students who were not doubly deficient indicating that ACC is justified in pursuing more intrusive measures, such as mandated placement, orientations, and/or academic success courses, to help this population succeed. (Ref: Doubly Deficient Data)
- Site/Mode comparison highlights (Ref: FTE By Site And Mode-of-Delivery) Overall Comparison between FY02-03 and the previous year: Hybrid course enrollments increased by 225\%, web-based enrollments increased by $37 \%$, Clinics have increased by $215 \%$, Main campus FTE increased by $11 \%$, Art \& Design Center increased 10\%, Triad increased 15\%, UCC increased 46\%, CCConline increased 46\%, and ACConline increased by 33\%. Independent Studies increased by 621\%/ Noncountable FTE increased by $51 \%$. The Colorado Computer Center decreased in FTE by 70\%. Telecourse decreased by 31\%. Computer labs, Flex, and self-paced have decreased by 13\%, 16\% and 37\% respectively.

Summer 02-03: Hybrid jumped from 5 FTE to 32.6 FTE (552\% increase); Telecourse decreased by 14\%; Web-based FTE went up 45\% ; Main campus FTE went up 9\%; Art \& Design Center went up 6\%; Colorado Computer Center went down 83\%; Triad went down 24\%; UCC went down 39\%; CCConline courses increased by $34 \%$.

Fall 02-03: Hybrid courses increased by 82\%, web-based courses increased by 47\%, FTE at Triad increased by 29\%, FTE at UCC increased by 45\%, CCConline FTE increased by $51 \%$.

Spring 02-03: Triad FTE increased by 10\%, UCC FTE increased by $76 \%$, CCConline continued its growth with a $49 \%$ increase, ACConline increased by $18 \%$, an explosive growth in hybrid of $341 \%$, telecourse decreased by 44\%, computer labs and flex decreased by 44\% and 16\% respectively.

- Of the 01-02 vocational graduates who responded to the follow-up Graduate Employment Status Survey, 66\% were employed in a job related to their ACC training and $93 \%$ agreed that the ACC program met their educational goals. (Ref: ACC report card; Graduate Employment Status Survey).
- Of the 01-02 employers who responded to the Graduate Employment Status Survey, 89\% rated employees who graduated from ACC at Good or Excellent Overall Competency. Employers ranked student's 'written communication skills' as the lowest student ability and 'Ability to work with others' was ranked as highest student ability. (ref: Graduate Employment Status Survey Employer Responses)
- The 02-03 Market Penetration Rate for credit courses $=2.29 \%$ (of those 18 years old and above) with the highest headcount from the Highlands Ranch area. The 02-03 Market Penetration Rate for non-credit courses $=1.18 \%$ (of those 6 years old and above) with the highest headcount also from Highlands Ranch. (ref: Market Penetration Rate chart)
- The In-semester persistence rate for traditional-delivery courses $=81.5 \%$. Using $81.5 \%$ as a benchmark, Hybrid did quite well with an $80.5 \%$ persistence rate. Flex, Telecourse, Individualized computer lab and self-paced courses had the lowest persistence rates in the 60th percentile range. ACConline courses out-perform CCConline courses with persistence rates of $76 \%$ and $74.1 \%$ respectively; in addition, CCConline had an astounding $52 \%$ drop for refund rate compared to the next highest of $38 \%$ in coop courses. (ref: Insemester Persistence and Attrition Rates charts)
- Based on an analysis of Fall 2002 registrants, students who registered late for courses were not found to perform significantly poorer than students who registered on-time. (Ref: Successful Retention and Registration Time Analysis)
- Of the students surveyed, 43\% prefer evening courses, $34 \%$ prefer morning, $11 \%$ prefer afternoons and 1\% prefer weekends. (Ref: Student Satisfaction Survey results)
- Of the students surveyed, the most common ways they heard about ACC was via the mailed class schedule, a teacher or counselor, a friend or other student. (Ref: Student Satisfaction Survey results)
- Of the students surveyed, 80\% rate their ACC experience as good or very good. (Ref: Student Satisfaction Survey results)
- ACC grew by 8.6\% in FY02-03 FTE which ranked it 6th of 13 in growth among Colorado Community Colleges. (Ref: CCHE Final Annualized FTE report found in Environmental Scan section)
- The ACC Service Area, as of the 2000 census, has a lower proportion of 18-24 year olds than compared to Colorado and the United States, and a higher proportion of ages between 35-54. This difference may go toward explaining why ACC may not always be in sync with other area community colleges in enrollment trends. (Ref: Age/Sex/Income Demographics in Environmental Scan section)
- ACC Service area is comparatively very affluent with greater means to afford higher education. (Ref: Age/Sex/Income Demographics and Household Income in the Environmental Scan section)
- The ACC Service area is highly educated, which, when combined with income and age demographics, supports the theory that the ACC service area "traditional" college age students may tend to go to "traditional" universities. In addition, with $21 \%$ of ACC's student population holding an Associate degree or higher, we can conclude that ACC continues to serve a population that values continued education and the upgrading of knowledge \& skills. (Ref: Educational Attainment in the Environmental Scan section; ACC General Demographics)
- ACC may have growth opportunities by creating $2+2$ transfer programs in Systems Analysis and Computer Engineering which have a high projected growth rate through 2008 and, for which it appears, none of our sister community colleges offer programs in this area. (Ref: Top 50 Occupations in the Environmental Scan section)
- Even with the recent downturn in ClS enrollments, the need for Computer Support Specialists is projected to have profound growth through 2008, followed by Registered Nurses and Paralegals. (Ref: Top Jobs Requiring an Associate's Degree) The need for Word Processors \& Typists is expected to decrease through 2008. (Ref: The 30 Most Declining Occupations)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ACC } \\
& \text { Report } \\
& \text { Card }
\end{aligned}
$$



| Agency Benchmark Benchmark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reported to NFO. SOURCE |  |  |  | 98.99 | 99.00 | 0001 | 01.02 | 20.13 | Benchmark | Source | me? |  |
| Annualized FTE | Ins.stelestate. subsidizat |  | final F FT $\mathrm{report} \mid$ to CCHE | 3832 | 3814.8 | 3799.7 | 40977 | 4500.23 | nla |  |  |  |
|  | Oulvisistal for subsidiza) | CCHE | final FTE report to CCHE | 375.7 | 34.9 | 291.4 | 238.35 | 187.59 | na |  |  |  |
|  | TOTAL "Reporddele" Ammalied FIE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intemal } \\ & \text { Info. } \end{aligned}$ | Intemal Calc | 4007.7 | 4139.7 | 409.1 | 4318.2 | 4687.82 | na |  |  |  |
|  | Ygrowic compared toprevious year RReporade FTE) |  |  |  | (-1.6.6) | (-1.2\%) | 5.60\% | 8.00\% | 10.7\% | System <br> College <br> average | No |  |
|  | flagged as non: <br> countade not <br> reported toCME <br> (excidues SCT) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Internal } \\ \text { infó: } \end{gathered}$ | Nodes.ite <br> summary <br> converide to <br> amalized | 13 | 98 | 70 | 35 | 53 | nla |  |  |  |
|  | Non countrable asa <br> Yoof countrale + <br> non-countade) not <br> induluding 1 CTI |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \| } \mathrm{les} \text { than } \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | 2.3\% | 1.7\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { lessthan } \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | 1.1\% | nla |  |  |  |
| Annual Toad "countrable" <br> Creelis His Res and Nonres) |  | CCHE | final 1 FT Ereport <br> to CCHE | 126,331.7 | 124,100.2 | 122,727.5 | 129,50, | 140,634.8. | nla |  |  |  |
| Annual "non-countadele" credits including NCTI |  | CCHE | final FTETreport to CCHE |  |  |  |  | 4,841.0 | nla |  |  |  |
| Prospect Yied a ate |  |  |  | Under constuction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicart Yiedr ate | Appicarst \|0deled arocss Semss |  | applentifex <br> M.P. | 12,412 | 14,404 | 13,226 | 12,785 | 13,07 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | applentlifex <br> M.P. | 8,101 | 8135 | 8111 | 7375 | 771 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \#onewapplicants whoencoledel/ of new apiliants |  |  | 65\% | 57\% | 60\% | 50\% | 50\%\% |  |  |  |  |




## The followingdata are colorthased

 Commission of Higher Education for QS (Quality Indiciao Report).

|  |  | Agency <br> reported to | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Info. } \\ & \text { Source } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1996 \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1999 \\ & \text { cohort } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1998 \\ & \text { cohort } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1999 \\ & \text { cohort } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2000 \\ \text { cohort } \end{array}$ | Berchmark | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Benchmark } \\ & \text { Source } \end{aligned}$ | Benchmark met? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohots | \#o students in <br> cohort | supplied by CCHE | Coloot Grad Rates. Qis | 355 | 295 | 295 | 285 | 241 | na |  |  |
|  | \#in Ninority Cohoot | supplied by CCHE | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { cohotgradal } \\ \text { rates-Q1S } \end{gathered}\right.$ | 61 | 46 | 42 | 44 | 30 | Na |  |  |
|  | \#from cohort who graduated ort tansferered in 3.25 years or less | supplied by <br> CCHE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cohot } \\ \text { Grad Rates. } \\ \text { QSS } \end{gathered}$ | 91 | 46 | 60 |  |  | Na |  |  |
|  | Percentiof <br> graduates <br> (graduation <br> rate) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intemal } \\ & \text { Cal } \end{aligned}$ | 25.6\% | 15.6\% | 20.3\% |  |  | 21\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { setby CCCS } \\ \text { viaQQS } \end{gathered}$ | No |
| Cohort <br> Graduation Rates | cohort\# who <br> didnot <br> graduate | supplied by <br> CCHE | Coloot Grad Rates. QIS | 264 | 249 | 235 |  |  | na |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { minority cohort } \\ & \text { \#who } \\ & \text { graduated in } \\ & 3.25 \text { years or } \\ & \text { less } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | supplied by CCHE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colot } \\ \text { Grad Rates. } \\ \text { QiS } \end{gathered}$ | 14 |  | 8 |  |  | na |  |  |
|  | Minority Cohort <br> graduation rate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inemal } \\ & \text { Cal } \end{aligned}$ | 23.0\% | 2.2\% | 19.0\% |  |  | 12.9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { setby CCCS } \\ \text { viaQQS } \end{gathered}$ | Yes |


|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agency } \\ \text { reported to } \end{gathered}$ | Info. <br> Source | $\begin{gathered} 1996 \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1997 \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1998 \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1999 \\ \text { cohort } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2000 \\ & \text { cohort } \end{aligned}$ | Benchmark | Benchmark <br> Source | Benchmark met? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort <br> Retention <br> Rates | Retained or graduated at ACC one year atter entry | $\begin{gathered} \text { provided by } \\ \text { CCHE } \end{gathered}$ | Cohort <br> Success <br> Rates, QIS |  |  | 135 | 154 | 116 | na |  |  |
|  | ACC Retention Rate |  | Internal <br> Calc |  |  | 45.8\% | 54.0\% | 48.1\% | 55.10\% | set by CCCS vialS | No |
|  | Transferred | provided by <br> CCHE | Cohort <br> Success <br> Rates, QIS |  |  | 37 | 25 | 29 | na |  |  |
|  | Overall Cum <br> Retention Rate <br> (includes <br> transfers) | $\begin{gathered} \text { provided by } \\ \text { CCHE } \end{gathered}$ | Cohort <br> Success <br> Rates, QIS |  |  | 58.3\% | 62.8\% | 60.2\% | 64.1\% | set by CCCS via QIS | No |
|  | Not graduated nor retained | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { provided by } \\ \text { CCHE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cohort } \\ \text { Success } \\ \text { Rates, QIS } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 123 | 106 | 96 | na |  |  |
|  | Minority student <br> graduate or <br> retained at ACC <br> one year atter entry |  |  |  |  | 17 | 24 | 14 | na |  |  |
|  | ACC Minority Retention Rate |  |  |  |  | 40.5\% | 54.5\% | 46.7\% | 55.6\% | set by CCCS via QIS | No |
|  | Minority Transfer |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 2 | na |  |  |
|  | Overall Cum <br> Minority <br> Retention Rate |  |  |  |  | 50.0\% | 65.9\% | 53.3\% | 67.2\% | set by CCCS <br> via QIS | No |
|  | Minorities Not graduated nor retained |  |  |  |  | 21 | 15 | 14 | na |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Detailed Reports

## ACC Ethnic-Minority Student Rates and Age Trends

## ACC Minority Student Rates

Historically, ACC Environmental Scan data have been pulled from census data for a tricounty area: Arapahoe, Douglas, and Jefferson (figure 1).


Figure 1
However, ACC's service area as defined in statute (C.R.S. 23-60-201) is not limited to nor encompasses the entire tri-county area (see figure 2). The 2001 ACC Environmental Scan Report (ESR) reaches conclusions about ACC's future student make-up based on the tricounty data. In an effort to affirm or refute the ethnic-minority rate data provided in the ESR, Ms. Margaret Puryear, Office of Institutional Research, used new census bureau techniques to pull ethnic-minority information for ACC's true service area as outlined below (figure 2).


Figure 2
The resulting difference was significant.

Does ACC serve its service-area ethnic-minority residents? Based on the tri-county area, ACC fell short in service to both the Black and Hispanic populations. (see Tables $1 \& 2$ ).

Table 1

| Three County Totals |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| US Census 2000 | Percent |
| Asian | $3.0 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $3.7 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $10.0 \%$ |
| American Indian | $0.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 . 4 \%}$ |

Table 2

| Arapahoe Community College |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| ACC Students year 2001-2002 | Percent |
| Asian Students | $4.0 \%$ |
| Black or African American Students | $2.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino Students | $8.0 \%$ |
| American Indian Students | $1.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0 \%}$ |

The percent of minorities in the three-county area totaled $17.4 \%$ as shown in Table 1. Pulling the data for ACC's true service area we see that the actual percent of minority residents totals $10.1 \%$ (Table 3). Taking the service-area data and comparing with ACC minority student rates (Tables 3 \& 4); we can conclude that, in fact, ACC has served more ethnic-minority students in each category than are indicated by the service area population data.

Table 3

| Service Area for Arapahoe Community <br> College |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| US Census 2000 | Percent |
| Asian | $2.2 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $1.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $6.4 \%$ |
| American Indian | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1 \%}$ |

Table 4

| Arapahoe Community College |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| ACC Students year 2001-2002 | Percent |
| Asian Students | $4.0 \%$ |
| Black or African American Students | $2.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino Students | $8.0 \%$ |
| American Indian Students | $1.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0 \%}$ |

## Age Trends

The 2001 Environmental Scan Report stated: "we can predict that over the next ten years or so the populations for Arapahoe, Douglas, and Jefferson Counties reaching the ages of 60 and over will be far greater than the portions of the population who reach the ages of 20 or more."

Should ACC shift focus from "traditional" age students to providing more academic opportunities and services for students age $\mathbf{6 0}$ and over? Dr. Suzanne Larsh, Office of Institutional Research, performed a separate age analysis based on population data from 2003 and projected for 2013 collected from the Colorado Department of Local Affairs. Since age data and projections are provided by county only, the tri-county area was used for this analysis. In summary, the tri-county area will experience an overall population increase by the year 2013. This increase affects two broad age groups that may be considered potential ACC student population pools. The first group aged 15 to 29 will increase proportionally by a modest $1.91 \%$, but this increase corresponds with a quantitative increase of 71,796 people. The second group, aged 50 to 74 , will increase by 44,062 , a proportional increase of $6.45 \%$. While this older group's proportional increase is greater, the quantitative increase is comparatively less than the increase in the younger age group.
Therefore, a two-pronged approach to strategic planning based on this population trend analysis is apparent, prepare to provide courses for an increasingly large group of "traditional age" prospective students, and provide courses and services for the group of older community members that will be proportionally larger than currently experienced in the tri-county region.

