BULLETIN NO. 59 JULY, 1924

Crop Report for Colorado

U. S. Department of Agriculture

Bureau of Agricultural Economics (Division of Crop and Livestock Estimates)

H. C. Taylor, Chief Washington W. W. Putnam, Agricultural Statistician
Denber

In Cooperation with

Colorado State Board of Immigration

Division of Agricultural Statistics

Edward D. Foster, Commissioner

Tolbert R. Ingram, Deputy and Statistician

Preliminary Acreage Estimates—The estimates of acreage for 1924 crops in olorado, given in this bulletin, are preliminary estimates of acreage left for harvest, id not necessarily equal to acres planted. While reasonably accurate, the acress are established in comparison in a percentage way, with the acreages of corsponding crops for 1923 and former years, and are subject to revision in December, hen general revisions of all acreages and production figures will be made in harmy with the acreages indicated by special reports and the annual findings of unty assessors. In this state there are many acres of small grains harvested for y and forage, pastured or abandoned, varying, in different seasons. The amount this acreage is not well determined this early in the season.

United States figures are not reproduced in the text for lack of space, but will found in the table on page 4.

Owing to the dry weather during June, nearly all of the crops on non-irrigated ads and pastures deteriorated materially and came to July 1 with condition figures flow the average. The composite figures for all crops for Colorado on July 1 was 3 per cent of the average (not normal) condition for that date and declined 6.6 bints during June. Last year the composite figure for all crops on July 1 was 99.5 reent of the average condition for that date and there was an improvement during me of 3.4 points.

Corn—Colorado corn on July 1 registered a condition of 76 per cent, the lowest adition figure of record since 1907 on July 1, 17 points less than a year ago and points below the 10-year average for this date. Again, this year, same as last ar, corn is the leading crop of the state in point of acreage compared with any her single crop. The preliminary estimates of the area planted to this crop, based on a 5 per cent increase indicated by reporters and assessors' returns, is about 565.000 acres for this year, compared with 1,490,000 acres last year, and 1,145.000 res for 1922. Last year was exceptional and nearly all corn planted was harvested r grain or the equivalent, as sileage. Special inquiries, however, indicate that in st years only 70 to 80 per cent of the total acreage of corn is harvested for grain. is year now promises to be one of the years when considerable acreage cannot be rvested for grain unless conditions are unusually favorable for maturing the crop f M frost holds off until late in the fall. Assuming that the entire acreage planted to be considered as producing grain or its equivalent, then the crop of this year sed upon present condition figures, indicating an average yield of 16.7 bushels racre, would amount to 26,167,000 bushels, compared with 37,250,000 bushels oduced last year when the average yield was 25 bushels per acre. Owing to excephally wet weather in the latter parts of April and May, corn planting was generdelayed and the crop is considered from one to three weeks late. This condition Il require that the first killing frost occur late in October in order that the crop by be fully matured. In 1923 the general killing frost occurred about October 16.

Winter Wheat—The winter wheat of the state had a condition of 80 per cent July 1, 8 points higher than a year ago, and three points below the 10-year leage. After deducting 4.5 per cent for May 1 abandonment, leaving an area of \$375,000 acres for harvest, and based upon the condition at this time, the forecast a crop of 23,650,000 bushels compared with 12,720,000 bushels last year. Winter leat was in excellent condition on June 1, but the protracted dry weather seriously lived the crop and the final outturn is likely to be considerably below the present lecast. The indicated production would require an average yield of 17.2 bushels r acre. The average yield last year was 12 bushels to the acre, compared with

13 bushels in 1922, and 13.2 bushels per acre shown by the federal census in 191 and 11.2 bushels in 1918. Owing to the severe dry weather much of the present acreage is now being cut for hay and will not be harvested for grain. This will materially reduce the production and the average yield for the acreage left to harvest on May 1.

Spring Wheat—The condition of spring wheat on July 1 was 77 per cent, 1 points below last year on July 1, and 9 points below the 10-year average for the date. The present indicated average yield is about 17 bushels per acre. Based of this figure, a crop of 5,590,000 bushels is in prospect, compared with 5,280,000 bushels last year when the average was 16 bushels per acre. The drought conditions have seriously affected this crop and the final outturn is likely to be considerably below the present forecast. Last year the production was seriously decrease by rust.

