AAMINED AND ON

BULLETIN NO. 60 AUGUST: 1924

Crop Report for Colorado

U. S. Department of Agriculture

Bureau of Agricultural Economics (Division of Crop and Livestock Estimates)

H. C. Taylor, Chief Washington W. W. Putnam, Agricultural Statistician
Denher

In Cooperation with

Colorado State Board of Immigration

Division of Agricultural Statistics

Edward D. Foster, Commissioner

Tolbert R. Ingram, Deputy and Statistician

Preliminary Acreage Estimates—The estimates of the acreages for 1924 crops in Colorado given in this bulletin, are preliminary estimates of acreages left for harvest and not necessarily the same as the acreage planted, and are subject to revision later in December.

United States Figures are not generally reproduced in the text on account of

lack of space, but will be found in the table on page 4.

General Conditions—Marked declines in condition for corn, small grains and beans during July, condition of all grain crops of the state, and potatoes materially below the 10-year average, and hay holding steady, are the salient features of the August 1 crop report for Colorado. The principal declines were in crops of which large percentages are grown upon non-irrigated farms where the effects of the two months' drought period since June 1 were most marked.

Compared with the 10-year average, the combined condition of all important crops in Colorado declined 3.3 points during July and was 93 per cent of average compared with 96.3 on July 1 and 100.2 August 1 last year and 90.8 per cent of average on August 1, 1922.

Corn—Due to the effects of the continued dry weather over the most important corn sections of the state, except the east central, corn declined 6 points during July and came to August 1 with a condition of 70 per cent. Assuming that the entire 1,565,000 acres devoted to corn in the state this year will be harvested as grain, the forecast of production at this time is 25,180,000 bushels, compared with 37,250,000 bushels, the final estimate of last year, and 18,320,000 bushels in 1922. The present condition of corn is largely due to having been planted nearly three weeks later than usual and continued dry weather since June 1, resulting in poor and uneven stands. In Colorado about 90 per cent of the corn acreage is non-irrigated. This portion of the crop is tasseling and earing short in most parts of the state so that a large yield cannot be expected. The results of special inquiries for a number of years indicates that only about 70 to 80 per cent of the total acreage of corn is larvested for grain. If this ratio prevails this year, the total production for grain will be reduced proportionately.

Winter Wheat—Winter wheat declined 2 points during July and had a harvest time condition of 78 per cent, compared with 77 last year. Based upon the estimated average yield of 15 bushels per acre, the production is placed at 20,625,000 bushels, compared with 12,720,000 bushels last year and 16,406,000 bushels in 1922. The crop is more or less spotted and headed short in some sections, particularly the stubble wheat. Most of the crop, however, came out better than expected from conditions indicated on July 1, considering the continued dry weather during July. It is now believed the final estimate will hold up closely to the present figures.

Spring Wheat—Spring wheat is placed at 75, a decline of 2 points during July, tompared with 87 a year ago. The dry weather during June and July materially reduced this crop, especially upon the non-irrigated acreages, much of which headed short and some cut for hay. The present estimate is 5.569,000 bushels compared with the final estimate of last year of 5,280,000 bushels. The final outturn is likely to be considerably less than the present figures indicate. In Colorado about 44 per cent of the spring wheat acreage is upon irrigated farms.

Oats. Barley, Rye—These small grains suffered similarly to spring and winter wheat. Of the acreages devoted to these crops, about 44 per cent of the oats and per cent of the barley are upon irrigated farms, while rye is practically all non-trigated.

Potatoes—There was a decline during July of 7 points in the condition of potatoes, which had a condition of 81 per cent on August 1, compared with 88 per cent a year ago and 87 per cent the 10-year average for this date. Temperatures were generally favorable, but the potatoes were planted late and the dry weather materially effected the crop. The forecast of production at this time is 11,314,000 bushels, compared with 13,530,000 bushels last year and 18,460,000 bushels, the large crop of 1922. Colorado ranks eighth among the 17 leading surplus and other near-by competing late potato producing states, and is now marketing her early crop. This early crop is now coming principally from the western slope and up to August 8 there had been 280 cars shipped, compared with 294 cars to August 4 last year. Usually about 70 to 80 per cent of the total production of Colorado is considered as commercial. Of the entire acreage of the state about 80 per cent is upon irrigated farms. The dry land crop is in especially poor condition at this time.