ACC General Demographics based on Fall 2003 Enrollments

## 03F: 7884 Enrolled Students

| Degree Goal at |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| ACC |  |
| AA, AS, AGS | 2098 |
| AAS | 2354 |
| Certificate | 719 |
| Unknown | 2713 |
|  | 7884 |



| Ethnic Origin |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Asian, Pac Island | 288 |
| Black, Non-His | 184 |
| Hispanic | 640 |
| Indian/Native | 97 |
| White, Non-His | 6233 |
| Other | 442 |
|  | 7884 |



| Education |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Completed |  |
| Associate Deg | 485 |
| Bachelor | 1156 |
| Certificate | 525 |
| Masters/Doctoral | 25 |
| High School | 4478 |
| Not specified | 1215 |
|  | 7884 |



| Gender |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Females | 4862 |
| Males | 2979 |
| Unknown | 43 |
|  | 7884 |



| County |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Arapahoe | 2838 |
| Denver | 1104 |
| Douglas | 1813 |
| Jefferson | 1419 |
| Out-of-State | 79 |
| Other | 631 |
|  | 7884 |


|  | County | ■Arapahoe - Denver ■ Douglas - Jefferson - Out-of-State - Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Applicant Yield Rate

## Compiled by Margaret Puryear, Office of Institutional Research

Percentage of students who applied and subsequently enrolled.

|  | $98-99$ | $99-00$ | $00-01$ | $01-02$ | $02-03$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer | 63.6 | 48.8 | 52.9 | 52.0 | 47.8 |
| Fall | 68.5 | 59.1 | 61.7 | 60.0 | 61.0 |
| Spring | 62.4 | 59.1 | 61.3 | 58.4 | 56.7 |



Raw Data:

| Date | Semester | Applied | Enrolled | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jun-98 | 99 m | 2613 | 1663 | $63.6 \%$ |
| Aug-98 | $99 f$ | 5265 | 3608 | $68.5 \%$ |
| Jan-99 | 99 s | 4534 | 2830 | $62.4 \%$ |
| FY99 Total |  | 12412 | 8101 | $65.3 \%$ |
| Jun-99 | 00 m | 3487 | 1686 | $48.4 \%$ |
| Aug-09 | $00 f$ | 5984 | 3534 | $59.1 \%$ |
| Jan-00 | 00 s | 4933 | 2915 | $59.1 \%$ |
| FY00 Total |  | 14404 | 8135 | $56.5 \%$ |
| Jun-00 | 01 m | 3126 | 1653 | $52.9 \%$ |
| Aug-00 | $01 f$ | 5913 | 3647 | $61.7 \%$ |
| Jan-00 | 01 s | 4587 | 2811 | $61.3 \%$ |
| FY01 Total |  | 13626 | 8111 | $59.5 \%$ |
| Jun-02 | 02 m | 2850 | 1483 | $52.0 \%$ |
| Aug-02 | $02 f$ | 5414 | 3251 | $60.0 \%$ |
| Jan-02 | 02 s | 4521 | 2641 | $58.4 \%$ |
| FY02 Total |  | 12785 | 7375 | $57.7 \%$ |
| Jun-02 | 03 m | 34666 | 1658 | $47.8 \%$ |
| Aug-02 | $03 f$ | 5776 | 3525 | $61.0 \%$ |
| Jan-03 | $03 S$ | 4565 | 2588 | $56.7 \%$ |
| FY03 Total |  | 13807 | 7771 | $56.3 \%$ |




| The following numbers for Degrees SCertificates awarded are based on ACC Data. Numbers will not always match CCHE information. |  | \# of awards | \# of awards | \# of awards | \# of awards | \# of awards | \# of awards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Degree | Major | 97.98 | 98.99 | 99.00 | 00.01 | 01.02 | 02.03 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | ACCOUNTING | 13 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 9 | 10 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | ADMINISTRATIUE ASSISTANT | 7 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLED SCIENCE | ARCHTECTURAL TECHNOLOGY | 5 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 3 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | AUTOMOTVE SERVIIE TECH-ASEP | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | AUTOMOTIVE SERIVCE TECH-ATEC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | AUTOMOTIVE SERVICE TECHNOLOGY | 15 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 6 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLED SCIENCE | BUSINESS ADMINSTRATION | 13 | 8 | 6 | 14 | 16 | 21 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY | 4 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLED SCIENCE | COMPUTER INFORMATION SYSTEMS | 13 | 10 | 17 | 21 | 10 | 9 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | COMPUTER NETWORK TECHNOLOGY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLED SCIENCE | CONVERGENT TECHNOLOGIES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | CRIMINAL JUSTICE | 11 | 20 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 3 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLED SCIENCE | ELECTRONCS ENGINEERNG TECHNOLOGY | 7 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLED SCIENCE | ENVIRONMENTAL \& WASTE MGMT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLED SCIENCE | ENVIRONMENTAL \& SAFETY TECH | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | FINANCIAL SERVICES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | GRAPHIC DESIGN \& ILLUSTRATION | 13 | 18 | 14 | 11 | 17 | 12 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | 4 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLED SCIENCE | TRAVEL \& HOSPITALTYYGMT | 4 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | INTERIOR DESIGN | 47 | 39 | 37 | 34 | 37 | 31 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | INVESTMENTS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | LEGAL SECRETARY | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | MANAGEMENT | 11 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | MARKETNG | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ASSOCIATE OF APPLIED SCIENCE | MECHANCAL DESIGN \& DRAFTING TECH | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  | Hotunds | \#of anaras | \#otamads |  |  | \#0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MECCAL LABORATORY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASSOCAITEOFPPPIEDSCEICE | TECHOOOGY | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| ASSOCAITEOFAPDLEDSCEICE | WORTURY SCEECE | 1 | 19 | 10 | 21 | 13 | 30 |
|  | NEECALOFFCOCE TCHHOLOOY daa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASSCCAIEOF APPIESCCEICE | 100) | , | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| ASSOCAIEOFAPPIEDSCEICE | NRSSNG | 68 | 69 | 14 | 46 | 41 | 40 |
| ASSOCAIEOF APPIEDSCEICE | OCCUPATOMAL THERAYY ASST | 18 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| ASSOCAIEOFAPPIEDSCEICE | PRRAEGGL | 19 | 21 | 10 | 17 | 21 | 23 |
| ASSOCAIEOFAPPIEDSCEICE | PARMIEOC | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ASSOCAIEOFAPDIEDSCEICE | PHYSCLL L HeRAPST ASSSTAIT | 22 | 31 | 31 | 20 | 15 | 10 |
| ASSOCAITEOFPPILEDSCEICE | PNTORN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 18 | 14 |
| ASSOCAITEOFPPILEDSCEICE | TRAVELUTOURSW WAMGGEVEVT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| ASSOCAIEOF ARTS |  | 119 | 124 | 8 | 105 | 70 | 65 |
| ASSOCAITEOGEEEERALSUDES | BROOOAADO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 |
| ASSOCAITEOGEEEERALSUDES | EIVMEEERNG TECHIOOOGES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ASSOCAITEOGEEEERALSUDES |  | 50 | 61 | 61 | ${ }^{66}$ | 46 | 31 |
| ASSOCAIEOFSCEICE |  | 28 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 22 |
|  | AOMNSSTRATVESUPPORT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CEETIFCAIE | SpeCallist | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| CEETIFCAIE | ACTVTY PROEESSOOLL TRAMM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | ARCHITCCUPALCONTRACTS\& |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CEETIFCAIE | MATERALS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| CEETIFCAIE | ARCHTECTURLLDAPFTMG | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| CEETIFCAIE | AUTOOOTVVESESVMCE MGITI | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Certifatie | AuTOOOTVESERYCE ECHHOOOGY | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Certicatie | BulINM Materals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CERTIFCAIE | BOOKKEEPMG ALD OFFCE | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| CERTFCAIE | BROOBAAD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 102 | 0 |
|  | BROOOBAD TECHICCL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CERTIFCAIE | MaMGEEMEVT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
| CERTIFCAIE | Broorbalo ogtalumageveri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CERTIFCATE | BROAOBAO TEEEPHOYY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |


| The following numbers for Degres Certificates avarded are based on ACC Data. Numbers will not aways match CCHE information |  | \#ot ivaras | \#ot awads | \#otavars | \#otavads \#f | \#ot axads | \#otavards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CERTIFCATE | CISCO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| CERTFCCATE | CLINCALASSSTATAT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| CERTIFCATE | C.N. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 40 | 37 | 78 | 27 | 1 | 0 |
| CERTIFCATE | COMMUNCATONTECHMOLOGY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| CERTIFCATE | COMPUTERADEEDRAFTING | 2 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 8 |
| CERTIFCATE | COMPUTER GRPPHCS | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 14 | 10 |
| CERTIFCATE | COUPUTTER PROGRAMMNG | 0 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| CERTIFCATE | COMPUTER TECHMCAN | 12 | 20 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 8 |
| CERTIFCATE | CONTEMPORARY MANGEEVENT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 8 |
| CERTIFCATE | CONSTRUCTIO MAMGGEMENT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CERTIFCATE | EARYY CHILDHOODPROFESSONS DR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 8 |
|  | EARLY CHILDHOODPROEESSONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CERTIFCATE | GROUP | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 5 |
| CERTIFCATE | ELECTRONCS ASSEMBLER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | EEECTRONCS ENGNEERNG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CERTIFCATE | TECHOLOGY | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| CERTIFCATE | EUNEREECYY MEDCAL TECHMCAN | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| CERTIFCATE | FREACADEMY | 48 | 82 | 42 | 0 | 58 | 26 |
| CERTIFCATE | FINACACAL SERVCES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| CERTIFCATE | FILGOT ATEEDANT | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CERTIFCATE | GRAPHC DESSON \& LLUSTRATION | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| CERTIFCATE | HZZAROUS MATERALLSTECH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| CERTIFCATE | HUWA SERVCE TCCHCCAN | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CERTIFCATE | HUMAN NESOURCES WMALGEVEVNT |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 5 |
|  | HUMAN RESOURCES WANGGEVEVT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certicate | SPEC | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| CERTIFCATE | ICO.O.CMCPT COOING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 9 | 1 |
| CERTIFCATE | NTERORARCHTECTUR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CERTIFCATE | INLLTRADESPCCALIST | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CERTIFCATE | INESTMENTS | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| CERTIFCCTE | LAN ENFORCEUEVT ACADEMY |  | 94 | 60 | 71 | 68 | 75 |
| CERTFCCATE | MEECCALAOMINASST | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 |


| Theitlongy minesifor <br>  <br>  <br> mada COEL inomadion. |  | \#ovarad | Edamald | Fdamad | Fomand | Homad | Itanats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cerifiche | MRPreIIIG | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ceriforit |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CERTPGIE | EIPOUES | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| CERTCOLE | IECHMCCLDPFFITC | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| CERTFCOIE |  | 10 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 4 |
| CERTFCOIE |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cerifiche | \|emproperem IS | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| CEPIPCOTE |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 6 |
| Cerifiche | PRREEGUL | 13 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 11 | 15 |
| CERTCOCAE |  | 10 | 6 | 5 | 14 | $?$ | 19 |
| Ceriche | PheBodir | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 18 |
| CERIFCOE | PPOEET M M M PEEEET | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CEPIPGIE | Cubiolill | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| CERTECOTE | EITRPPIEPIPYM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cerifiche |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| CERTICAE |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| CERTFCHE | SUPEMSON | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ela\|exill | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Traveilureilleulicif | 3 | j | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| CERTICOLE | TRMELADOORSSII | $\lambda$ | 19 | I | , | 11 | 9 |
| CERTCOLE |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 | 3 |
| Ceriforit |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10\%H. |  | 88 | 88 | 14 | 78 | 84 | 811 |

## Doubly Deficient Report <br> Office of Institutional Research <br> Compiled by Margaret Puryear

Purpose: To determine if ACC would be justified in mandating course placement and/or Academic Success courses for students who score below cut scores (a.k.a. below college level) in two or more of the test modules: Reading, Writing, Arithmetic. The following questions were asked:

1. How many new enrolled students who took or submitted placement scores did not meet the cut score (college level) for two or more of the placement areas? Answer: as highlighted in the below table, 284 or $28 \%$ of the new students who tested and enrolled are considered "doubly-deficient".

Total Hrs. Enrolled / Total Hrs. Earned /
Count GPA Enrolled Student Earned Student

| New Students That Tested | 1382 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| New Students That Tested and Enrolled | 1011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Students who are DOUBLE DEF 03F | 284 | 1.91 | 2800 | 9.86 | 1848 | 6.51 |
| New Students NOT DOUBLE DEF 03F | 727 | 2.31 | 6963 | 9.58 | 5106 | 7.02 |

2. For the doubly-deficient students found in \#1, what are the average credit hours attempted, average credit hours earned and average semester GPA compared to all other new students who tested but were not doubly deficient? Answer: As shown in the above table and below charts, students who tested doublydeficient performed below students who were not doubly-deficient.


3. Separate the doubly-deficient students into "those students who took the recommended remedial courses" versus "those who did not". How do the two groups compare? Answer: As shown in the below tables and charts, the results were mixed.

Of the Doubly Deficient - 236 Needed Remedial English

| Took recommended english | 138 | 1.79 | 1447 | 10.49 | 914 | 6.62 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Did not take recommended english | 98 | 1.98 | 853 | 8.70 | 576 | 5.88 |
| Took other than recommended english | 3 | 1.65 | 40 | 13.33 | 23 | 7.67 |

Of the Doubly Deficient - 259 Needed Remedial Reading

| Took recommended reading | 123 | 1.93 | 1328 | 10.80 | 934 | 7.59 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Did not take recommended reading | 136 | 1.81 | 1228 | 9.03 | 759 | 5.58 |
| Of the Doubly Deficient - 148 Needed Remedial Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Took recommended math | 62 | 2.05 | 729 | 11.76 | 532 | 8.58 |
| Did not take recommended math | 86 | 2.18 | 770 | 8.95 | 532 | 6.19 |
| All enrolled 03F math tested low | 394 | 2.23 | 3922 | 9.95 | 2746 | 6.97 |



Conclusion by Lin Claussen: looking at the overall comparisons, ACC has initial data that demonstrates, as expected, that students who test doubly-deficient perform at a lower level than students who are not doubly-deficient; therefore, mandating placement and/or academic success courses has some justification. However, further analysis of the above data to determine significance and correlations should be done to develop a clearer picture of student performance. This will be included in Enrollment Management goals for 03-04.

 up 6\%; Colorado Computer Center went down 83\%; Triad went down 24\%; UCC went down 39\%; CCConline courses increased by $34 \%$.

| Summer 02-03 (03M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \%change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACC Main | Art \& Design | Colo.Computer |  |  |  | Misc. off-site | 03M | 02M | from |
| MODEv SITE> | Campus (AC) | Center (AD) | Center (CO) | Triad (TR) | UCC (UC) | CCC Online (CC) | Iocation (S1) | TOTALS | TOTALS | 22M to 03M |
| Traditional (blank) | 534.63 | 88.3 | 4.86 | 18.08 | 9.42 |  | 4.18 | 659.47 | 678.7 | .3\% |
| Accelerated (AC) | 45.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45.97 | 0 | 0\% |
| Additional 3rd paty costs (C5) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 109.45 | 109.45 | 87.45 | 25\% |
| Computer Lab (CL) | 32.3 |  |  | 3.04 | 2.2 |  |  | 37.54 | 112.35 | .67\% |
| Clinic (CN) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.49 | 7.49 | 14 | .47\% |
| Cooplintership (CP) | 5.47 |  |  | 0.33 |  |  | 27.97 | 33.77 | 4.89 | 591\% |
| Flex and open registration (FL) | 25.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25.94 | 27.36 | .5\% |
| Hybrid (HY) | 26.1 | 3.8 |  |  |  |  | 2.7 | 32.6 | 5 | 552\% |
| Individualized Computer Lab (IC) | 6.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.44 | 0 | 0\% |
| Independent Study (IS) | 4.52 | 0.54 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.06 | 0 | 0\% |
| Private Lessons( (PL) | 1.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.56 | 0.2 | 680\% |
| Prior Learning (PR) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0 | 0\% |
| Self-paced (SP) | 25.61 | 2.4 |  | 2.79 |  |  | 0.66 | 31.46 | 28.82 | 9\% |
| Telecourse (TL) | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.6 | 11.2 | . $14 \%$ |
| Web based (WW) | 95.63 | 12 | 0.13 | 0.4 |  | 80.74 |  | 188.9 | 130.34 | 45\% |
| Totals: | 813.77 | 107.04 | 4.99 | 24.64 | 11.62 | 80.74 | 152.52 | 1195.32 |  | \#DIVIV! |
| 02M Totals: | 748.35 | 100.76 | 29.03 | 32.27 | 18.95 | 60.06 | 110.89 |  | 1100.31 |  |
| \% Change from O2M to 03M: | 9\% | 6\% | .83\% | .24\% | .39\% | 34\% | 38\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NCTI (NC) - not induded in above | numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  | 757.62 | 953 | .21\% |
| Other non-countable FTE (are inc | luded in above | numbers) |  |  |  |  |  | 12.22 | 12.93 | .5\% |
| Summer 01.02 (02M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ACC Main | At \& Design | Colo.Computer |  |  |  | Misc. off-site | 02M |  |  |
| MODEv SITE> | Campus (AC) | Center (AD) | Center ( CO ) | Triad (TR) | UCC (UC) | CCC Online (CC) | Iocaion (S1) | TOTALS |  |  |
| Traditional (blank) | 505.48 | 92.36 | 25.74 | 28.1 | 16.75 |  | 10.27 | 678.7 |  |  |
| Additional 3rd party cosit (C5) |  |  | 1.6 |  |  |  | 85.85 | 87.45 |  |  |
| Computer Lab (CL) | 108.33 |  |  | 1.82 | 2.2 |  |  | 112.35 |  |  |
| Clinic (CN) | 1.05 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.95 | 14 |  |  |
| Cooplintership ( P ) | 4.68 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.21 | 4.89 |  |  |
| Flex and open registration (FL) | 27.36 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.36 |  |  |
| Hybrid (HY) | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| Private Lessons (PL) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Prior Learning (PR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Self-paced (SP) | 25.41 | 0.4 |  | 2.35 |  |  | 0.66 | 28.82 |  |  |
| Telecourse (TL) | 11.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.2 |  |  |
| Web based (WW) | 59.84 | 8 | 1.69 |  |  | 60.06 | 0.75 | 130.34 |  |  |
| Totals: | 748.35 | 100.76 | 29.03 | 32.27 | 18.95 | 60.06 | 110.89 | 1100.31 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NCTI (NC) - not induded in above numbers |  |  |  |  | 953 |  |  | 953 |  |  |
| Other non-countable FTE (are included in above numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.93 |  |  |