The stocks of old wheat on farms is less than a year ago and now estimated 4.3 per cent, totalling 774,000 bushels, compared with 980,000 bushels last year.

Potatoes—Returns from about 32,000 farmers to county assessors upon the intended plantings, reporters and other special information, indicate about a 12 pecent decrease in the acreage devoted to potatoes. It is estimated that 97,000 acre have been planted in the state for harvest this year, compared with 118,000 acre planted last year, from which 110,000 acres were harvested. The condition on Jul 1 was 88 per cent, 5 points lower than a year ago at this time and two points under the 10-year average. The condition indicates an average yield of about 113 bushed per acre, the present crop prospects amount to 11,950,000 bushels, compared wit 13,530,000 bushels last year. The decrease in acreage is heaviest in the important irrigated commercial districts. The crop is generally in good condition though late There have been favorable temperatures and reasonable moisture supplies to date and ample irrigation water has thus far been available. The carlot movement of potatoes from the 1923 Colorado crop to July 1 this year totalled 14,074 cars, compared with 15,468 cars to the same date and total for last year.

Fruits—The prospects for good crops of all kinds of fruits are regarded a excellent and slightly above last year with the exception of cherries. Detailed figure except for cherries will be found in the table on last page.

Cherries—The cherry crop of the state varies in different sections and is rate at 45 per cent of normal on July 1 as compared with about 80 per cent last year and 92 in 1922, 50 in 1921 and 75 per cent in 1919. The crop this year shoul amount to about 2,700 tons, as compared with 1919 when 5,500 tons were reporte by the census as harvested. The principal cherry counties are Larimer, Fremom Jefferson, Otero and Crowley.

Miscellaneous Crops—Estimates of condition and production of other importanguate crops and comparisons are presented on page 4. The acreage of commercial cantaloupes this year is about 84 per cent of last year and is estimated to be about 7,250 acres. About a 40 per cent decrease in the acreage of broom corn is indicated totalling 34,000 acres, compared with 48,000 harvested in 1923. There is again this year a very large increase of 80 per cent, in the acreage of beans, reaching 306 000 acres planted, which is nearly twice the 170,000 acres of last year, and also the largest acreage of this crop in the history of the state. In 1917 and 1918 largest acreages were planted amounting to 250,000 acres each year. The July 1 conditing figures for 1924, 1923 and 1922 in order named for crops not mentioned on page are as follows: Alfalfa, 87-88-86; grain sorghums, 80-92-85; field peas, 94-95-93 broom corn, 86-95-85; millet, 78-92-85; tomatoes, 88-79-86; cabbage, 90-38-85 onions, 90-89-89; blackberries, 86-84-86; water melons, 81-78-85; cantaloupes, 81-78-85; pastures, 86-98-82.

Agricultural Outlook—Is based upon reports from all sections of the state, ind cating the estimated amount of moisture in the soil as compared with normal. O July 1 the figure was 86 per cent of normal compared with 78 last year, 76 on July 1, 1922, and 101 in 1921. There was a decline of 20 points during June. During the last week of May there were abundant rains over nearly the entire state with the exception of the southeastern portion. Since May 30, but little moisture except in the form of local showers has occurred. Pastures in all parts of the state made a good growth of grass during April and May and the fore part of June, but grass now curing and the pastures are becoming dry. Practically all sections of the state mederain. Irrigation water has been ample in most sections and overabundant is some rivers during June. Reservoir supplies are in satisfactory condition.

General Review of United States Crop Conditions, July 1, 1924—The composit condition of all crops of the United States on July 1 was 6.0 per cent below their 19 year average condition on that date as compared with a condition of 7.3 per cent below average on June 1, indicating some improvement in crop prospects during the past month. The final yields per acre of crops last year were about 3.3 per cent below average.