Field Beans—The field bean crop of the state is from 85 to 90 per cent non-irrigated, and this portion of the crop has practically stood still since July 1 with declining prospects, the condition being reported at 73, compared with 85 a month ago and 94 last year at this time. The production is now placed at 2,020,000 bushels, compared with 1,360,000 bushels a year ago. However, unless rains come soon the crop must further materially decline and the production be much less than indicated, in some sections and in many fields there will practically be a failure. The largest acreage in the history of the state was planted this year, being 306,000 acres, compared to 170,000 acres last year and 250,000 acres in 1917 and 1918.

Fruits—Prospects in the state for good crops for all kinds of fruits, with the exception of cherries, are still regarded as excellent, generally promising greater production than the crop of last year, more apples and pears, and a few less peaches. Detailed figures will be found on the last page.

Cherries—The cherry crop of the state varies in different sections and is commercially a failure, being rated as only 20 per cent of normal, compared with 80 per cent last year and 92 per cent in 1922, 50 in 1921, and 75 in 1919. Due to the sparse crop, the cost of picking is excessive. The crop this year, if all gathered, would not exceed 1,100 tons. In 1919, the census reported 5,500 tons harvested. The principal cherry counties are Larimer, Fremont, Jefferson, Otero and Crowiey.

Miscellaneous Crops—On August 1, condition figures for other crops of the state for 1924, 1923 and 1922 in the order named for the years are as follows: Alfalfa, 84-90-80; grain sorghums, 70-95-78; millet, 73-92-76; pastures, 73-93-70; sugar beets, 88-94-88; broom corn, 65-91-85; tomatoes, 91-92-85; cabbage, 90-83-85; onions, 90-92-85; watermelons, 80-89-80; cantaloupes, 82-88-80; grapes, 90-95-86; blackberries (production), 81-88-85.

Agricultural Outlook is based upon reports from all sections of the state, indicating the estimated amount of moisture in the soil compared with normal. On August 1, the moisture supply was rated as only 76, compared with 86 on July 1, 101 on August 1 last year and 96 for August 1, 1920. During the last week of each April and May, there were heavy rains over most of the state except in the southeastern portion. Since June 1 there has been much less than the normal amount of precipitation except in the form of local showers and a good rain covering a considerable area in the east central part of the state. All parts of the state are needing rain at this time.

Condition figures for the irrigated and non-irrigated crops are not given separately, only the composite condition figures by counties and for the state are used as shown in the table on page 3 and elsewhere.

General Review of United States Crop Conditions, August 1, 1924—The composite condition of all crops of the United States on August 1 was about 4.1 per cent below their 10-year average on that date, 1.9 per cent higher than on July 1, and 0.2 per cent lower than final per acre yields last year. This year's total acreage in 20 cultivated crops is about 0.1 per cent more than last year.

The condition figures for August 1, and the 10-year averages in order for United States crops not mentioned elsewhere, are: Alfalfa hay, 81.3-87.0; broom corn, 78.8-75.1; buckwheat, 87.7-88.8; grain sorghums, 76.5-79.1; flax, 86.4-75.8; sorghum for syrup, 75.5-81-0; blackberries, etc. (production), 84.8-80.3; grapes, 72.7-ghum for syrup, 72.6-76.6; peanuts, 75.6-82.8; rice, 83.4-87.8; sugar cane (La.), 66.9-84.9; melons, 72.6-76.6; peanuts, 75.6-82.8; rice, 83.4-87.8; sugar cane (La.), 66.9-80.0; sweet potatoes, 70.2-83.5; pasture, 84.0-83.0. (California and Florida) grapefruit, 88.0-78.7; oranges, 85.0-77.4; prunes, 65.0-77.7.

The total production of important products this year compared with last year, expressed in percentages, is estimated as follows: Corn, 84.6; wheat, 103.6; oats, 110.7; barley. 92.9; rye. 104.5; buckwheat, 108.6; white potatoes, 96.8; sweet potatoes, 83.1; tobacco, 80.6; flaxseed, 163.2; rice, 98.8; hay (tame). 99.9; sugar beets, 106.2; appes, 93.3; neaches, 114.2; pears, 101.1; grain sorghums, 106.0; broom corn, 109.5; beans, 87.3; peanuts, 100.0; hops, 98.3; sorghum (syrup), 96.6;