| Spring to Spring Site/Mode Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The below FTE numbers include BOTH countable and non-countable FTE EXCEPT NCTI. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highlights: 1) A $10 \%$ increase at Triad. 2) A $76 \%$ increase at UCC. 3) CCConline continues its growth with a $49 \%$ increase. 4) ACConline $=284.94$ compared to 241.2 last year which is an $18 \%$ increase. 5) An explosive growth in Hybrid of $341 \%$. 6) Self-paced has almost doubled. 7) Telecourses have decreased by $44 \%$. 8) a $44 \%$ decrease in computer labs and a $16 \%$ decrease in flex courses. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MODEv SITE> | ACC Main Campus (AC) | Colo.Comput EmployeeDev |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UCC } \\ & \text { (UC) } \end{aligned}$ | CCConline <br> (CC) | Misc Off- <br> site (S1) | TOTALS | TOTALS 025 | \% change from |
|  |  | Art \& Design Center (AD) | Colo. Comput er Center (CO) | . Acad. Crd <br> (EC) | Enhanced <br> Labs (ED) | Schools( HS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spring 02-03(03S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 03S |  |  |
| Traditional (blank) | 2244.26 | 401.06 | 9.46 | 0.13 |  | 5.87 | 64.93 | 61.86 |  | 139.67 | 2927.24 | 2827.51 | 4\% |
| Accelerated (AC) | 163.2 | 3.6 |  |  |  |  | 38.6 | 6.2 |  |  | 211.6 | 170.72 | 24\% |
| HS contract-taught at HS (C2) |  |  |  |  |  | 6.53 |  |  |  |  | 6.53 | 3.7 | 76\% |
| Additional 3rd party costs (C5) |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 | 1.6 | 38\% |
| Computer Lab (CL) | 101.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.13 | 6.27 |  |  | 114.6 | 205.43 | -44\% |
| Clinic (CN) | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.67 | 19.47 | 10.34 | 88\% |
| Coop/Intershhip (CP) | 8.47 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 26 | 35.47 | 27.76 | 28\% |
| Flex and open registration (FL | 33.47 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.13 | 0.13 |  | 1.33 | 35.06 | 41.57 | -16\% |
| Hybrid (HY) | 137.47 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 | 24.67 |  |  | 164.34 | 37.29 | 341\% |
| Individualized Computer Lab (IC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5.46 | -100\% |
| Independent Study (IS) | 11.27 | 2.07 |  |  |  |  | 1.73 |  |  | 16.03 | 31.1 | 6.46 | 381\% |
| Other (OT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1.56 | -100\% |
| Private Lessons (PL) | 14.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.4 | 17.07 | 10.99 | 55\% |
| Prior Learning (PR) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.07 | 3.07 | 5.27 | -42\% |
| Self-paced (SP) |  | 64.07 |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |  |  | 65.27 | 33.5 | 95\% |
| Telecourse (TL) | 27.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.4 | 48.6 | -44\% |
| Web based (WW) | 284.94 | 15.8 | 0.53 |  |  |  | 5.33 |  | 164.93 |  | 471.53 | 369.77 | 28\% |
| O3S Totals: | 3034.15 | 486.6 | 12.19 | 0.13 | 0 | 12.4 | 122.25 | 99.13 | 164.93 | 200.17 | 4131.95 | 3807.53 | 9\% |
| O2S Totals: | 2796.15 | 424.41 | 20.07 | 9.8 | 110.32 | 5.73 | 110.91 | 56.26 | 110.71 | 163.17 | 3807.53 |  |  |
| \% Change from 025 to 03S: | 9\% | 15\% | .39\% | .99\% | -100\% | 116\% | 10\% | 76\% | 49\% | 23\% | 9\% |  |  |
| NCTI (NC) - not included in a | above numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1374.4 |  |  | 1374.4 | 1343.95 | 2\% |
| Other non-countable FTE |  |  |  |  | (are included | in above num | mbers) |  |  |  | 50.86 | 53.25 | -4\% |
| Spring 01-02 (02S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 02 S |  |  |
| MODEv SITE> | Campus (AC) | Center (AD) | Center (CO) | (EC) | Labs (ED) | School (HS T | riad (TR) | JCC (UC) | (CC) | location (S1 | TOTALS |  |  |
| Traditional (blank) | 2032.89 | 412.91 |  | 9.8 | 110.32 | 2.8 | 65.18 | 44.06 | 1.26 | 148.29 | 2827.51 |  |  |
| Accelerated (AC) | 125.35 |  | 14.7 |  |  |  | 29.27 |  |  | 1.4 | 170.72 |  |  |
| HS contract-taught at HS (C2) | 0.77 |  |  |  |  | 2.93 |  |  |  |  | 3.7 |  |  |
| Additional 3rd party costs (C5) |  |  | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.6 |  |  |
| Computer Lab (CL) | 186.24 |  |  |  |  |  | 8.39 | 10.8 |  |  | 205.43 |  |  |
| Clinic (CN) | 7.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.43 | 10.34 |  |  |
| Coop/Internship (CP) | 26.89 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.87 |  |  |  | 27.76 |  |  |
| Flex and open registration (FL | 41.57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.57 |  |  |
| Hybrid (HY) | 35.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.4 |  |  | 37.29 |  |  |
| Individualized Computer Lab ( | 5.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.46 |  |  |
| Independent Study (IS) | 5.58 | 0.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.46 |  |  |
| Other (OT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.56 | 1.56 |  |  |
| Private Lessons (PL) | 10.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.07 | 10.99 |  |  |
| Prior Learning (PR) |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.87 | 5.27 |  |  |
| Self-paced (SP) | 26.88 | 0.42 |  |  |  |  | 6.2 |  |  |  | 33.5 |  |  |
| Telecourse (TL) | 48.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48.6 |  |  |
| Web based (WW) | 241.2 | 9.8 | 3.77 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 109.45 | 4.55 | 369.77 |  |  |
| TOTALS: | 2796.15 | 424.41 | 20.07 | 9.8 | 110.32 | 5.73 | 110.91 | 56.26 | 110.71 | 163.17 | 3807.53 |  |  |
| NCTI (NC) - not included in above numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1343.95 |  |  | 1343.95 |  |  |
| Other non-countable FTE |  |  |  |  | (are included in above numbers) |  |  |  |  |  | 53.25 |  |  |

## GRADUATE EMPLOYMENT STATUS SURVEY 2001-2002 <br> Arapahoe Community College -- Career Center

Responses compiled by Institutional Research are highlighted in red. We at Arapahoe Community College are interested in continually evaluating and improving our services to both the students we train and the employers we serve. Since you are one of our 2001-2002 graduates, we would very much appreciate it if you would take a few minutes to complete the following survey, and return it to us in the enclosed postage-paid envelope at your earliest convenience. All your information will remain confidential; it will be combined with information from other graduates in your program to provide a valuable overview of employment in your career field.

As always, the Career Center staff is available to assist you with any questions or concerns related to your career or job search. Just give us a call at 303-797-5805 if we can help -- and again, thank you for your assistance with the survey.

From list of 647 students, 339 students responded. Percentages that follow are based on the 339 respondents.
$181(53 \%)$ earned a degree
$151(45 \%)$ earned a certificate
$7(2 \%)$ did not respond

1. Which of the following best describes your current situation? Are you...

Employed full-time $215(63 \%) \quad$ part-time $29(9 \%)$ in a job related to your ACC training
$\square$ Employed full-time 49 (14\%) part-time 12 (4\%) in a job not related to your ACC training
$\square$ Unemployed, looking for a job 21 (6\%)
$\square$ Unemployed, not looking for a job 15 (4\%)
Numbers do not total to 339 ; some people are probably some combination of responses; the question does not allow for a clear separation of responses...

## 2. If you are employed, please complete the following:

Your Employer $\qquad$ Supervisor $\qquad$ Street Address

City, State, Zip Code
Your Job Title

$$
\text { Full-time } \bar{\square} \text { Part-time }
$$

Your Salary (before taxes) $\quad \square$ Hourly $\square$ Monthly
Average hourly wage: $\$ 18.06$ Std. Dev.: $\$ 6.24$ Mode: $\$ 19.23$
Minimum: $\$ 5.40$ Maximum: $\$ 50.00$

# Have you been continuously employed since graduating from ACC? <br> $\square \quad$ Yes $265(78 \%) \quad \square \quad$ No $63(19 \%)$ No response: 11 (3\%) <br> (You can mark "Yes" if you have had more than one job, or if you were employed before you graduated) 

3. Are you continuing your education at this time?
$\square$ Yes 125 (37\%) $\square$ No 209 (62\%) No response: 4 (1\%)
4. Are you active duty military? $\square$ Yes 5 (1\%) $\quad \square$ No 323 (99\%) $\square$ Full-Time $3 \square$ Part-Time 4 (Not sure of response rate for this question; wording of Yes/No/FT/PT choices confusing....)
5. Would you say that the skills and education you received at ACC helped you to get a job, or helped you in your current job?
$\square$ Yes 277 (82\%)
$\square \quad$ No 52 ( $15 \%$ )
No response: 10 (3\%)
(Double barreled question; we can't be sure what these responses really mean....)
6. Would you say that your ACC program met your educational goals (was it what you expected?)
$\square$ Yes 315 (93\%)
$\square \quad$ No 16 (5\%)
No response: 8 (2\%)
(And again... we can't be sure what these responses really mean....)
7. To continue evaluations of our educational programs, may we contact your employer regarding your training for the job?
$\square$ Ye
164 (48\%)
$\square \quad$ No 169 (50\%)
No response: 6 (2\%)

Your Signature $\qquad$

| VE 135 FY02 (1) Student Majors | N |
| :---: | :---: |
| ACCOUNTING | 7 |
| ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT | 1 |
| ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT |  |
| SPECIALIST | 3 |
| ARCHITECTURAL CONTRACTS \& |  |
| MATERIALS | 1 |
| ARCHITECTURAL DRAFTING | 2 |
| ARCHITECTURAL TECH | 3 |
| BROADBAND TECHNICAL MGMT | 49 |
| BUSINESS | 1 |
| BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION | 8 |
| CISCO | 2 |
| CLINICAL ASSISTANT | 1 |
| COMMUNICATION TECH | 4 |
| COMPUTER AIDED DRAFTING | 3 |
| COMPUTER GRAPHICS | 6 |
| COMPUTER INFORMATION |  |
| SYSTEMS | 6 |
| COMPUTER NETWORK TECH | 2 |
| COMPUTER PROGRAMMING | 3 |
| COMPUTER TECHNICIAN | 5 |
| CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION | 2 |
| CONTEMPORARY MGMT | 6 |
| CRIMINAL JUSTICE | 5 |
| EARLY CHILDHOOD |  |
| PROFESSIONS | 4 |
| ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING | 2 |

TECHEMERGENCY MEDICALTECHNICIAN1
FIRE ACADEMY ..... 13
GRAPHIC DESIGN \&
ILLUSTRATION ..... 11
HEALTH INFORMATION TECH ..... 6
HUMAN RESOURCES MGMT ..... 5
ICD-9-CM/CPT CODING ..... 5
INTERIOR DESIGN ..... 29
LAW ENFORCEMENT ACADEMY ..... 26
MEDICAL LABORATORY TECH ..... 1
MICROCOMPUTER SPECIALIST ..... 5
MORTUARY SCIENCE ..... 8
NURSING ..... 33
OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY ASST ..... 3
ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR ..... 2
PARALEGAL ..... 24
PHARMACY TECHNICIAN ..... 2
PHLEBOTOMY ..... 7
PHYSICAL THERAPIST
ASSISTANT ..... 8
PN TO RN ..... 9
SUPERVISION ..... 6
TRAVEL \& TOURISM MGMT ..... 3
TRAVEL AND TOURISM ..... 6
TOTAL ..... 339

## VE $1355 F Y 2$ (|)

EMPLOVEERESPONSES Please ade your employee (1 though 5 with 5 Ferpeserting the highestibest aling)


| Ranked Averages: | Wirten Communicaion | 4.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dovesitiotigeses) | Techical Lieracy | 4.14 |
|  | ProbemSoling | 4.16 |
|  | Oral Communcaion | 4.17 |
|  | Overal Compelercy | 4.22 |
|  | Processionalism | 4.25 |
|  | Abiliyto wok with onters | 4.44 |

Academic Head Year count $87.88 \quad 10989$ $88 \cdot 89 \quad 11363$ $89.00 \quad 12030$
$90-91 \quad 12449$
$91-92 \quad 12403$
92.9312428
$93.94 \quad 12381$
$94.95 \quad 12342$
$95.96 \quad 12362$
$96.97 \quad 12141$
97.9812208
98.9912549
99.0012547
$00.01 \quad 12532$
$01.02 \quad 12199$
02.0312638


Jumpstart Retention Rates
Office of Institutional Research
Compiled by Margaret Puryear

No shading indicates Original Cohort numbers
Shading indicates in-semester numbers

| Jumpstart | 03F | \# | \% | 03S | $\#$ | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Cohort |  | 560 | $100 \%$ |  | 560 | $100 \%$ |
| Original Cohort Success Rate GPA => 2.0 |  | 367 | $66 \%$ |  | 279 | $50 \%$ |
| Enrolled for Semester |  | 560 | $100 \%$ |  | 407 | $73 \%$ |
| Number with Semester GPA <2.0 |  | 193 | $34 \%$ |  | 128 | $31 \%$ |
| Semester Success rate GPA =>2 |  | 367 | $66 \%$ |  | 279 | $69 \%$ |
| Average Semester GPA |  | 2.21 |  |  | 2.30 |  |

Fall to Spg GPA improved by .09 or $4 \%$.
Jumpstart: Full or part time, new student, entry term and enroll term = 0xM/0xF,Report Flag RT25C=J

| "Standard" DEGREE SEEKING | 03F | $\#$ | $\%$ | 03S | \# | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Original Cohort |  | 1272 | $100 \%$ |  | 1272 | $100 \%$ |
| Original Cohort Success Rate GPA=> 2.0 |  | 907 | $71 \%$ |  | 498 | $39 \%$ |
| Enrolled for Semester |  | 1272 | $100 \%$ |  | 694 | $55 \%$ |
| Number with Semester GPA < 2.0 |  | 365 | $29 \%$ |  | 196 | $28 \%$ |
| Semester Success rate GPA =>2 |  | 907 | $71 \%$ |  | 498 | $72 \%$ |
| Average Semester GPA |  | 2.47 |  |  | 2.46 |  |

Fall to Spg GPA declined by .01 pt .
Standard: Full or part time, new student, entry term and enroll term $=0 \times \mathrm{M} / 0 \mathrm{xF}$, degree seeking

| "Standard" DEGREE NOT CONSIDERED | 03F | $\#$ | $\%$ | 03S | \# | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Original Cohort |  | 1565 | $100 \%$ |  | 1565 | $100 \%$ |
| Original Cohort Success Rate GPA=> 2.0 |  | 1083 | $69 \%$ |  | 612 | $39 \%$ |
| Enrolled for Semester |  | 1565 | $100 \%$ |  | 867 | $55 \%$ |
| Number with Semester GPA <2.0 |  | 482 | $31 \%$ |  | 255 | $29 \%$ |
| Semester Success rate GPA =>2 |  | 1083 | $69 \%$ |  | 612 | $71 \%$ |
| Average Semester GPA |  | 2.38 |  |  | 2.40 |  |

Standard: Full or part time, new student, entry term and enroll term $=0 \times M / 0 x F$, degree not considered


Conclusion: Although first-semester success rates for Jumpstart students, measured by semester GPA statistics, were poorer than the comparison groups, the retention rate was $18 \%$ higher than the comparison groups. In addition, the second semester performance for Jumpstart students improved at a greater rate than the comparison groups. I conclude, based on this initial information, that the Jumpstart Program continues to be successful. Lin Claussen.

## Market Penetration Rate - FY 02 -03

## Office of Institutional Research

## Compiled by Margaret Puryear

Highlights: The Market penetation rate for credil courses $=2.29 \%$ (of those 18 years old and above) with the highest headcount firm the Highlands Ranch area. The Market Penetration rate for non-credit courses $=1.18 \%$ ( (of those 6 years old and above) with he highest headcount aso from Highlands Ranch.

| Zip Code Area | General Location | Number of people 6 years old and above 2000 Census | \#of Students enrolled in non credit | \%Market Penertation | Number of people 18 years old and above 2000 Census | \# of Students enrolled in credit crs' | \% Market <br> Penetration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80104 | Casil Rock | 28850 | 98 | 0.30\% | 20919 | 427 | 2.04\% |
| 80110 | ErgemomodSheridan | 40492 | 337 | 0.83\% | 3375 | 621 | 1.84\% |
| 8011 | Greanuod Vilage | 24222 | 333 | 1.37\% | 18281 | 313 | 1.71\% |
| 8012 | Castielood | 2023 | 299 | 1.48\% | 15715 | 315 | 2.00\% |
| 80116 | Paker | 3545 | 20 | 0.56\% | 2787 | 60 | 2.15\% |
| 80118 | Larkspur | 3787 | 5 | 0.13\% | 3015 | 31 | 1.03\% |
| 80120 | Littien | 28861 | 651 | 2.42\% | 22155 | 717 | 3.24\% |
| 80121 |  | 16950 | 320 | 1.89\% | 13461 | 291 | 2.16\% |
| 8012 | Suathlen | 29681 | 571 | 1.92\% | 2312 | 593 | 2.56\% |
| 80123 | Bowlar | 38522 | 775 | 2.01\% | 31444 | 838 | 2.67\% |
| 80124818130 | Lontree | 68203 | 447 | 0.66\% | 8821 | 500 | 5.67\% |
| 80125 | Roborough | 4899 | 160 | 3.27\% | 3934 | 146 | 3.71\% |
| 88022880129 | Higlaras R Raxh | 73102 | 847 | 1.16\% | 46199 | 1033 | 2.24\% |
| 80127 | Littem | 35437 | 610 | 1.72\% | 26374 | 575 | 2.18\% |
| 80128 | Coumbine | 3147 | 552 | 1.76\% | 24519 | 662 | 2.70\% |
| 80134 | Stonegeterinay | 26819 | 142 | 0.53\% | 2005 | 567 | 2.83\% |
| 80135 | W. of Casile Rock | 3433 | 25 | 0.73\% | 2759 | 58 | 2.10\% |
| 80236 | Densheridane Engemod | 14673 | 54 | 0.37\% | 12111 | 162 | 1.34\% |
| 80138 | Pater | 18162 | 50 | 0.28\% | 14052 | 291 | 2.07\% |
| 80235 | Dener | 6561 | 29 | 0.44\% | 5530 | 89 | 1.61\% |
| 8022 | So. defieson CO . | 160 | 0 | 0.00\% | 133 | 6 | 4.51\% |
| 88033 | Jeffesm Co. | 7665 | 15 | 0.20\% | 6104 | 45 | 0.74\% |
| 8046 | So. Ufferson Co. | 13892 | 35 | 0.25\% | 10988 | 113 | 1.03\% |
| 8040 | So. defieson CO O. | 3166 | 2 | 0.06\% | 2541 | 9 | 0.35\% |
| Totals |  | 538732 | 6377 | 1.18\% | 368795 | 8462 | 2.29\% |

The total counts for Zip Codes 80235 and 80236 were included even though only half of the zip code area is in the service area
80129 and 80130 numbers are included in the totals of the ip code they are listed beside

| In.semesester Persisterce and Antition Rades by Ilode of Delivery; Fall Semserer, 202:03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { OFFByllole } \\ \text { Oniy } \end{gathered}$ | A | B | 0 | 0 F | CR I | $1 \mathbb{P}$ | su | U W |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dr } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Talind | 560 | 53373 | 204050 | 57138 |  | 12624 | 2014 | 4140 | 1472 | 815.5 | 185\% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 20 | 738 | 421 | 12913 | 20\% |
| HCaxatad | 42 | 212 | 9720 | 21138 |  | , | 171 | 100 | 98 | 79.6\% | 204\% | 3 | 43 | 35 | 151337 | $27 \%$ |
| Praplatits |  | . | 41 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 176\% | $23.11 \%$ |  |  |  | 317 | 24\% |
|  | 13 | B |  |  |  |  | 89 | 1 |  | 988\% | 3.0\% |  |  |  | 32 | 3\% |
| caccante | 228 | 221 | 1714 | 1498 |  | 41 |  | 62 | 67 | 74.115 | 229\% |  | 127 | 53 | 381208 | 5\% |
| Cammut | 30 | 119 | 5426 | 26.09 |  |  | 151 | 100 | 146 | 820\% | 174\% |  | 38 | 231 | 105 | $27 \%$ |
| OFlinic | 4 | 30 | 102 | 25 | 512 | 1279 | 053 | 311 | 21 | 935\%\% | $65^{55 \%}$ |  | , | 116 | 16432 | $33 \%$ |
| Caremprassion |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 31 |  |  |  | 944\% | 5.1\% |  |  |  | 26 | 30\% |
|  | 145 | 42 | 235 | 5 g |  |  | 183 | 363 | 417 | 624\% | 316\% | 0 | 16 |  | 7150 | 18\% |
| Hrathod | 15. | 18 | 3013 | 1330 |  |  |  | 38 | 28 | 80.5\% | 19.5\% |  | 20 | 106 | 16444 | $2 \%^{2}$ |
|  |  | 4 |  | 13 | B | 3 |  | 3 |  | 60\% | 400\% |  |  |  | (1) 5 | 20 |
| Pingexat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6 |  | 11 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | 948060 | 52\% |  |  |  | 3 | 5\% |
| Mmulumia |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 14 | 22\% | 1.19\% |  |  |  | 318 | $2 \%$ |
| Plyindessms |  | 8 | 42 | 22 | 2 |  |  | 7 |  | 80.9 | 1911\% |  |  |  | 126 | 2\% |
| Pepriverang |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  | 10.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 14 | 7\% |
| Spextipux |  | 5 | 195 | 537 |  | 19 | 7951 | 5161 | 44 | $6.1{ }^{1 / 1 \%}$ | 369\% | 45 | 22 | 139 | 3956 | 28\% |
| Tr.kavese |  | 24 | 256 | 635 | 355 |  | 1 | 16 | 144 | 646\% | 354\% |  | 12 |  | 1925 | $30 \%$ |
| mencamy | 02 | 322 | 13543 | 4820 | 2016 | 168 | 8104 | 4102 | 127 | 76.0 .0 | 240\% |  | 120 | 681 | 81238 | 34\% |
|  | 738 | 4939 | 2327105 | 7052035 | 23514188 | 188181 | 4873 | 731599 | 2045 | 801\% | 199\% | 34 | 127 | 6068 | 10828822 | 2\%\% |



| Retention \& Atrition in-semester Rates by Subject Area - Fall 02-03 Semester |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office of Institutional Research |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Division | PREFX | A | B | $C$ | D | F | CR | 1 | $\mathbb{P}$ | S | $U$ | W |  |  |  | AU- Audit Students | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { DB (ropo } \\ \text { foroner } \\ \text { pay } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DR (Stusent } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { initided } \\ \text { diop) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| AHSS | AAA | 49 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 97 | 78.4\% | 21.0\% |  | 4 | 21 | 122 | 20\% |
| AHSS | ANT | 56 | 20 | 7 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 10 | 104 | 82.7\% | 17.3\% |  | 11 | 24 | 139 | 25\% |
| AHSS | ART | 381 | 156 | 64 | 20 | 51 |  | 6 | 1 |  |  | 59 | 738 | 85.1\% | 14.9\% | 54 | 43 | 280 | 1061 | 30\% |
| AHSS | ASL |  | 5 | 8 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 19 | 89.5\% | 10.5\% |  | 3 | 14 | 36 | 47\% |
| AHSS | ECP | 98 | 42 | 14 | 8 | 19 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 14 | 196 | 83.2\% | 16.8\% |  | 14 | 78 | 288 | 32\% |
| AHSS | EDU | 36 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 5 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 54 | 85.2\% | 14.8\% |  | 5 | 25 | 84 | 36\% |
| AHSS | ENG | 357 | 391 | 323 | 83 | 238 |  | 10 |  | 1 |  | 255 | 1658 | 70.3\% | 29.7\% | 5 | 81 | 424 | 2163 | 23\% |
| AHSS | ESL | 2 | 14 | 5 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 31 | 67.7\% | 32.3\% |  | 7 | 11 | 49 | 37\% |
| AHSS | FRE | 18 | 11 | 5 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 8 | 47 | 74.5\% | 25.5\% | 3 | 7 | 37 | 91 | 48\% |
| AHSS | 601 | 149 | 84 | 24 | 10 | 23 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 15 | 308 | 87.7\% | 12.3\% | 6 | 15 | 64 | 387 | 20\% |
| AHSS | GEO | 32 | 39 | 23 | 8 | 23 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 11 | 140 | 75.7\% | 24.3\% |  | 6 | 32 | 178 | 21\% |
| AHSS | HIS | 164 | 50 | 53 | 30 | 61 | 2 | 12 |  |  |  | 44 | 416 | 74.8\% | 25.2\% |  | 23 | 159 | 598 | 30\% |
| AHSS | HUM | 38 | 24 | 37 | 8 | 13 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 33 | 157 | 70.7\% | 29.3\% | 1 | 12 | 62 | 231 | 32\% |
| AHSS | ND | 536 | 104 | 39 | 4 | 15 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 28 | 734 | 94.1\% | 5.9\% |  | 62 | 231 | 1027 | 29\% |
| AHSS | JOU | 4 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 90.9\% | 9.1\% |  | 1 | 11 | 34 | 35\% |
| AHSS | JPN | 27 | 21 | 9 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 63 | 92.1\% | 7.9\% | 2 | 2 | 15 | 80 | 21\% |
| AHSS | LAT | 10 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 20 | 85.0\% | 15.0\% |  | 1 | 2 | 23 | 13\% |
| AHSS | LT | 41 | 33 | 19 | 2 | 16 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 19 | 131 | 73.3\% | 26.7\% | 2 | 13 | 64 | 208 | 37\% |
| AHSS | IUS | 112 | 49 | 21 | 3 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 238 | 77.7\% | 22.3\% |  | 15 | 93 | 346 | 31\% |
| AHSS | PHI | 71 | 82 | 55 | 14 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  | 38 | 298 | 74.5\% | 25.5\% |  | 21 | 135 | 454 | 34\% |
| AHSS | POS | 75 | 46 | 22 | 7 | 31 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 17 | 200 | 76.0\% | 24.0\% |  | 8 | 60 | 268 | 25\% |
| AHSS | PSY | 310 | 251 | 178 | 55 | 121 |  | 7 |  |  |  | 99 | 1021 | 78.5\% | 21.5\% | 1 | 74 | 302 | 1397 | 27\% |
| AHSS | REA | 67 | 93 | 60 | 26 | 52 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 30 | 329 | 75.1\% | 24.9\% |  | 14 | 94 | 437 | 25\% |
| AHSS | SOC | 95 | 109 | 69 | 17 | 61 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 36 | 388 | 74.7\% | 25.3\% |  | 25 | 98 | 511 | 24\% |
| AHSS | SPA | 141 | 53 | 25 | 7 | 25 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 36 | 289 | 78.9\% | 21.1\% | 7 | 23 | 158 | 470 | 39\% |
| AHSS | SPE | 187 | 142 | 59 | 13 | 51 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 57 | 511 | 78.9\% | 21.1\% |  | 26 | 133 | 670 | 24\% |
| AHSS | THE | 36 | 6 | 1 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 54 | 79.6\% | 20.4\% |  | 6 | 26 | 86 | 37\% |
| BUS | ACC | 178 | 64 | 47 | 12 | 43 |  | 5 | 3 |  |  | 46 | 398 | 77.6\% | 22.4\% | 1 | 24 | 124 | 546 | 27\% |
| BUS | ATC | 53 | 74 | 40 | 10 | 25 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 214 | 84.6\% | 15.4\% |  | 7 | 51 | 272 | 21\% |
| BUS | AUT | 130 | 134 | 60 | 11 | 26 | 3 |  | 10 |  |  | 15 | 389 | 89.5\% | 10.5\% |  | 18 | 80 | 487 | 20\% |
| BUS | BTE | 118 | 43 | 14 |  | 18 | 1 | 2 |  | 27 | 11 | 12 | 246 | 83.3\% | 16.7\% |  | 6 | 89 | 341 | 28\% |
| BUS | BUS | 188 | 132 | 63 | 24 | 67 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 40 | 517 | 78.9\% | 21.1\% |  | 39 | 165 | 721 | 28\% |
| BUS | CIS | 595 | 195 | 81 | 26 | 145 |  | 8 | 23 | 14 | 1 | 104 | 1192 | 79.0\% | 21.0\% | 6 | 59 | 332 | 1583 | 25\% |
| BUS | CNT | 18 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 34 | 82.4\% | 17.6\% |  | 4 | 21 | 59 | 42\% |
| BUS | CRJ | 147 | 85 | 27 | 8 | 17 |  | 64 |  | 167 |  | 16 | 531 | 93.8\% | 6.2\% |  | 23 | 67 | 621 | 14\% |
| BUS | CSC | 91 | 25 | 13 | 4 | 30 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 28 | 196 | 70.4\% | 29.6\% | 3 | 16 | 78 | 290 | 32\% |
| BUS | CTC | 26 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  |  | 4 | 56 | 87.5\% | 12.5\% |  | 4 | 19 | 79 | 29\% |
| BUS | CWB | 21 | 1 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 75.9\% | 24.1\% |  | 1 | 10 | 40 | 28\% |
| BUS | ECO | 125 | 102 | 83 | 10 | 47 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 34 | 407 | 80.1\% | 19.9\% |  | 21 | 118 | 546 | 25\% |


| BUS | FIN | 14 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 37 | 75.7\% | 24.3\% | 1 | 2 | 40 | 79 | 53\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUS | FST | 4 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 22 | 81.8\% | 18.2\% |  |  | 6 | 28 | 21\% |
| BUS | INV | 20 | 4 | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 35 | 71.4\% | 28.6\% |  | 2 | 14 | 51 | 31\% |
| BUS | LAW | 198 | 81 | 39 | 11 | 12 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 22 | 364 | 90.7\% | 9.3\% | 2 | 19 | 93 | 476 | 24\% |
| BUS | MAN | 170 | 80 | 19 | 6 | 31 |  | 2 |  | 12 |  | 18 | 338 | 85.5\% | 14.5\% |  | 15 | 92 | 445 | 24\% |
| BUS | MAR | 82 | 35 | 17 | 5 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 181 | 76.8\% | 23.2\% | 1 | 8 | 54 | 243 | 26\% |
| BUS | NET | 88 | 40 | 16 | 4 | 33 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 10 | 196 | 78.1\% | 21.9\% |  | 10 | 51 | 257 | 24\% |
| BUS | REE | 65 | 24 | 15 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 118 | 89.8\% | 10.2\% |  | 19 | 40 | 177 | 33\% |
| BUS | TRA | 73 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 9 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | 100 | 87.0\% | 13.0\% |  | 4 | 21 | 125 | 20\% |
| HMSE | ALH | 242 | 115 | 49 | 6 | 27 |  |  |  | 11 |  | 33 | 483 | 87.6\% | 12.4\% |  | 36 | 229 | 748 | 35\% |
| HMSE | APT | 23 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | 86.1\% | 13.9\% |  | 3 | 4 | 43 | 16\% |
| HMSE | ARC | 78 | 18 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 11 | 132 | 86.4\% | 13.6\% |  | 8 | 39 | 179 | 26\% |
| HMSE | AST | 121 | 21 | 14 | 6 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 202 | 80.2\% | 19.8\% |  | 12 | 65 | 279 | 28\% |
| HMSE | ATH | 32 | 1 | 12 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 50 | 900\% | 10.0\% |  |  | 1 | 51 | 2\% |
| HMSE | B10 | 261 | 230 | 188 | 68 | 99 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 136 | 983 | 76.1\% | 23.9\% | 3 | 88 | 363 | 1434 | 31\% |
| HMSE | CAD | 101 | 41 | 15 | 3 | 25 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 8 | 195 | 83.1\% | 16.9\% | 9 | 13 | 45 | 253 | 23\% |
| HMSE | CHE | 45 | 47 | 27 | 6 | 9 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 25 | 160 | 78.8\% | 21.3\% | 1 | 10 | 113 | 283 | 43\% |
| HMSE | EGG | 10 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 17 | 82.4\% | 17.6\% |  | 2 | 9 | 28 | 39\% |
| HMSE | ELT | 51 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 7 |  | 1 | 36 |  |  | 8 | 129 | 88.4\% | 11.6\% |  | 5 | 22 | 156 | 17\% |
| HMSE | EMS | 40 | 39 | 28 | 2 | 12 |  | 14 | 76 |  |  | 14 | 225 | 88.4\% | 11.6\% | 1 | 11 | 50 | 286 | 21\% |
| HMSE | GEY | 14 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 38 | 88.8\% | 13.2\% |  | 3 | 35 | 76 | 50\% |
| HMSE | HT | 4 | 24 | 2 | 2 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 12 | 94 | 80.9\% | 19.1\% |  | 7 | 28 | 129 | 27\% |
| HMSE | MAT | 517 | 397 | 279 | 91 | 179 | 1 | 5 |  | 78 | 52 | 255 | 1854 | 73.8\% | 26.2\% | 17 | 90 | 620 | 2564 | 28\% |
| HMSE | MDT | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 40.0\% | 60.0\% |  |  | 1 | 6 | 17\% |
| HMSE | MLT | 66 | 37 | 13 | 10 | 7 |  | 3 | 2 |  |  | 9 | 147 | 89.1\% | 10.9\% |  | 11 | 42 | 200 | 27\% |
| HMSE | MOR | 46 | 48 | 7 | 4 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 114 | 92.1\% | 7.9\% |  | 10 | 13 | 137 | 17\% |
| HMSE | MOT | 112 | 18 | 12 | 4 | 19 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 18 | 185 | 800\% | 20.0\% |  | 12 | 101 | 298 | 38\% |
| HMSE | MTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
| HMSE | NUA | 34 | 11 | 4 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 94 | 3 | 4 | 155 | 94.2\% | 5.8\% |  | 25 | 101 | 281 | 45\% |
| HMSE | NUR | 48 | 115 | 94 | 18 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 293 | 93.9\% | 6.1\% |  | 8 | 66 | 367 | 20\% |
| HMSE | NUT | 7 | 2 | 1 |  | , |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 13 | 76.9\% | 23.1\% |  | 6 | 13 | 32 | 59\% |
| HMSE | PAM | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 22 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  | 1 | 23 | 4\% |
| HMSE | PED | 321 | 51 | 34 | 15 | 83 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 31 | 3 | 68 | 619 | 75.3\% | 24.9\% | 31 | 34 | 245 | 898 | 31\% |
| HMSE | PHR | 12 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 |  | 1 | 22 | 95.5\% | 4.5\% |  |  | 5 | 27 | 19\% |
| HMSE | PHT | 129 | 53 | 37 | 7 | 16 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 18 | 262 | 87.0\% | 13.0\% |  | 5 | 94 | 361 | 27\% |
| HMSE | PHY | 24 | 21 | 7 | 5 | 9 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 25 | 92 | 63.0\% | 37.0\% | 1 | 6 | 59 | 157 | 41\% |
| HMSE | PTA | 19 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 5 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | 66 | 86.4\% | 13.6\% |  | 1 | 11 | 78 | 15\% |
| WKF | CBT | 4 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 1 | 1 | 11 | 18\% |
| WKF | FOS |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 40.0\% | 60.0\% | 1 |  | 2 | 7 | 29\% |
| TOTALS FOR THE ENTIRE COLLEGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathbf{1} \\ \underline{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | A | B | $C$ | D | F | CR | 1 | IP | S | U | W | E Tootal <br> Eroleded not <br> inculuing <br> audis) | \%Retained toend of Semester (sum A.D.CR L, IP,, $\mathbf{S} \mid$ Total Enolled | \% Not <br> successtully <br> retaned <br> F.,UW\|Total <br> EnoledEnoled | AU-Andit | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { oB (riop } \\ \text { it } & \text { fornon- } \\ \hline & \text { pay) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { DR (Sywdent } \\ \text { initided } \\ \text { drop) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Intial Total } \\ \text { Enroll } \\ \text { TTotal Enoll } \\ + \text { dropos) } \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  | 7911 | 4369 | 2554 | 729 | 2030 | 15 | 201 | 184 | 448 | 73 | 1956 | 20470 | 80.2\% | 19.8\% | 159 | 1230 | 6597 | 28297 | 28\% |

# Student Satisfaction Survey Results <br> Analysis conducted by Jennifer Gienger, MSED <br> <br> Office of Institutional Research 

 <br> <br> Office of Institutional Research}

February 26, 2003

The Student Satisfaction survey was distributed to randomly selected classes. One hundred fiftythree students in these classes completed the survey. The following is the demographic breakdown of this survey.