CONDITION OF CROPS AND SOIL MOISTURE ON AUGUST 1, 1924, PER CENT, COMPARED WITH NORMAL

	PER	CENT,	COMP	ARED	WITH	NORMA	Ŀ			
District		~ .				- .			_	Soil
and	Winter Wheat		Onto	Barley	Field	Pota- toes	All	Alfalfa		Mois- ture
	Wileat	Wileat	Vais	Dariey	Dealis	toes	Hay	Allalla	ture	ture
 Northwest— 										
Grand		75	90	82	*****	85	85	85	95	75
Jackson	75	50	$\frac{95}{51}$	$\frac{93}{30}$	******	$\frac{50}{20}$	90 65	******	$^{75}_{80}$	87
Rio Blanco 58	80	89	$\frac{31}{72}$	60		60	60	75	95	85
Routt	70	75	72	70	•	71	81	90	79	70
2. North Central-										
	85	83	80	82	51	82	76	68	80	63
Adams 56 Boulder 73	100	86	90	93	100	90	77	87	75	90
Denver 80	87	85	89	90			88	88	89	81
Larimer 75	88	88	91	90	80	75	81	88	81	85
Weid 70	83	85	89	.87	70	81	84	80	65	. 77
3. Northeast—										
Logan 93	94	83	97	92	93	94	88	93	92	90
Morgan 86	74	67	87	91	85	92	70	77	69	90
Phillips 50 Sedgwick 66	$\frac{90}{92}$	75 81	80 85	$\frac{75}{90}$	96	98	$\frac{75}{83}$	75 95	100 67	85 66
Sedgwick 66 Washington 82	65	65	67	$\frac{30}{72}$		75		75	60	70
Yuma 66	89	65	64	71	85	65	91	55	78	74
4. West Central-										
	90	85	83	95	*****	80	82	75	69	72
Delta 82 Eagle		98	100	100		85	90	85	80	75
Garfield 69	89	91	90	90		84	82	76	63	86
Gunnison	•	80	65	60		55	84	70	70	70
Mesa 96 Montrose 93	94 89	$\frac{92}{91}$	97 91	80 92	•	95 95	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 81 \end{array}$	$\frac{97}{72}$	$\frac{92}{84}$	97 75
Ouray	89	82	89	92	*****	73	90	90	92	95
Pitkin	96	100	95	98	•	75	75	75	75	40
5. Central—										
Chaffee			85	85						
Clear Creek	*****	*****	50			75	75	******	******	******
Fremont 70	88	90	57			70	80	90	55	62
Gllpin			56				******			
Jefferson 78	88	84	83	83	70	75	88	80	79 85	75
Park	•					******				
Summit			85						•	
Teller	•		90	92	•	90	96	100	97	83
6. East Central—										
Arapahoe 75	83	80	76	79	88		73	64	65	77
Theyenne 60	50	• • • • • •	50	55	*****		••	•••••	70	40
Houglas	0.7	90	80 90	75			0.0	50	80	70
Elbert 75 El Paso 70	$\frac{97}{82}$	83	80	73	75 75	80 40	$\frac{90}{70}$	50 70	$\frac{80}{72}$	$\begin{array}{c} 75 \\ 70 \end{array}$
Kit Carson 72	65	53	72	76	73	83	70	50	$7\overline{2}$	78
Lincoln 77	80	81	89	81	87	80	67	72	78	82
7. Southwest-										
Archuleta	85	60	65	75		80	75	60	75	50
D010res	70		•••••						80	65
minsdale								***;::		
La Plata 80 Mineral	68	76	79	62	75	72	68	65	72	58
Montezuma 75	88	91	78	91	90	86	87	90	77	82
ean Juan	******		*****					72	74	74
San Miguel	77	79	76	81		77	81	75	80	65
8. South Central-										
Alamosa			80	90	*****	82	96	95	95	98
			85	85		90	100	90	80	90
Costilla	100	E @	75	75	100	90	$\frac{97}{90}$	100	95	90
Custer 82 Huerfano 85	70 86	56 82	$\frac{68}{82}$	35 70	95 -	60	91	65 72	75 80	90 80
^{Rio} Grande	*****	89	89	89		81	89	88	80	75
Saguache		95	90	97	•••••	87	95	97	85	75
9. Southeast—										
Baea 71	53	48	70	68	55			95	66	56
Bent 77	82	87	92	81	25		80	85	62	50 50
	77	81	93	90	*****	*****		94		•
Lo- 60	58	62 65	60 56	$\frac{65}{52}$	35	60	65	0.0		******
	$\frac{44}{97}$	65 80	94	100		••••	65	90 94	$\begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 65 \end{array}$	35
*10Ward	87	98	99	92			100	102	92	97 91
Pueblo85	92	80	85	92	67		100	83	50	$7\frac{1}{2}$
· -	70	75	78	74	73	81	84	84	7.2	
state Total 70	78	10	10	1 4	10	01	01	0 +	73	76