## Demographic Data Analysis:

| Gender | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 73 | $48 \%$ |
| Female | 79 | $52 \%$ |


| Age | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16-25$ | 89 | $59 \%$ |
| $26-35$ | 26 | $17 \%$ |
| $36-45$ | 21 | $14 \%$ |
| $46-55$ | 12 | $8 \%$ |
| 55 and over | 4 | $2 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Native American | 3 | $1.5 \%$ |
| African American | 6 | $4 \%$ |
| Asian | 10 | $7 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 13 | $9 \%$ |
| White | 118 | $77 \%$ |
| Unknown | 3 | $1.5 \%$ |


| Do consider yourself disabled or handicapped? | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Disability | 147 | $96 \%$ |
| Disability | 6 | $4 \%$ |

## Demographic Data Analysis Continued:

| What was you primary objective in attending your two-year <br> college? | N | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| College Transfer | 82 | $54 \%$ |
| Job preparation | 28 | $18 \%$ |
| Improvement of job skills | 18 | $12 \%$ |
| Personal | 16 | $11 \%$ |
| Other | 8 | $5 \%$ |


| What is your educational goal for attending your two-year college? | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Take 1 course | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Take a few courses | 41 | $27 \%$ |
| Get a Certificate | 23 | $15 \%$ |
| Get and Associates degree | 83 | $54 \%$ |
| Get Vocational degree | 3 | $2 \%$ |


| How many credit hours are you taking this semester? | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 or less | 17 | $11 \%$ |
| $5-11$ | 78 | $51 \%$ |
| 12 or more | 58 | $38 \%$ |


| If employed, how many hours a week are you working? | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 or less | 23 | $19 \%$ |
| $21-39$ hrs | 44 | $36 \%$ |
| 40 or more | 54 | $45 \%$ |

## Evaluation of Two-Year College Instruction:

| Quality of Instruction | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poor | 5 | $3 \%$ |
| Fair | 18 | $12 \%$ |
| Good | 70 | $46 \%$ |
| Very Good | 60 | $39 \%$ |


| Instructor's knowledge of subject(s) | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fair | 8 | $5 \%$ |
| Good | 43 | $28 \%$ |
| Very Good | 102 | $67 \%$ |


| Course objectives and requirements made clear | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poor | 4 | $3 \%$ |
| Fair | 22 | $15 \%$ |
| Good | 50 | $33 \%$ |
| Very Good | 74 | $49 \%$ |


| Content of Course | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poor | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Fair | 19 | $13 \%$ |
| Good | 63 | $41 \%$ |
| Very Good | 67 | $44 \%$ |


| Methods of Instruction | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Poor | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| Poor | 8 | $5 \%$ |
| Fair | 29 | $19 \%$ |
| Good | 54 | $35 \%$ |
| Very Good | 60 | $40 \%$ |

Evaluation of Two-Year College Instruction Cont:

| Testing and Grading | $\boldsymbol{N}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poor | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| Fair | 25 | $16 \%$ |
| Good | 75 | $49 \%$ |
| Very Good | 52 | $34 \%$ |


| Class size | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poor | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| Fair | 18 | $12 \%$ |
| Good | 62 | $40 \%$ |
| Very Good | 71 | $47 \%$ |


| Availability of courses at convenient times | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Poor | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| Poor | 12 | $8 \%$ |
| Fair | 33 | $22 \%$ |
| Good | 48 | $31 \%$ |
| Very Good | 58 | $38 \%$ |

## Evaluation of Two-Year College Services:

| Admissions/Registration procedures | N | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Didn't Use | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Very Poor | 5 | $3 \%$ |
| Poor | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Fair | 19 | $12 \%$ |
| Good | 78 | $52 \%$ |
| Very Good | 45 | $29 \%$ |


| Career planning and guidance | N | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Didn't Use | 43 | $28 \%$ |
| Very Poor | 9 | $6 \%$ |
| Poor | 9 | $6 \%$ |
| Fair | 36 | $24 \%$ |
| Good | 36 | $24 \%$ |
| Very Good | 19 | $12 \%$ |


| Course Advising | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Didn't Use | 33 | $22 \%$ |
| Very Poor | 10 | $7 \%$ |
| Poor | 14 | $9 \%$ |
| Fair | 38 | $25 \%$ |
| Good | 37 | $24 \%$ |
| Very Good | 20 | $13 \%$ |


| Financial Aid | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Didn't Use | 62 | $42 \%$ |
| Very Poor | 5 | $3 \%$ |
| Poor | 6 | $4 \%$ |
| Fair | 24 | $16 \%$ |
| Good | 29 | $20 \%$ |
| Very Good | 23 | $15 \%$ |

## Evaluation of Two-Year College Services Cont:

| Student Activities | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Didn't Use | 96 | $64 \%$ |
| Poor | 4 | $3 \%$ |
| Fair | 14 | $9 \%$ |
| Good | 22 | $15 \%$ |
| Very Good | 13 | $9 \%$ |


| Job placement office | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Didn't Use | 102 | $69 \%$ |
| Very Poor | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Poor | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| Fair | 17 | $12 \%$ |
| Good | 15 | $10 \%$ |
| Very Good | 9 | $6 \%$ |


| Assistance to disadvantaged | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Didn't Use | 98 | $67 \%$ |
| Very Poor | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Fair | 12 | $8 \%$ |
| Good | 16 | $11 \%$ |
| Very Good | 17 | $12 \%$ |


| Library/learning resources center | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Didn't Use | 37 | $25 \%$ |
| Very Poor | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Poor | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Fair | 25 | $17 \%$ |
| Good | 39 | $25 \%$ |
| Very Good | 44 | $29 \%$ |

## Evaluation of Two-Year College Services Cont:

| Tutorial Services | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Didn't Use | 82 | $55 \%$ |
| Poor | 2 | $1 \%$ |
| Fair | 25 | $17 \%$ |
| Good | 19 | $13 \%$ |
| Very Good | 20 | $14 \%$ |


| Educational development courses/basic skills center | N | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Didn't Use | 84 | $57 \%$ |
| Poor | 2 | $1 \%$ |
| Fair | 17 | $12 \%$ |
| Good | 27 | $18 \%$ |
| Very Good | 17 | $12 \%$ |


| Bookstore | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Didn't Use | 7 | $5 \%$ |
| Very Poor | 7 | $5 \%$ |
| Poor | 10 | $7 \%$ |
| Fair | 40 | $26 \%$ |
| Good | 57 | $37 \%$ |
| Very Good | 32 | $20 \%$ |


| Business office | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Didn't Use | 77 | $53 \%$ |
| Very Poor | 2 | $1 \%$ |
| Poor | 5 | $3 \%$ |
| Fair | 17 | $11 \%$ |
| Good | 34 | $23 \%$ |
| Very Good | 14 | $9 \%$ |

## Evaluation of Two-Year College Services Cont:

| Food Services | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Didn't Use | 67 | $45 \%$ |
| Very Poor | 10 | $7 \%$ |
| Poor | 8 | $5 \%$ |
| Fair | 23 | $16 \%$ |
| Good | 25 | $17 \%$ |
| Very Good | 15 | $10 \%$ |

Satisfaction with your growth in the following areas as a result of your two-year college education:

| Ability to organize ideas | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dissatisfied | 6 | $4 \%$ |
| Satisfied | 96 | $67 \%$ |
| Very Satisfied | 42 | $29 \%$ |


| Ability to think critically | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dissatisfied | 4 | $3 \%$ |
| Satisfied | 93 | $65 \%$ |
| Very Satisfied | 46 | $32 \%$ |


| Ability to write with clarity | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Dissatisfied | 2 | $1 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 8 | $6 \%$ |
| Satisfied | 87 | $61 \%$ |
| Very Satisfied | 45 | $32 \%$ |

Satisfaction with your growth in the following areas as a result of your two-year college education cont.

| Ability to speak with clarity | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Dissatisfied | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 10 | $7 \%$ |
| Satisfied | 84 | $59 \%$ |
| Very Satisfied | 46 | $33 \%$ |


| Ability and skills in problem solving | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dissatisfied | 8 | $6 \%$ |
| Satisfied | 88 | $63 \%$ |
| Very Satisfied | 43 | $31 \%$ |


| Knowledge of methods and problems in the social sciences | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Very Dissatisfied | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 10 | $7 \%$ |
| Satisfied | 95 | $68 \%$ |
| Very Satisfied | 33 | $23 \%$ |


| Knowledge of methods and achievements in science | N | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Very Dissatisfied | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 13 | $9 \%$ |
| Satisfied | 93 | $66 \%$ |
| Very Satisfied | 33 | $24 \%$ |


| Knowledge and skills in mathematics | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Dissatisfied | 7 | $5 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 15 | $11 \%$ |
| Satisfied | 84 | $61 \%$ |
| Very Satisfied | 31 | $23 \%$ |


| Awareness and appreciation of the arts and humanities | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Dissatisfied | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 7 | $5 \%$ |
| Satisfied | 87 | $63 \%$ |
| Very Satisfied | 42 | $30 \%$ |


| Awareness and understanding of ethics | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Dissatisfied | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Dissatisfied | 6 | $4 \%$ |
| Satisfied | 84 | $60 \%$ |
| Very Satisfied | 46 | $34 \%$ |


| What time do you prefer to take classes? | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All day | 16 | $11 \%$ |
| Mornings | 52 | $34 \%$ |
| Afternoons | 17 | $11 \%$ |
| Evenings | 65 | $43 \%$ |
| Weekends | 1 | $1 \%$ |


| Highest level of education achieved before enrolling at ACC: | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade School | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| Some High School | 5 | $3 \%$ |
| High School Diploma | 70 | $47 \%$ |
| High School equivalency certificate | 6 | $4 \%$ |
| Some College | 44 | $29 \%$ |
| Degree from a two-year college | 2 | $1 \%$ |
| Degree from a four-year college | 17 | $12 \%$ |
| Other | 5 | $3 \%$ |


| How did you hear about your two-year college? | N | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No response | 6 | $4 \%$ |
| Class schedule mailed | 27 | $19 \%$ |
| Class schedule picked-up | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| Newspaper article | 7 | $5 \%$ |
| Viewbook or other brochure | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Two-year college catalogue | 19 | $13 \%$ |
| Parents | 25 | $18 \%$ |
| Teacher or counselor | 27 | $19 \%$ |
| Friend or other student | 27 | $19 \%$ |


| What is the main reason that you chose or continuing at ACC? | N | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Quality of instruction | 19 | $13 \%$ |
| Close to home or work | 58 | $39 \%$ |
| Low cost | 29 | $19 \%$ |
| Courses met your needs | 26 | $17 \%$ |
| Friends | 2 | $1 \%$ |
| Admission/Reg procedures easier | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| Courses offered when you could take them | 4 | $3 \%$ |
| Financial Aid | 2 | $1 \%$ |
| Advisors are helpful | 3 | $2 \%$ |
| Other | 6 | $4 \%$ |


| How would you rate your ACC experience so far? | N | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very good | 45 | $30 \%$ |
| Good | 75 | $50 \%$ |
| Fair | 28 | $19 \%$ |
| Poor | 1 | $1 \%$ |

# Successful Retention and Registration Time Analysis by Dr. Suzanne Larsh 

Is there a relationship between successful retention in Arapahoe Community College courses and registration time?

## Review

Enrollment management personnel and faculty were interested in the relationship between successful retention in courses at Arapahoe Community College and the time at which students register for courses. It was hypothesized that students who register late for a course have a smaller chance of successfully completing the course.

## Methodology

Final course grades and registration time for the entire fall 2002 student population were available. For the purposes of this study, courses included in the successfully retained group resulted in a final grade of A, B, C, D, S (Satisfactory), I (Incomplete), or IP (In-Progress). Courses in which students were unsuccessfully retained resulted in a final grade of F, U (Unsatisfactory), or W, indicating withdrawal from the course. Courses dropped during the semester were not included in this study because of the variety of reasons drops occur, and the difficulty in determining the extent of class participation before the drop action. Courses being audited (AU) were not included in this study because final grades are not given for audits.

Students registered for a total of 27,070 courses for the fall 2002 semester. Removing courses from the data pool which were dropped or audited resulted in a total of 20,470 courses. On-time registration was considered to be registration by any date up to and including the first day of class. Late registration was defined as registration any time after the first day of class. 2435 of the total 20,470 courses were classified as late registration. A random sample of 2435 on-time registrants was taken to match the number of late cases.

## Results

Initial inspection of group means showed the mean success rate for courses which had been registered for on time was higher ( $x=.81$ ) than for those registered late ( $x=.75$ ). These means were calculated from coded data with non-success $=0$ and success $=1$. A univariate analysis of variance (between subjects) test was performed, comparing the success rates of students in the two registration groups. The analysis of variance test compares means of sample groups in order to make inferences about population means. Although the assumption of equal group variances was violated for the sample drawn, the large group sizes and a confirmatory t-test showing nearly identical results for equal and unequal group variances $(t=-4.93$ and $t=-4.95$ respectively, equal standard errors $=0.2$ and equal confidence intervals of -.04 ) justified the use of univariate statistical tests.
Results of the univariate analysis indicate a significant difference in success rates for on-time course registration compared with late registration $(\mathrm{F}(1,4869)=24.29, \mathrm{p}=.000)$, indicating that the differences between groups was not likely to happen by chance.
Power analysis (observed power = .99) indicated that the sample size was large enough to detect a difference between the two groups, and that the chances were very small of erroneously concluding that the groups didn't differ when they did. Further, this amount of statistical power is indicative of a sample that would be able to detect a treatment effect, (however small) if one existed. However,
examination of effect size (measured by a partial eta squared, $\eta 2$, which is an index of the proportion of explained variance, or an estimate of the magnitude of the effect of registering on time versus registering late) yielded a partial $\eta 2$ of .005 , which is classified as a very small effect size. One way to confirm this statistically low magnitude is to look at actual success and non-success rates between the two groups. There is a non-success rate of $19 \%$ in the "on-time" group. That is, $19 \%$ of courses resulted in unsuccessful retention for reasons other than registration time. We can probably assume that $19 \%$ (462 registrants) of the total number (2435) of late registrants were also non-successfully retained for the same reasons (the assortment of reasons not related to registration time). The actual non-success rate of the "late" group was 25\% (605 course registrants out of 2435). If 462 of the 2435 would have been unsuccessfully retained anyway, the total number of "true" non-successes (those probably associated with late registration) is 143 (605 minus 462). Therefore, of the total fall enrollment of 20,470 courses (excluding courses dropped and audited), 143 (less than $1 \%$ of the total) registrants were probably unsuccessfully retained for reasons associated with late registration time. This is the quantitative explanation of the small effect size rendered by the statistical analysis.

## Conclusions

Although this analysis of the relationship between registration time and course success indicates that students registering on time are more likely to succeed in their courses, the magnitude of the difference between groups is statistically and quantitatively very small.

Other factors may warrant consideration in interpreting these results. First, the fall 2002 semester may not be representative of typical registration and success patterns, although the large sample size used in this analysis would usually allow generalizability to other semesters and years. Even so, data from additional years and semesters would help confirm the results reported here. Another factor to consider is multiple late registrations by the same student. A study comparing the success rate for one late-registration course versus success in two or more late registrations might show a relationship between the number of late registrations per student and the likelihood of successful retention.

## Environmental Scan

Age Trend Analysis<br>By Dr. Suzanne Larsh

## Purpose

To evaluate conclusions drawn in the 2001 Environmental Scanning Report submitted by Beth S. Pent regarding future population trends that may affect Arapahoe Community College.

## Method

Population data from 2003 and 2013 were collected from the Colorado Department of Local Affairs. Aggregate population data from Arapahoe, Douglas and Jefferson counties were drawn in order to give a current assessment of population trends. Although this tri-county area extends beyond the state mandated service area for Arapahoe Community College, the analysis may generalize fairly well to our service area. Both the tri-county and service area boundaries encompass Douglas County, which is the fastest growing county in the tri-county region, and which comprises most of the mandated ACC service area. Changes in this rapidly changing county could account for many of the proportional changes reflected in the 2003-2013 comparison.

Initial exploration of the data show that the overall population is projected to increase from $1,266,119$ to $1,486,624$, an increase of $14.83 \%$. This population increase is not distributed evenly across all age ranges; a projected net decrease in population is expected in the 30 to 49 age ranges. The other age ranges (zero to 29 and 50 to $90+$ ) collectively account for the $14.83 \%$ increase in total population.

Next, the 2003 and 2013 data were compared using the chi-square ( $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ ) goodness-of-fit procedure to compare the percentage or probability distribution of the populations. Attachment 1 shows that the population distributions from the years 2003 and 2013 are significantly different ( $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ $\alpha=.05,19 \mathrm{df}=30.14$ ).

## Review and Discussion

The population in the tri-county area is projected to increase by over 220,000 people during the next ten years. However, a net population decrease is expected for the age ranges included in the 30 to 49 group. Further, other age groups in the population do not increase at exactly the same rate.

The chi-square analysis allows us to compare our current (2003) frame of mind with the population projections for 2013. For example, there will be proportionally fewer (by $.87 \%$ ) people in the 15 to 19 age range in 2013 than we are currently used to in the tri-county region. However, because of the overall population increase, there will be an actual increase of 3,725 people in that age range (proportionally fewer than we are used to, but quantitatively more). A more intuitively understandable relationship exists between the proportional and quantitative changes for the 20 to 29-year age ranges. By 2013 there will be $2.78 \%$ more in this age range proportionally than in 2003, and 68,071 more people (proportionally more, and quantitatively more, too). This same effect is seen in the 50 to 74 age ranges. There will be $6.45 \%$ more people (proportionally) in this range compared to 2003, and an actual increase of 44,062 people. Attachment 2 shows the percent changes expected for each age range.

Finally, the conclusions from the current analysis were compared with statements made in the 2001 Environmental Scanning report concerning population trends, as follows:

1. The 2001 report reads "...we can predict that over the next ten years [1990 to 2000] or so, the populations of Arapahoe, Douglas, and Jefferson Counties reaching the ages of 60 and over will be far greater than the portions of the population who reach the ages of 20 or more (p.10.)" Even assuming that the author meant to exclude those of ages 60 and over from the group reaching age 20 or more from her calculations, the current analysis does not support this statement. The current analysis sets the projected population percentage for 2013 of ages 20 to 59 at $56.41 \%$; the percentage of persons aged 60 or more is $16.98 \%$.
2. Another statement from the 2001 report about future needs reads "A major planning factor for the ACC service area over the next 20 year period will need to be focused on senior citizen needs (p.10)." This statement is partially correct. If the 2013 projections are accurate, there will be a $6.45 \%$ proportional increase in the 50 to 74 -year age ranges in the tri-county area as compared to 2003. A population increase of 44,062 in this age range can be expected. This is both a proportional and quantitative increase from 2003 population rates in this age range. Arapahoe Community College should be prepared to offer services to this population.

However, the 2001 report somewhat downplays the increases in younger populations by merely stating "...enrollment of students straight out of high school can be expected to increase at a rate slightly above the state average over the coming 10 to 20 years (p.12)." The current analysis shows a small proportional decrease (.87\%) in this "straight out of high school" group of 15 to 19 years, but a corresponding population increase of 3,725 . When added to the population increase of 68,071 and the proportional increase of $2.78 \%$ from the 20 to 29-year group, this younger group ( 15 to 29 years) should not be neglected in favor of older community members by planners at ACC.

## Summary

To review, the tri-county area will experience an overall population increase by the year 2013. This increase affects two broad age groups that may be considered potential ACC student population pools. The first group aged 15 to 29 will increase proportionally by a modest $1.91 \%$, but this increase corresponds with a quantitative increase of 71,796 people. The second group, aged 50 to 74 , will increase by 44,062 , a proportional increase of $6.45 \%$. While this older group's proportional increase is greater, the quantitative increase is comparatively less than the increase in the younger age group. A two-pronged approach to strategic planning based on this population trend analysis is apparent, prepare to provide courses for an increasingly large group of "traditional age" prospective students, and provide courses and services for the group of older community members that will be proportionally larger than currently experienced in the tri-county region.

## Age Trend Analysis attachment|

Does the 2013 population distribution in Arapahoo, Jefferson and Douglas counties combined differ from the 2003 population distribution?

|  |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age <br> range | 2003 | $\stackrel{p}{\text { probability }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { (projected) } \\ y=2013 \end{gathered}$ | expected <br> frequency <br> 2013 | O.E <br> Difference | (0.E) <br> Square of <br> Difference | (0.E)/E |  |
| 0104 | 88285 | 0.070 | 101869 | 103661 | -1792 | 3211264 | 30.978849 | $X$ square ( $a=05,19$ dff $=30.144$ |
| 5109 | 89426 | 0.071 | 97523 | 10500 | . 747 | 55005529 | 532.43224 |  |
| 10 to14 | 94557 | 0.075 | 97468 | 111025 | -13557 | 183792249 | 1655.45 |  |
| 155019 | 95291 | 0.075 | 90016 | 111887 | -12871 | 165662641 | 14880.6284 |  |
| 200224 | 7504 | 0.060 | 100064 | 89123 | 19941 | 397643881 | 4461.7229 |  |
| 255029 | 77562 | 0.061 | 112473 | 91070 | 21403 | 458888409 | 5030.0661 | $X$ square $=\Sigma(0 \cdot E) / E=82135.31$ |
| 30034 | 95419 | 0.075 | 91954 | 112037 | -2083 | 403328889 | 3599.9436 |  |
| 35039 | 100913 | 0.080 | 90651 | 118488 | 27837 | 77489859 | 6539.903 | Yes, the proporional distribution of age ranges witin the population changes significanty from 2003 to 2013. |
| 40 to 44 | 115153 | 0.091 | 104184 | 135208 | -31024 | 962488576 | 7118.5856 |  |
| 455049 | 109360 | 0.086 | 105881 | 128406 | .22525 | 507375625 | 3951.3408 |  |
| 50054 | 95883 | 0.076 | 116416 | 11558 | 3834 | 14699556 | 130.5677 |  |
| 55059 | 73264 | 0.058 | 107806 | 86024 | 21782 | 47445524 | 5515.4159 |  |
| 600664 | 4880 | 0.038 | 91624 | 56688 | 34936 | 1220524068 | 21530.419 |  |
| 655069 | 33411 | 0.026 | 6583 | 3923 | 26613 | 708251769 | 18053.923 |  |
| 700774 | 26580 | 0.021 | 3999 | 31209 | 8582 | 7365072 | 2359.0099 |  |
| 751079 | 21029 | 0.017 | 24645 | 24691 | -46 | 2116 | 0.0856979 |  |
| 80088 | 14612 | 0.012 | 16193 | 17157 | -964 | 922296 | 54.164881 |  |
| 855089 | 7548 | 0.006 | 9627 | 8863 | 764 | 583396 | 65.860984 |  |
| 90to 90+ | 3642 | 0.003 | 4596 | 4276 | 320 | 10240 | 23.946023 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [ | 1266119 | 1 | 1486624 | 1486624 | 0 |  | 82135.307 |  |

0 : "Observel" population distribution for 2013. More accurately, the projected population distribution estimated by the Colorado Division of Local Affars, updated June 19, 2003.

E : Expected population distribution in 2013. This is what the 2013 population would look like if the distribution stayed as it is in 2003.
O.E : This column shows how the age ranges differ (in 2013) from what we might expect given our 2003 population istribution. For example, there are proprotionally more people in the 20 to 29 -vear and the 50 to 74 -year ranges than we are used to o ow in 2003 . There are proportionally fewer in the zero to 19 and the 30 to 49 age ranges than we are accustomed to.

## Age Trend Analysis attachment II



| Total | 1266119 | 1486624 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

By 2013, there will be 220,505 more people in the three county area, a $14.83 \%$ increase in population. However, this population increase is not distributed equally across age ranges.

| Potential student population changes from 2003 to 2013 in the tri-county area: | Age <br> range | Population change | Proportional change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 to 19 | up 3,725 | . $87 \%$ fewer |
|  | 20 to 29 | up 68,071 | 2.78\% more |
|  | 30 to 49 | down 28,175 | 6.83\% fewer |
|  | 50 to 74 | up 44,062 | 6.45\% more |

## The Colorado Commission on Higher Education (CCHE) Final Annualized FTE for all Colorado State Colleges

| Public Institutions | Total Student FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 91-92 | FY 92-93 | FY 93-94 | FY 94-95 | FY 95-96 | FY 96-97 | FY 97-98 | FY 98-99 | FY 99-2000 | FY 2000-01 | FY 2001-02 | FY 2002-03 |
| Regents of the University of Colorado: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| University of Colorado-Boulder | 22,830 | 22,356 | 22,72 | 21,633 | 21,527 | 21,683 | 22,196 | 22,446 | 22,882 | 23,213 | 23,920 | 25,254 |
| University of Colorado-Colorado Springs | 4,889 | 3,983 | 3,916 | 3,974 | 4,009 | 4,084 | 4,559 | 4,768 | 4,968 | 5,072 | 5,324 | 5,846 |
| University of Colorado-Denver | 7,007 | 7,254 | 7,080 | 6,955 | 7,037 | 6,969 | 7,057 | 7,229 | 7,253 | 7,571 | 7,893 | 8,407 |
| University of Colorado-Health Sciences | 2,174 | 2,268 | 2,441 | 2,505 | 2,539 | 2,889 | 2,388 | 2,435 | 2,360 | 2,330 | 2,410 | 2,519 |
| State Board of Agriculture: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado State University-E\&G | 18,442 | 19,151 | 19,173 | 19,277 | 19,533 | 19,667 | 19,547 | 19,864 | 20,088 | 19,964 | 20,723 | 21,525 |
| Colorado State University-PVM | 702 | 692 | 734 | 717 | 723 | 727 | 728 | 737 | 733 | 745 | 745 | 795 |
| Fort Lewis College | 3,793 | 3,867 | 4,031 | 3,946 | 4,128 | 4,90 | 4,167 | 3,998 | 4,138 | 4,019 | 4,169 | 4,080 |
| University of Southern Colorado | 3,984 | 4,064 | 4,040 | 4,011 | 3,909 | 3,683 | 3,653 | 3,600 | 3,629 | 3,607 | 3,515 | 3,448 |
| Trustes of Colorado School of Mines: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Board of Trustees Univ. of No. Colorado: University of Northern Colorado | 9,772 | 9,706 | 9,840 | 9,721 | 9,554 | 9,443 | 9,619 | 9,916 | 10,295 | 10,309 | 10,329 | 10,605 |
| Trustes of State Colleges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adams State College | 2,378 | 2,350 | 2,164 | 2,255 | 2,774 | 2,295 | 2,177 | 2,266 | 2,262 | 2,143 | 2,293 | 2,322 |
| Mesa State College | 3,531 | 3,633 | 3,734 | 3,993 | 4,001 | 4,073 | 4,085 | 4,189 | 4,141 | 4,313 | 4,461 | 4,651 |
| Metropolitan State College of Denver | 12,686 | 12,499 | 12,307 | 12,246 | 12,087 | 12,347 | 12,556 | 12,317 | 12,369 | 12,280 | 13,227 | 14,188 |
| Western State College | 2,351 | 2,442 | 2,157 | 2,161 | 2,226 | 2,880 | 2,224 | 2,198 | 2,179 | 2,072 | 2,094 | 2,141 |
| CCCOES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arapahoe Community College | 4,128 | 4,145 | 4,110 | 4,036 | 4,072 | 4,090 | 4,086 | 4,208 | 4,140 | 4,091 | 4,318 | 4,688 |
| Colorado Northwestern Community College | 861 | 925 | 876 | 815 | 820 | 833 | 866 | 795 | 730 | 754 | 872 | 922 |
| Community College of Aurora | 2,408 | 2,555 | 2,702 | 2,671 | 2,619 | 2,418 | 2,404 | 2,577 | 2,693 | 2,669 | 2,814 | 3,178 |
| Community College of Denver | 4,032 | 4,486 | 4,603 | 4,440 | 4,236 | 4,227 | 4,038 | 4,071 | 4,026 | 4,027 | 4,188 | 5,042 |
| Front Range Community College | 6,234 | 6,592 | 6,483 | 6,350 | 6,737 | 6,446 | 6,500 | 7,008 | 7,467 | 7,680 | 8,125 | 9,224 |
| Lamar Community College | 637 | 675 | 654 | 630 | 664 | 698 | 737 | 745 | 673 | 674 | 682 | 762 |
| Morgan Community College | 584 | 757 | 846 | 716 | 769 | 815 | 879 | 927 | 959 | 959 | 988 | 928 |
| Northeastern Junior College | 1,780 | 1,825 | 1,648 | 1,633 | 1,593 | 1,713 | 1,532 | 1,579 | 1,538 | 1,004 | 1,594 | 1,641 |
| Otero Junior College | 726 | 738 | 799 | 813 | 775 | 805 | 854 | 906 | 959 | 974 | 1,092 | 1,141 |
| Pikes Peak Community College | 4,702 | 4,886 | 4,586 | 4,694 | 4,560 | 4,804 | 4,919 | 5,108 | 5,290 | 5,334 | 5,680 | 6,396 |
| Pueblo Community College | 2,517 | 2,744 | 2,675 | 2,749 | 2,821 | 2,911 | 3,146 | 3,221 | 3,332 | 3,292 | 3,610 | 3,903 |
| Red Rocks Community College | 3,245 | 3,643 | 3,940 | 4,033 | 3,953 | 3,897 | 4,185 | 4,398 | 4,202 | 4,233 | 4,204 | 4,471 |
| Trindad State Junior College | 1,241 | 1,241 | 1,443 | 1,533 | 1,467 | 1,567 | 1,570 | 1,470 | 1,464 | 1,426 | 1,488 | 1,584 |
| Local District Colleges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aims Community College | 4,509 | 4,604 | 4,052 | 3,820 | 3,698 | 3,807 | 3,779 | 3,830 | 3,848 | 3,813 | 3,952 | 3,664 |
| Colorado Mountain College | 2,721 | 2,736 | 3,010 | 3,180 | 3,078 | 3,099 | 3,136 | 3,078 | 2,927 | 3,039 | 2,954 | 2,857 |
| BOARD SUMMARY: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regents of the University of Colorado | 36,100 | 35,861 | 35,709 | 35,067 | 35,112 | 35,225 | 36,200 | 36,878 | 37,463 | 38,186 | 39,547 | 42,026 |
| State Board of Agriculture | 27,421 | 27,773 | 27,978 | 27,950 | 28,292 | 28,267 | 28,096 | 28,199 | 28,588 | 28,335 | 29,152 | 29,848 |
| Trustees of the Colorado School of Mines | 2,573 | 2,858 | 3,006 | 3,094 | 3,189 | 3,42 | 3,211 | 3,250 | 3,276 | 3,114 | 3,216 | 3,331 |
| Board of Trustees Univ. of No. Colorado | 9,772 | 9,706 | 9,840 | 9,721 | 9,554 | 9,443 | 9,619 | 9,916 | 10,295 | 10,309 | 10,329 | 10,605 |
| Trustees of State Colleges | 20,946 | 20,924 | 20,362 | 20,555 | 20,588 | 20,995 | 21,042 | 20,970 | 20,951 | 20,807 | 22,075 | 23,301 |
| CCCOES | 33,095 | 35,212 | 35,165 | 35,113 | 35,086 | 35,224 | 35,716 | 37,013 | 37,472 | 37,718 | 39,655 | 43,879 |
| State Summary | 129,007 | 132,334 | 132,060 | 131,500 | 131,821 | 132,396 | 133,884 | 136,227 | 138,045 | 138,470 | 143,972 | 152,991 |
| District Summary | 7,230 | 7,340 | 7,062 | 7,000 | 6,776 | 6,906 | 6,915 | 6,908 | 6,775 | 6,852 | 6,906 | 6,521 |
| Public Summary | 137,137 | 139,674 | 139,122 | 138,500 | 138,597 | 139,302 | 140,799 | 143,135 | 144,820 | 145,322 | 150,879 | 159,512 |

## The Colorado Commission on Higher Education Final FTE Student Enrollment Report

| Public Institutions | Resident Student FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY $91-92$ | FY 92-93 | FY 93-94 | FY 94-95 | FY 95-96 | FY 96.97 | FY 97-98 | FY 98-99 | FY 99-2000 | FY 2000-01 | FY 2001-02 |
| Regents of the University of Colorado: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| University of Colorado-Colorado Springs | 3,884 | 3,752 | 3,669 | 3,734 | 3,777 | 3,840 | 4,244 | 4,423 | 4,002 | 4,688 | 4,930 |
| University of Colorado-Denver | 6,584 | 6,794 | 6,564 | 6,409 | 6,426 | 6,313 | 6,380 | 6,627 | 6,601 | 6,783 | 7,065 |
| University of Colorado-Health Sciences | 1,930 | 2,007 | 2,174 | 2,248 | 2,449 | 2,225 | 2,150 | 2,198 | 2,102 | 2,096 | 2,162 |
| State Board of Agriculture: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado State University-E\&G | 14,496 | 14,584 | 14,531 | 14,661 | 14,844 | 15,157 | 15,080 | 15,424 | 15,657 | 15,597 | 16,174 |
| Colorado State University-PVM | 331 | 327 | 337 | 326 | 330 | 327 | 352 | 380 | 400 | 422 | 419 |
| Fort Lewis College | 2,854 | 2,783 | 2,838 | 2,764 | 2,913 | 2,935 | 2,866 | 2,703 | 2,756 | 2,672 | 2,800 |
| University of Southern Colorado | 3,711 | 3,754 | 3,632 | 3,549 | 3,413 | 3,199 | 3,136 | 3,104 | 3,108 | 3,086 | 3,045 |
| Trustees of Colorado School of Mines: Colorado School of Mines | 1,743 | 1,910 | 1,983 | 2,043 | 2,122 | 2,160 | 2,168 | 2,881 | 2,344 | 2,251 | 2,358 |
| Board of Trustees Univ. of No. Colorado: University of Northern Colorado | 8,717 | 8,651 | 8,749 | 8,643 | 8,403 | 8,225 | 8,315 | 8,573 | 8,990 | 9,041 | 9,088 |
| Trustees of State Colleges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mesa State College | 3,880 | 3,320 | 3,382 | 3,494 | 3,589 | 3,667 | 3,663 | 3,779 | 3,703 | 3,884 | 4,030 |
| Metropolitan State College of Denver | 12,343 | 12,162 | 11,996 | 11,923 | 11,767 | 11,988 | 12,166 | 11,909 | 11,943 | 11,846 | 12,761 |
| Western State College | 1,584 | 1,602 | 1,393 | 1,417 | 1,460 | 1,525 | 1,478 | 1,501 | 1,499 | 1,432 | 1,499 |
| CCCOES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arapahoe Community College | 3,880 | 3,849 | 3,832 | 3,744 | 3,832 | 3,821 | 3,785 | 3,832 | 3,815 | 3,800 | 4,080 |
| Colorado Northwestern Community College | 782 | 807 | 750 | 697 | 704 | 732 | 768 | 710 | 651 | 666 | 796 |
| Community College of Aurora | 2,359 | 2,514 | 2,658 | 2,603 | 2,559 | 2,366 | 2,349 | 2,494 | 2,608 | 2,595 | 2,711 |
| Community College of Denver | 3,873 | 4,240 | 4,365 | 4,187 | 3,984 | 3,973 | 3,801 | 3,816 | 3,762 | 3,791 | 3,925 |
| Front Range Community College | 5,926 | 6,187 | 6,061 | 5,916 | 6,329 | 6,556 | 6,066 | 6,554 | 6,985 | 7,286 | 7,719 |
| Lamar Community College | 586 | 612 | 581 | 557 | 580 | 621 | 633 | 649 | 614 | 630 | 640 |
| Morgan Community College | 566 | 712 | 807 | 696 | 744 | 802 | 861 | 911 | 948 | 949 | 979 |
| Northeastern Junior College | 1,695 | 1,724 | 1,570 | 1,559 | 1,515 | 1,579 | 1,457 | 1,500 | 1,464 | 1,546 | 1,513 |
| Otero Junior College | 666 | 677 | 749 | 761 | 735 | 773 | 835 | 887 | 933 | 955 | 1,072 |
| Pikes Peak Community College | 4,571 | 4,717 | 4,437 | 4,573 | 4,436 | 4,662 | 4,772 | 4,994 | 5,171 | 5,208 | 5,507 |
| Pueblo Community College | 2,472 | 2,696 | 2,623 | 2,600 | 2,747 | 2,831 | 3,045 | 3,053 | 3,175 | 3,159 | 3,492 |
| Red Rocks Community College | 3,037 | 3,365 | 3,598 | 3,627 | 3,666 | 3,720 | 4,035 | 4,228 | 4,034 | 4,064 | 4,032 |
| Trindad State Junior College | 1,023 | 1,049 | 1,059 | 1,350 | 1,298 | 1,396 | 1,403 | 1,308 | 1,314 | 1,288 | 1,340 |
| Local District Colleges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aims Community College | 4,454 | 4,544 | 3,992 | 3,760 | 3,630 | 3,717 | 3,636 | 3,554 | 3,582 | 3,576 | 3,745 |
| Colorado Mountain College | 2,344 | 2,279 | 2,456 | 2,547 | 2,464 | 2,477 | 2,483 | 2,442 | 2,387 | 2,445 | 2,412 |
| BOARD SUMMARY: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regents of the University of Colorado | 27,854 | 27,362 | 27,504 | 27,237 | 27,084 | 27,312 | 28,013 | 28,491 | 28,971 | 29,558 | 30,164 |
| State Board of Agriculture | 21,392 | 21,447 | 21,337 | 21,299 | 21,499 | 21,618 | 21,435 | 21,610 | 21,921 | 21,778 | 22,438 |
| Trustees of the Colorado School of Mines | 1,743 | 1,910 | 1,983 | 2,043 | 2,122 | 2,160 | 2,168 | 2,881 | 2,344 | 2,251 | 2,358 |
| Board of Trustees Univ. of No. Colorado | 8,717 | 8,651 | 8,749 | 8,643 | 8,403 | 8,225 | 8,315 | 8,573 | 8,990 | 9,041 | 9,088 |
| Trustees of State Colleges | 19,135 | 19,015 | 18,519 | 18,654 | 18,649 | 19,049 | 19,105 | 19,087 | 19,038 | 18,970 | 20,209 |
| CCCOES | 31,436 | 33,149 | 33,000 | 32,960 | 33,129 | 33,332 | 33,810 | 34,936 | 35,475 | 35,938 | 37,805 |
| State Summary | 110,278 | 111,534 | 111,182 | 110,836 | 110,886 | 111,696 | 112,845 | 114,980 | 116,739 | 117,235 | 122,062 |
| District Summary | 6,798 | 6,823 | 6,448 | 6,307 | 6,094 | 6,194 | 6,119 | 5,996 | 5,969 | 6,021 | 6,157 |
| Public Summary | 117,076 | 118,357 | 117,630 | 117,143 | 116,980 | 117,890 | 118,964 | 120,976 | 122,708 | 123,257 | 128,218 |