SUMMARY OF THE AUGUST 1, 1924, CROP AND LIVESTOCK REPORT FOR COLORADO AND THE UNITED STATES

ANI	THE UNI	LED SIAI	Lo			
· 	-COLORAI	00	UNITED STATES			
Subject 1924	1923	Average	1924	1923	Average	
CORN-						
Acres	1,490	1,145‡	104,604	104,158	101,984+	
Condition, per cent		83	70.7	84.0 3,046,000	80.9	
Production, bushels grain25,180	37,250	18,320‡	2,576,000	3,040,000	2,899,000†	
LL WHEAT ACRES—			50.010	F0.000		
Acres for harvest		$1,620 \ddagger 21,776 \ddagger$	$53,818 \\ 814,000$	58,308 $786,000$	64,403† 881,900†	
VINTER WHEAT-	10,000	21,1104	011,000	,00,000	001,7001	
		1 000+	36,898	39,522	49 6001	
Acres for harvest	$\begin{array}{ccc} & 1,060 \\ & & 12.0 \end{array}$	$1,262 \ddagger 18.1$	16.0	14.3	42,682† 15.4	
Production, bushels20,625	12,720	16,406‡	589,000	572,000	624,6537	
PRING WHEAT—						
Acres for harvest 330	330	358‡	16,920	18,786	21,724	
Condition, per cent 75		83	79.7	69.5	72	
Production, bushels 5,569	5,280	5,370‡	225,000	213,000	256,836†	
ATS						
Acres for harvest 228		185†	41,625	40,768	42,6972	
Condition, per cent		$\frac{85}{4,625}$	88.2 $1,439,000$	81.9 1,300,000	80.5 1,302,516†	
	. 0,000	7,0204	1,100,000	2,000,000	1.000,0101	
Acres for hereset	. 004	100+	7 5 5 9	7,905	7 750-	
Acres for harvest 265 Condition, per cent 7		186‡ 85	7,558 80.7	7,905 82.6	7,758† 81.4	
Production, bushels 6,079		3,534‡	184,000	198,000	186,036†	
YE—						
Acres for harvest	3 73	97‡	4,337	5,157	5,661	
Average yield, bushels 10	12.0	13.0	15.2	12.4	14.	
Production, bushels 680	876	873‡	65,800	63,000	78,410†	
HITE POTATOES—						
Acres for harvest 9'		142‡	3,753	4,307	4,948†	
Condition, per cent		87	85.4	412.000	81. 391,000	
Production, bushels11,31	1 13.530	18,460‡	399.000	412,000	931,0001	
UGAR BEETS—	_					
Acres planted 233 Acres harvested		110+	917	657	701	
Condition, per cent 83		148‡ 88	83.2	90.4	88.	
Production, tons 2,360	1,962	1,466‡	7,439	7,006	6,775	
Sugar production, tons	. 240	183‡	951	881	854	
LL HAY—						
Acres harvested 1,612		1.557‡	*******	75 884	74,095	
Condition, per cent		90	83.8	81.5 $106,626$	87. 102,172i	
Production, tons 2,760	3 2,797	2,618‡	*******	100,020	2 (1-4, 2 - 4)	
АМЕ НАУ—				00.00	58,134	
Acres harvested		1,191‡ 90	61,020 84.6	60,162 81.0	58.1341 87.	
Production, tons 2,39		2,263‡	89,017	89,098	85,800	
VILD HAY—	• •	•				
Acres harvested	373	366‡		15.722	15,961	
Condition, per cent 8:	3 90	90	78.3	84.2	40.000	
Production, tons 375	391	355‡	********	17.528	16,3721	
TELD BEANS	_				4 000	
Acres for harvest		81‡	1,353	1.297	1.099† 83.	
Condition, per cent		85 405‡	79.1 13,688	87.3 15.740	12,341	
PPLES-	2.00	1004	10,000	201111		
Condition, per cent	3 78	66	59 6	69.8	59	
Agr'l prod'n, bushels 3,274		4.250‡	183.691	197,000	202.702	
Commercial barrels 88-		1,034‡	29,383	34,300	31.000	
PEACHES—						
Condition, per cent 89		61	. 66.9	61.3	58.	
Agr'l prod'n, bushels 777		900‡	52,173	45,700	55,852t	
EARS-						
Condition, per cent 99		70	62.1	61.8	60.5 00.505	
Agr'l prod'n, bushels 500	6 400	519‡	17.574	17,390	20,70%	
					. 43-9	

NOTES: The figures on acreage and production enumerate thousands and require that three ciphers (000) be added to complete the numbers. *1919 revised estimates. †5-year average. Acreage and production figures for 1923 and 1922 are the last December final estimates and revisions. §1919 Federal Census. ‡1922. Averages unless otherwise designated are 10-year averages.