## The Colorado Commission on Higher Education Final FTE Student Enrollment Report

| Public Institutions | Non-Resident Student FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY $91-92$ | FY $92-93$ | FY 93-94 | FY 94-95 | FY 95-96 | FY 96-97 | FY 97-98 | FY 98-99 | FY 99-2000 | FY 2000-01 | FY 2001-02 |
| Regents of the University of Colorado: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| University of Colorado-Boulder | 7,374 | 7,547 | 7,175 | 6,787 | 6,895 | 6,749 | 6,957 | 7,203 | 7,215 | 7,521 | 7,913 |
| University of Colorado-Colorado Springs | 205 | 231 | 247 | 240 | 232 | 244 | 315 | 345 | 366 | 385 | 394 |
| University of Colorado-Denver | 423 | 460 | 516 | 546 | 611 | 656 | 677 | 602 | 652 | 788 | 827 |
| University of Colorado-Health Sciences | 244 | 261 | 267 | 257 | 290 | 264 | 238 | 237 | 258 | 234 | 249 |
| State Board of Agriculture: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado State University-E\&G | 4,446 | 4,567 | 4,642 | 4,616 | 4,689 | 4,510 | 4,467 | 4,440 | 4,431 | 4,367 | 4,550 |
| Colorado State University-PVM | 371 | 366 | 397 | 391 | 393 | 399 | 376 | 357 | 333 | 323 | 325 |
| Fort Lewis College | 939 | 1,084 | 1,193 | 1,182 | 1,215 | 1,255 | 1,301 | 1,295 | 1,382 | 1,347 | 1,369 |
| University of Southern Colorado | 273 | 310 | 408 | 462 | 496 | 484 | 517 | 496 | 521 | 521 | 470 |
| Trustees of Colorado School of Mines: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Board of Trustees Univ. of No. Colorado: University of Northern Colorado | 1,055 | 1,055 | 1,091 | 1,078 | 1,151 | 1,218 | 1,304 | 1,343 | 1,305 | 1,268 | 1,240 |
| Trustees of State Colleges: Adams State College | 450 | 419 | 416 | 435 | 441 | 426 | 379 | 368 | 368 | 335 | 374 |
| Mesa State College | 251 | 313 | 352 | 399 | 412 | 406 | 422 | 410 | 438 | 429 | 431 |
| Metroopolitan State College of Denver | 343 | 337 | 311 | 323 | 320 | 359 | 390 | 408 | 427 | 434 | 466 |
| Western State College | 767 | 840 | 764 | 744 | 766 | 755 | 746 | 697 | 680 | 640 | 595 |
| CCCOES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arapahoe Community College | 248 | 296 | 278 | 292 | 240 | 269 | 301 | 376 | 325 | 291 | 238 |
| Colorado Northwestern Community College | 79 | 118 | 126 | 118 | 116 | 101 | 98 | 85 | 79 | 89 | 76 |
| Community College of Aurora | 49 | 41 | 44 | 68 | 60 | 52 | 55 | 83 | 84 | 74 | 103 |
| Community College of Denver | 159 | 246 | 238 | 253 | 252 | 254 | 237 | 255 | 264 | 235 | 264 |
| Front Range Community College | 308 | 405 | 422 | 434 | 408 | 390 | 434 | 454 | 482 | 394 | 406 |
| Lamar Community College | 51 | 63 | 73 | 73 | 84 | 77 | 104 | 96 | 59 | 44 | 43 |
| Margan Community College | 18 | 45 | 39 | 20 | 25 | 13 | 18 | 16 | 11 | 10 | 8 |
| Northeastern Junior College | 85 | 101 | 78 | 74 | 78 | 134 | 75 | 79 | 74 | 59 | 81 |
| Otero Junior College | 60 | 61 | 50 | 52 | 40 | 32 | 19 | 19 | 25 | 19 | 20 |
| Pikes Peak Community College | 131 | 169 | 149 | 121 | 124 | 142 | 147 | 114 | 118 | 126 | 172 |
| Pueblo Community College | 45 | 48 | 52 | 59 | 74 | 80 | 101 | 168 | 157 | 133 | 118 |
| Red Rocks Community College | 208 | 278 | 342 | 406 | 287 | 177 | 150 | 170 | 168 | 169 | 172 |
| Trindad State Junior College | 218 | 192 | 184 | 183 | 169 | 171 | 167 | 162 | 150 | 138 | 148 |
| Local District Colleges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aims Community College | 55 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 68 | 90 | 143 | 276 | 266 | 236 | 208 |
| Colorado Mountain College | 377 | 457 | 554 | 633 | 614 | 622 | 653 | 636 | 540 | 594 | 542 |
| BOARD SUMMARY: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regents of the University of Colorado | 8,446 | 8,499 | 8,205 | 7,830 | 8,028 | 7,913 | 8,187 | 8,387 | 8,491 | 8,928 | 9,383 |
| State Board of Agriculture | 6,029 | 6,327 | 6,640 | 6,651 | 6,793 | 6,648 | 6,661 | 6,588 | 6,667 | 6,557 | 6,714 |
| Trustees of the Colorado School of Mines | 830 | 948 | 1,023 | 1,051 | 1,067 | 1,082 | 1,043 | 969 | 933 | 864 | 858 |
| Board of Trustees Univ. of No. Colorado | 1,055 | 1,055 | 1,091 | 1,078 | 1,151 | 1,218 | 1,304 | 1,343 | 1,305 | 1,268 | 1,240 |
| Trustees of State Colleges | 1,811 | 1,909 | 1,843 | 1,901 | 1,939 | 1,946 | 1,937 | 1,883 | 1,913 | 1,837 | 1,866 |
| CCCOES | 1,659 | 2,063 | 2,075 | 2,153 | 1,957 | 1,892 | 1,906 | 2,077 | 1,996 | 1,781 | 1,850 |
| State Summary | 19,630 | 20,801 | 20,877 | 20,664 | 20,935 | 20,699 | 21,038 | 21,247 | 21,305 | 21,235 | 21,911 |
| District Summary | 432 | 517 | 614 | 693 | 682 | 712 | 796 | 912 | 806 | 830 | 750 |
| Public Summary | 20,062 | 21,318 | 21,491 | 21,357 | 21,617 | 21,411 | 21,834 | 22,159 | 22,111 | 22,065 | 22,660 |

Populations by Race plus the
Ethnicity of Hispanic or
Latino

Census 2000 Table P7

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | Service Area |
| (Zip Code) |  |  |  |$|$



Age / Sex - Census 2000 Table P8

|  | United States | Colorado | Service Area |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male: | $137,916,186$ | $2,163,954$ | 248202 |
| Female: | $143,505,720$ | $2,137,307$ | 249891 |
| Total: | $281,421,906$ | $4,301,261$ | 498093 |


|  | United States | Colorado | Service Area |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Female | $51 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |


| Under 1 yr | $3,827,009$ | 61,040 | 7169 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1-15 years | $60,426,820$ | 915,024 | 118,164 |
| $16-17$ | $7,888,928$ | 120,726 | 15,237 |
| $18-24$ years | $27,067,510$ | 427,839 | 30,441 |
| $25-34$ yrs | $39,577,357$ | 664,455 | 69,666 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $35-44$ yrs | $45,905,471$ | 745,402 | 99,326 |
| $45-54$ yrs | $37,578,609$ | 613,007 | 81,886 |
| $55-64$ yrs | $24,171,230$ | 337,986 | 39,608 |
| 65 and over | $34,978,972$ | 415,782 | 36,596 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 8 1 , 4 2 1 , 9 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 0 1 , 2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 8 0 9 3}$ |

Median Household Income - Census 2000 Table P53

|  | United States | Colorado | Service Area <br> (Zip Code) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Median household income in | 41,994 | 47,203 | 76731 |

Per Capita Income - Census 2000 Table P82

|  | United States | Colorado | Service Area <br> (Zip Code) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Per capita income in 1999 | 21,587 | 24,049 | 34061 |



| Colorado | $\square$ Under 1 yr <br> $\square 1-15$ years <br> $\square 16-17$ <br> $\square 18-24$ years <br> $\square 25-34$ yrs <br> $\square 35-44$ yrs <br> $\square 45-54$ yrs <br> $\square 55-64$ yrs <br> $\square 65$ and over |
| :---: | :---: |



Household income Census 2000 Table P52

| Total: | United States |  | Colorado |  | Service Area (Zip Code) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
|  | $105,539,122$$10,067,027$ | 100.0\% | 1,659,308 | 100.0\% | 184,190 | 100.0\% |
| Less than \$10,000 |  | 9.5\% | 114,658 | 6.9\% | 5,205 | 2.8\% |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 6,657,228 | 6.3\% | 82,584 | 5.0\% | 4,257 | 2.3\% |
| \$15,000 to \$19,999 | 6,601,020 | 6.3\% | 86,761 | 5.2\% | 4,589 | 2.5\% |
| \$20,000 to \$24,999 | 6,935,945 | 6.6\% | 99,236 | 6.0\% | 5,398 | 2.9\% |
| \$25,000 to \$29,999 | 6,801,010 | 6.4\% | 103,455 | 6.2\% | 6,545 | 3.6\% |
| \$30,000 to \$34,999 | 6,718,232 | 6.4\% | 105,527 | 6.4\% | 7,149 | 3.9\% |
| \$35,000 to \$39,999 | 6,236,192 | 5.9\% | 99,230 | 6.0\% | 7,701 | 4.2\% |
| \$40,000 to \$44,999 | 5,965,869 | 5.7\% | 97,371 | 5.9\% | 8,011 | 4.3\% |
| \$45,000 to \$49,999 | 5,244,211 | 5.0\% | 85,288 | 5.1\% | 7,635 | 4.1\% |
| \$50,000 to \$59,999 | 9,537,175 | 9.0\% | 162,096 | 9.8\% | 16,701 | 9.1\% |
| \$60,000 to \$74,999 | 11,003,429 | 10.4\% | 189,567 | 11.4\% | 24,361 | 13.2\% |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 10,799,245 | 10.2\% | 197,339 | 11.9\% | 32,220 | 17.5\% |
| \$100,000 to \$124,999 | 5,491,526 | 5.2\% | 101,257 | 6.1\% | 21,041 | 11.4\% |
| \$125,000 to \$149,999 | 2,656,300 | 2.5\% | 49,315 | 3.0\% | 11,299 | 6.1\% |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 2,322,038 | 2.2\% | 42,707 | 2.6\% | 10,427 | 5.7\% |
| \$200,000 or more | 2,502,675 | 2.4\% | 42,917 | 2.6\% | 11,651 | 6.3\% |


|  | United States | Colorado | ACC <br> Service Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$10,000 | 9.5\% | 6.8\% | 2.8\% |
| \$10,000 to \$29,999 | 25.6\% | 22.4\% | 11.3\% |
| \$30,000 to \$49,999 | 23.0\% | 23.4\% | 16.5\% |
| \$50,000 to \$99,999 | 29.6\% | 33.1\% | 39.8\% |
| \$100,000 to \$199,999 | 9.9\% | 11.7\% | 23.2\% |
| \$200,000 or more | 2.4\% | 2.6\% | 6.4\% |
| TOTAL | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |



| Age by Educational Attainment for the Population 18 years and over - Census 2000 PCT25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States |  | Colorado |  | Service Area (Zip Code) |  |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \# \% |
| 18 to 24 years: | 27,067,510 | 427,839 |  |  | 30441 |  |
| Less than 9th grade | 1,079,676 | 4.0\% | 19,377 | 4.5\% | 556 | 1.8\% |
| 9th to 12th grade, no diploma | 5,754,951 | 21.3\% | 87,294 | 20.4\% | 6812 | 22.4\% |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 7,737,771 | 28.6\% | 118,154 | 27.6\% | 8487 | 27.9\% |
| Some college, no degree | 9,284,898 | 34.3\% | 148,837 | 34.8\% | 9535 | 31.3\% |
| Associate degree | 1,095,693 | 4.0\% | 15,897 | 3.7\% | 1290 | 4.2\% |
| Bachelor's degree | 1,964,109 | 7.3\% | 35,982 | 8.4\% | 3557 | 11.7\% |
| Graduate or professional degree | 39,577,357 |  | 2298 | 0.5\% | 204 | 0.7\% |
| 25 to 34 years: |  |  | 664,455 | 69666 |  |  |
| Less than 9th grade | 1,880,372 | 4.8\% | 30,337 | 4.6\% | 596 | 0.9\% |
| 9th to 12th grade, no diploma | 4,492,287 | 11.4\% | 57,515 | 8.7\% | 2566 | 3.7\% |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 10,223,614 | 25.8\% | 134,496 | 20.2\% | 10042 | 14.4\% |
| Some college, no degree | 9,123,703 | 23.1\% | 160,525 | 24.2\% | 17518 | 25.1\% |
| Associate degree | 2,957,508 | 7.5\% | 50,279 | 7.6\% | 4882 | 7.0\% |
| Bachelor's degree | 8,045,308 | 20.3\% | 178,908 | 26.9\% | 27080 | 38.9\% |
| Graduate or professional degree | 45,905,471 |  | 52,395 | 7.9\% | 6982 | 10.0\% |
| 35 to 44 years: |  |  | 745,402 | 99326 |  |  |
| Less than 9th grade | 2,110,806 | 4.6\% | 24,902 | 3.3\% | 614 | 0.6\% |
| 9th to 12th grade, no diploma | 4,757,487 | 10.4\% | 54,184 | 7.3\% | 3260 | 3.3\% |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 13,068,020 | 28.5\% | 171,564 | 23.0\% | 14720 | 14.8\% |
| Some college, no degree | 10,354,927 | 22.6\% | 180,298 | 24.2\% | 23538 | 23.7\% |
| Associate degree | 3,732,108 | 8.1\% | 64,773 | 8.7\% | 8006 | 8.1\% |
| Bachelor's degree | 7,883,401 | 17.2\% | 169,687 | 22.8\% | 34493 | 34.7\% |
| Graduate or professional degree | 3,998,722 | 8.7\% | 79,994 | 10.7\% | 14695 | 14.8\% |
| 45 to 64 years: | 61,749,839 |  |  | 121494 |  |  |
| Less than 9th grade | 3,903,742 | 6.3\% | 950,993 | 3.5\% | 1068 | 0.9\% |
| 9th to 12th grade, no diploma | 6,499,106 | 10.5\% | 60,559 | 6.4\% | 3545 | 2.9\% |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 17,696,654 | 28.7\% | 209,511 | 22.0\% | 18703 | 15.4\% |
| Some college, no degree | 13,394,914 | 21.7\% | 241,824 | 25.4\% | 30178 | 24.8\% |
| Associate degree | 3,958,821 | 6.4\% | 66,990 | 7.0\% | 9082 | 7.5\% |
| Bachelor's degree | 9,258,616 | 15.0\% | 197,947 | 20.8\% | 35799 | 29.5\% |
| Graduate or professional degree | 34,978,972 |  | 140,975 | 14.8\% | 23119 | 19.0\% |
| 65 years and over: |  |  | 415,782 | 36596 |  |  |
| Less than 9th grade | 5,860,557 | 16.8\% | 45,922 | 11.0\% | 1898 | 5.2\% |
| 9th to 12th grade, no diploma | 6,211,268 | 17.8\% | 56,433 | 13.6\% | 3355 | 9.2\% |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 11,180,693 | 32.0\% | 128,789 | 31.0\% | 10101 | 27.6\% |
| Some college, no degree | 5,478,051 | 15.7\% | 84,963 | 20.4\% | 8500 | 23.2\% |
| Associate degree | 864,396 | 2.5\% | 11,826 | 2.8\% | 1149 | 3.1\% |
| Bachelor's degree | 3,130,467 | 8.9\% | 52,486 | 12.6\% | 7290 | 19.9\% |
| Graduate or professional degree | 2,253,540 | 6.4\% | 35,363 | 8.5\% | 4303 | 11.8\% |
| Total - all ages 18 and above | 209,279,149 | United States | 3,204,471 | Colorado | 357523 Service Area |  |
| Less than 9th grade | 14,835,153 | 7.1\% | 153,725 | 4.8\% | 4,732 | 1.3\% |
| 9th to 12th grade, no diploma | 27,715,099 | 13.2\% | 315,985 | 9.9\% | 19,538 | 5.5\% |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 59,906,752 | 28.6\% | 762,514 | 23.8\% | 62,053 | 17.4\% |
| Some college, no degree | 47,636,493 | 22.8\% | 816,447 | 25.5\% | 89,269 | 25.0\% |
| Associate degree | 12,608,526 | 6.0\% | 209,765 | 6.5\% | 24,409 | 6.8\% |
| Bachelor's degree | 30,281,901 | 14.5\% | 635,010 | 19.8\% | 108,219 | 30.3\% |
| Graduate or professional degree | 16,295,225 | 7.8\% | 311,025 | 9.7\% | 49,303 | 13.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Top 50 Occupations by Annual Growth Rate


Prepared by Margaret Puryear. Projections are from the Colorado Department of Labor \& Employment: http://ww.coworkforce.com/Imi/ooo/DenverMeiro/Top50GR.htm. The additional lising of schools where programs are offered was compiled by visiting each school website along with the CCHE (Colorado Commission on Higher Education) website.

|  |  | Employ | oyment |  | Average An |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $0$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & z \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\pi}{x}$ | $5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pi \\ & \lambda \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OES Code | Occupational Title | 1998 | 2008 | Absolute <br> Growh | Growh | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Replace } \\ \text { ment } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Opening } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25104 | 4 Computer Suppot Specilisis's | 9,460 | 22,000 | 1,263 | 13.40\% | 60 | 1,323 | ASSOCA, ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | , ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | A,, | A, C | A, C | A,, | A, | ,, | , ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | A,, | A | A,, | A | A,, | A, C |  | C |
|  | 3 Database Administrators | 1,330 | 3,510 | 188 | 11.50\% | 30 | 218 | BACH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | c |  |
|  | 2 Sysiems Analyis | 9,300 | 19,300 | 1,009 | 10.80\% | 60 | 1,660 | BACH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22127 | 7] Conpuler Engineers | 8,580 | 16,610 | 803 | 9.40\% | 50 | 853 | BACH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15032 | 2 LLamS Serice Managers | 980 | 1,780 | 80 | 8.20\% | 10 | 90 | OJT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Home Healh Aides | 3,200 | 5,520 | 223 | 6.80\% | 50 | 273 | OJTCERTIC | C | c |  |  | C | C |  | c |  | C | C | C | C |  | C | C |
| 8731 | 7 Pasaterers Stucco Masons | 760 | 1,250 | 49 | 6.40\% | 20 | 69 | OJT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8MMal Mach Oprs, PreparalHanding | 1,220 | 2,000 | 78 | 6.40\% | 40 | 118 | OJT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 Sheet Metal Duct Installers | 550 | 900 | 35 | 6.40\% | 10 | 45 | 0JT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Temmkis Door Sales Reated Wkis | 7,400 | 12,100 | 473 | 6.30\% | 100 | 663 | OJT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 Personallhome Care Aides | 1,200 | 1,660 | 76 | 6.30\% | 30 | 106 | OJTCEETTC | C | C |  |  | C | C |  | c |  | c | C | C | c |  | c | c |
|  | 8 8Socillhuman Serice Assisitanis | 2,800 | 4,710 | 182 | 6.30\% | 80 | 262 | OJT |  |  |  |  | A,, |  |  |  |  |  | A,, | C | A |  |  |  |
| 87302 | 2Bricknasons Blockmasons | 600 | 970 | 37 | 6.20\% | 10 | 47 | OJT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6,450 | 10,400 | 395 | 6.10\% | 110 | 505 | BACH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $2{ }^{2}$ Capet I nstalers | 1,210 | 1,940 | 73 | 6.00\% | 30 | 103 | OJT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 l nstuctior, Adut ( Nor-VoEEd) | 1,880 | 3,40 | 116 | 5.00\% | 20 | 136 | BACH + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 Roofers | 2,560 | 4,550 | 149 | 5.80\% | 90 | 239 | OJT | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C | C |  | C |
| 63017 | 7 Corectiona Officers | 1,800 | 2,40 | 108 | 5.80\% | 50 | 158 | ASSOCA, ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | A, | A,, | A, | A, ${ }_{\text {C }}$ | A, C |  | A |  | A, | A, C | A | A, C | A,, | A, C |  |  |
|  | 6 ActossDipectos/Producers | 1,060 | 1,670 | 61 | 5.80\% | 20 | 81 | OJT |  |  | A | A | A |  |  | AC |  | A | A |  | A |  |  |  |
| 15017 | 7 Construction Managers | 4,210 | 6,570 | 236 | 5.60\% | 70 | 306 | ASSOCBACH | A |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | A, C |  |  |
| 43017 | 7 Sales Agents, Business Serices | 6,180 | 9,620 | 344 | 5.60\% | 130 | 474 | 0JT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 Financial Analysis, Staisisical | 640 | 900 | 35 | 5.50\% | 10 | 45 | BACH + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 FFight Atendants | 2,110 | 3,260 | 115 | 5.50\% | 50 | 165 | OJT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68021 | UshersLLoby Atsticket Takers | 520 | 800 | 28 | 5.40\% | 10 | 38 | 0, ${ }^{\text {T }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2730 | 7 Residenial Counselors | 1,420 | 2,180 | 76 | 5.40\% | 30 | 106 | BACH/C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66005 | 5 Medical Assisiants | 3,10 | 4,620 | 161 | 5.30\% | 70 | 231 | OJTASSOCC | c |  | 9 |  | C | C |  |  |  | c |  |  | A,, |  | C | C |
|  | 8 Loan Counselors \& Officers | 3,970 | 6,40 | 207 | 5.20\% | 90 | 297 | BACH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 88Athleeses Coaches:UmpiresiRel | 830 | 1,260 | 43 | 5.20\% | 30 | 73 | OJT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2First Line Suprs: AgFForestifis | 930 | 1,410 | 48 | 5.20\% | 10 | 58 | BACH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 Adiusment Clerks | 5.870 | 8,860 | 299 | 5.10\% | 40 | 339 | OJT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 Drywall nstallers | 2,620 | 3,550 | 133 | 5.10\% | 40 | 173 | OJT | c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  |  | $C$ |
|  | 1 Tapers | 1,360 | 2,50 | 69 | 5.10\% | 20 | 89 | OJT | c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | 2 Capenters/Reated Hepers | 1,760 | 2,650 | 89 | 5.10\% | 80 | 169 | OJT | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C | C |  |  |
|  | 1 CnatF FshnsiSmmiNsnsTTro Wkis | 2,050 | 3,800 | 103 | 5.00\% | 20 | 123 | OJT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | c | c |  | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $2{ }^{\text {Capenters }}$ | 9,400 | 14,220 | 473 | 5.00\% | 250 | 723 | OJT | c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | c |  |  |
|  | 2 Dental Assistants | 2,520 | 3,70 | 125 | 5.00\% | 40 | 165 | ASSOC |  |  | A |  | A | C |  | c |  |  | A,, | A, C |  |  | C | C |
|  | 2 HeatACRRefig Mechsi/nsil\|rs | 2,870 | 4,200 | 142 | 4.0\%\% | 50 | 192 | OJTASSOC |  |  |  |  |  | A,, |  |  |  |  |  |  | A, C |  | C | C |


|  | Hatar 11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 䜌 | N14｜raty |  |
| nuth | Haval |  |
| \％ | M140｜ |  |
| 4 | Whate |  |
|  | Wat Mathem |  |
| 相 | W1401］ |  |
|  | Hamy |  |
| 瑗新 | Wxatat |  |
| 4 | Whand |  |
| 4ith | Widy 1 |  |
| 期 | Dinder | 4 |
| Niklik | ｜nindy |  |
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## Top Jobs requiring an Associate's Degree

##  Colorado Departmento f Labor \& Employment Wobor Marke Information Workforce Ressarch \& Analysis

Prepared by Maragaet Puyjear: Projections are form the Colorado Dept. of Labor \& Employment:
 visiting each school website along with the CCHE (COlorado Commission on Higher Education) wesbite.


* Dental hygienisis and Dental Assistant are combined
** Program is under review for that college
A- Associate Degree
C. Certificate

| The 30 Most Declining Occupations by Percentage |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Denver Metro |  |  | 1998-2008 |
|  | Average <br> Annual <br> Change |  | State |
|  | Occupational Title | Average <br> Annual <br> Change |  |
| Occupational Title | $-5.60 \%$ | Roustabouts, Oil/Gas | $-6.20 \%$ |
| Typesetting/Composing Mach Oprs | $-4.30 \%$ | Typesetting/Composing Mach Oprs | $-5.60 \%$ |
| Power Generating Plant Operators | $-4.10 \%$ | Wellhead Pumpers | $-5.40 \%$ |
| Meat/Poultry/Fish Cutters, Hand | $-4.00 \%$ | Derrick Operators, Oi//Gas | $-5.10 \%$ |
| Slaughterers \& Meat Packers | $-3.60 \%$ | Service Unit Oprs, Oil/Gas/Mine | $-5.00 \%$ |
| Station Instllrs/Rprs, Telephone | $-3.60 \%$ | Petroleum Techns/Technologists | $-4.90 \%$ |
| Peripheral Equipment Operators | $-2.90 \%$ | Roof Bolters, Mining | $-4.30 \%$ |
| Film Strippers, Printing | $-2.50 \%$ | Metal Pours/Casters, Basic Shape | $-3.70 \%$ |
| Dairy Process Equip Opers/Settrs | $-1.90 \%$ | Mining \& Related Managers | $-3.60 \%$ |
| Computer Oprs, Ex Peripheral Eq | $-1.80 \%$ | Railroad Brake/Signal/Switch Ops | $-3.30 \%$ |
| Boiler Opers/Tndrs, Low Pressure | $-1.70 \%$ | Paste-Up Workers | $-3.30 \%$ |
| EKG Technicians | $-1.60 \%$ | Mine Machinery Mechanics | $-3.10 \%$ |
| Letterpress Operators | $-1.40 \%$ | Peripheral Equipment Operators | $-3.10 \%$ |
| Fitters, Structural Metal, Prec | $-1.40 \%$ | Shuttle Car Operators | $-3.10 \%$ |
| Mach Maint Mechns: Water/Power | $-1.40 \%$ | Photoengravers | $-2.70 \%$ |
| Marking Clerks | $-1.40 \%$ | EKG Technicians | $-2.60 \%$ |
| Central Office Operators | $-1.30 \%$ | Petroleum Engineers | $-2.50 \%$ |
| Roastng/Dryng Oprs/Tndrs, Fd/Tob | $-1.20 \%$ | Station Instllrs/Rprs, Telephone | $-2.30 \%$ |
| Switchboard Operators | $-1.20 \%$ | Film Strippers, Printing | $-2.20 \%$ |
| Woodwrkng Mach Ops/Tndrs, Ex Swg | $-1.20 \%$ | Food Batchmakers | $-2.00 \%$ |
| Compositors/Typesetters, Pre | $-1.10 \%$ | Slaughterers \& Meat Packers | $-1.70 \%$ |
| Statement Clerks | $-1.00 \%$ | Dairy Process Equip Opers/Settrs | $-1.70 \%$ |
| Welfare Eligibility Workers | $-0.90 \%$ | Aircraft Assemblers, Precision | $-1.60 \%$ |
| Offset Lithographic Press Opers | $-0.80 \%$ | Separating/Still Mach Sttrs/Oprs | $-1.50 \%$ |
| Machine Forming Oprs/Tndrs, M/P | $-0.70 \%$ | Petro Refinery/Ctrl Panel Opers | $-1.50 \%$ |
| Proofreaders \& Copy Markers | $-0.70 \%$ | Computer Oprs, Ex Peripheral Eq | $-1.00 \%$ |
| Word Processors \& Typists | $-0.70 \%$ | Announcers, Radio \& TV | $-0.80 \%$ |
| Bank Tellers | $-0.60 \%$ | Switchboard Operators | $-0.60 \%$ |
| Announcers, Radio \& TV | $-0.60 \%$ | Barbers | $-0.60 \%$ |
| Data Entry Keyers, Composing | $-0.60 \%$ | Word Processors \& Typists | $-0.30 \%$ |
| Meter Readers, Utilities |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| The 30 Most Declining Occupations by Absolute Number |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998-2008 |  |  |  |
| Denver Metro |  | State |  |
| Occupational Title | Average Annual Change | Occupational Title | Average <br> Annual <br> Change |
| Computer Oprs, Ex Peripheral Eq | -46 | Slaughterers \& Meat Packers | -50 |
| Meat/Poultry/Fish Cutters, Hand | -44 | Computer Oprs, Ex Peripheral Eq | -33 |
| Switchboard Operators | -32 | Roustabouts, Oil/Gas | -26 |
| Bank Tellers | -27 | Food Batchmakers | -25 |
| Station Instllrs/Rprs, Telephone | -21 | Switchboard Operators | -20 |
| Word Processors \& Typists | -18 | Petroleum Techns/Technologists | -19 |
| Film Strippers, Printing | -11 | Mining \& Related Managers | -16 |
| Offset Lithographic Press Opers | -9 | Station Instllrs/Rprs, Telephone | -15 |
| Slaughterers \& Meat Packers | -8 | Wellhead Pumpers | -14 |
| Mach Maint Mechns: Water/Power | -7 | Railroad Brake/Signal/Switch Ops | -14 |
| Welfare Eligibility Workers | -6 | Word Processors \& Typists | -14 |
| Typesetting/Composing Mach Oprs | -5 | Petroleum Engineers | -13 |
| Letterpress Operators | -5 | Film Strippers, Printing | -10 |
| Food Batchmakers | -5 | Service Unit Oprs, Oil/Gas/Mine | -9 |
| Peripheral Equipment Operators | -5 | Announcers, Radio \& TV | -8 |
| Power Generating Plant Operators | -3 | Derrick Operators, Oil/Gas | -8 |
| Boiler Opers/Tndrs, Low Pressure | -3 | Peripheral Equipment Operators | -8 |
| Woodwrkng Mach Ops/Tndrs, Ex Swg | -3 | Typesetting/Composing Mach Oprs | -7 |
| Machine Forming Oprs/Tndrs, M/P | -3 | Barbers | -6 |
| Machine Tool Cutting Opers, M/P | -3 | Mine Machinery Mechanics | -5 |
| Compositors/Typesetters, Pre | -3 | Metal Pours/Casters, Basic Shape | -5 |
| Marking Clerks | -3 | Roof Bolters, Mining | -4 |
| Central Office Operators | -3 | Paste-Up Workers | -3 |
| Statement Clerks | -3 | Separating/Still Mach Sttrs/Oprs | -3 |
| Announcers, Radio \& TV | -3 | Aircraft Assemblers, Precision | -3 |
| Dairy Process Equip Opers/Settrs | -2 | EKG Technicians | -3 |
| Butchers \& Meatcutters, Retail | -2 | Dairy Process Equip Opers/Settrs | -2 |
| Meter Readers, Utilities | -2 | Photoengravers | -2 |
| Postal Mail Carriers | -2 | Petro Refinery/Ctrl Panel Opers | -2 |
| Proofreaders \& Copy Markers | -2 | Shuttle Car Operators | -2 |

## Resources

## Resources

AAHE American Association of Community Colleges - Fast Facts Link: http://www.aacc.nche.edu/Template.cfm?Section=AboutCommunityColleges

AMSTAT American Statistical Association: http://www.amstat.org/index.html
Bureau of Economic Analysis: http://www.bea.doc.gov/

- Local area personal income by county, metropolitan area, BEA Economic areas, State Metropolitan /non metropolitan
- Economic articles from the survey of current business
- National Data provide bench mark information
- Methodologies, papers and presentations

CI P code Classification of Instructional Program code - 6-digit "universal" code assigned to each academic program and subject area that allows for consistent categorization of similar programs nationwide. Reference: http://nces.ed.gov/pubs2002/cip2000/

CCHE Colorado Commission on Higher Education: http://www.state.co.us/cche dir/hecche.html CCHE I nformation and Research:
http://www.state.co.us/cche/I\&R/index.html Finding a Major at Colorado Public Two-Year Colleges and Universities : http://www.state.co.us/cche/conguide/2001/index.html

CCCNS Colorado Common Course Numbering System - further information can be found at: http://www.cccs.edu/ccens/Home.html

CDE Colorado Department of Education:
http://www.cde.state.co.us/index_home.htm
Colorado Department of Education Statistics:
http://www.cde.state.co.us/index_stats.htm
Graduates by high school:
http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdereval/2000GradsbySchoolLink.htm
Colorado Online Learning Findings and Recommendations:
http://www.cde.state.co.us/edtech/download/eltf-findings.pdf
CDLE Colorado Department of Labor and Employment reference:
http://www.coworkforce.com/
Colorado Dept. of Labor and Employment Navigator
Occupational projections for Colorado State and Denver Metro by Occupational group

Occupational Wages by County and occupational group

```
(Average hourly wage, \(25^{\text {th }}\) and \(74^{\text {th }}\) percentiles) Labor force by County, Annual and monthly data Employers' listing by county Industry growth by county (employment) http://navigator.cdle.state.co.us/
Jobs Requiring Short-Term On-The-J ob Training: http://www.coworkforce.com/Imi/oeo/DenverMetro/ShortTermTr.htm
Jobs Requiring Moderate-Term On-The-J ob Training: http://www.coworkforce.com/Imi/oeo/DenverMetro/ModTermTr.htm
Jobs Requiring Long-Term On-The-J ob Training: http://www.coworkforce.com/Imi/oeo/DenverMetro/LongTermTr.htm
Jobs Requiring an Associate's Degree: http://www.coworkforce.com/lmi/oeo/DenverMetro/Associates.htm
Jobs Requiring Bachelor's Degree:
http://www.coworkforce.com/lmi/oeo/DenverMetro/Bachelors.htm
30 Most Declining Occupations by Absolute Number:
http://www.coworkforce.com/lmi/oeo/DenverMetro/30declabs.htm
30 Most Declining Occupation by Rate:
http://www.coworkforce.com/lmi/oeo/DenverMetro/30decpdmvc.htm
```

Top 50 by Growth Rate:
http://www.coworkforce.com/lmi/oeo/DenverMetro/Top50GR.htm

## Colorado Dept. of Local Affairs

Data on population
Households by income
Various county data
Mapping data
Colorado Economic \& Demographic Information System (CEDIS)
County and Municipal Financial Data
http://www.dlg.oem2.state.co.us/demog/demog.htm
http://www.dola.state.co.us/DataEtc.htm
Colorado Regional Economic Forecasting - Local, regional and national economies http://www.cbef-colorado.com/

Cooperative Institutional Research Program (CI RP) "is a national longitudinal study of the American higher education system established in 1966 at the American Council on Education. The CIRP is the nation's largest and oldest empirical study of higher education, involving data on some 1,800 institutions and over 11 million students. It is regarded as the most comprehensive source of information on college students. The annual report of the CIRP Freshman Survey provides normative data on each year's entering college students." http://www.gseis.ucla.edu/heri/cirp.html

CNCTYC Council of North Central Two Year Colleges http://cnctyc.kcmetro.cc.mo.us/Mainmenu.htm

FedStats: "The gateway to statistics from over 100 U.S. federal agencies including international comparisons, national, state, county, and local. Published collections of statistics available online including the Statistical Abstract of the United States. Agencies listed alphabetically with descriptions of the statistics they provide and links to their websites, contact information, and key statistics. www.fedstats.gov

FERPA Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 - governs student rights concerning their education records including right to inspect and review, right to seek amendments to records, and the right to have some control over the disclosure of information from their records. http://www.ed.gov/offices/OII/fpco/

IPEDS Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System - mandated federal reporting system administered through NCES. http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/

## Local Chambers of Commerce:

> http://www.state.co.us/business_dir/chambers.html

## NCES

National Center for Education Statistics - http://nces.ed.gov/
National Study of School Evaluation (NSSE) is a non-profit educational research and development organization founded in 1933 by the regional school accreditation commissions in the United States. The NSSE's current scope of work includes a comprehensive series of publications and services to support data-driven and research-based school improvement planning. http://www.nsse.org/index.html

Program Approval Process through CCCS to approve academic programs. http://ctep.cccoes.edu/progappr/login.jsp

## SBCCOES State Board for Community Colleges and Occupational Education

 System - a governing body.http://www.cccs.edu/Docs/SBCCOE/Policies/Foreword.html
Office of State Planning and Budgeting: special reports and research section can be a useful resource for writing narrations, bench mark information etc. http://www.state.co.us/gov_dir/govnr_dir/ospb/

Statistics reference site
U.S. Census Bureau:

American Factfinder Quick Facts
http://davidmlane.com/hyperstat/index.html
http://www.census.gov/
http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/BasicFactsServlet http://quickfacts.census.gov/afd/index.html
http://www.ed.gov/index.jsp