CONDITION OF CROPS AND SOIL MOISTURE ON JULY 1, 1924, PER CENT. COMPARED WITH NORMAL

:		PER	CENT,	COME	ARED	WITH	NORMA	L			
District s		337 : 4	Comin or					All		Pas-	Soil Mois-
and Counties	Corn	Winter Wheat		Oats	Barley	Rye	Potatoes		Alfalfa	ture	ture
1. Northwest-					0.0	400				100	100
Grand Jackson			•••••	100	90	100	*****			100	100
Moffat	. 70	76	76	82	71	84	87	75	87	87	85
Rio Blanco Routt	. 58	85 96	82 90	85 94	100 87		$\begin{array}{c} 53 \\ 81 \end{array}$	$\frac{97}{94}$	$\frac{93}{91}$	$\frac{80}{91}$	$\frac{80}{82}$
Route			• •	• -							
2. North Cen			0.0	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.5	70	e o	67	81
Adams Boulder		$\frac{76}{93}$	$\frac{82}{81}$	$\frac{81}{86}$	84 94	97	85 90	79 95	$\frac{63}{82}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} 67\\ 94\end{smallmatrix}$	91
Denver Larimer					0.9		0.5	81	91 83	$\frac{89}{94}$	90 92
Larimer Weld	. 80 . 79	$\frac{93}{86}$	$\begin{array}{c} 92 \\ 89 \end{array}$	$\frac{95}{90}$	93 88	$\frac{93}{87}$	95 89	83	80	89	86
3. Northeast-		84	87	91	88	93	95	91	89	86	97
Logan Morgan	. 80	$7\overline{5}$	81	93	91	84	92	$8\overline{2}$	81	79	79
Phillips Sedgwick		60 80	84	$\frac{65}{92}$	90	90	90	100	$\frac{70}{95}$	$\begin{array}{c} 85\\ 97\end{array}$	$\frac{75}{92}$
Washington	. 76	78	69	71	71	82	79	58	80	92	80
Yuma	. 69	69	56	53	62	79	73	71	62	73	70
4. West Cent	ral—										
Delta		90	100	97	97	*****	$\frac{92}{100}$	93	90 97	90	90 90
EagleGarfield		· 80	$\frac{100}{94}$	92	82		92	91	89	86	91
Gunnison		94	97	$\frac{98}{100}$	$\frac{98}{100}$		95	99	$\frac{95}{100}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} 90\\ 100\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} 90\\ \textbf{102}\end{smallmatrix}$
Mesa Montrose	. 76	89	86	85	91	100	92	87	85	85	90
Ouray	. 77	90 95	$\begin{smallmatrix} 90\\100\end{smallmatrix}$	84	$\frac{95}{100}$	90	93 90	85	80 82	80 100	85 90
Pitkin	******	J	100	•••••	200	• •			J.		• •
5. Central—			92	83	85		89	93	87	92	96
Chaffee Clear Creek		******	*****			•			*****	••••	*****
Fremont	79	92	82	91	84	90	90	74	77	9 5	83
Gilpin Jefferson	86	96	96	90	97	95	87	90	92	89	85
Lake Park	·		102	101	103	105	94	105	100	90 96	$\frac{100}{90}$
Summit		******				*****			•		••
Teller	•	•••	•••••		92	95	94	100	******	100	92
6. East Centr	al—										
Arapahoe	76	84 82	$\frac{80}{94}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} 77\\100\end{smallmatrix}$	$\frac{85}{94}$	89 85	85	90	81 90	$\begin{smallmatrix} 88\\100\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} 82\\100\end{smallmatrix}$
Cheyenne Douglas	. 80 90	90	80	95	87	90	75	•	80	70	60
Elbert	78	84 98	$\frac{90}{94}$	95 97	$\frac{82}{97}$	85 97	$\frac{95}{93}$	$\frac{86}{98}$	$\frac{92}{95}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} 98\\100\end{smallmatrix}$	97 96
El Paso Kit Carson	76	69	64	59	67	77	81	85	82	76	69
Lincoln	87	78	78	85	87	90	70	96	95	90	83
7. Southwest-	-										
Archuleta		75				•••••	*****	•	*****	*****	*****
Dolores Hinsdale		75	75				*****		******		******
ы гина	. 69	74	75	73	74	82	81	81	81	71	52
Mineral Montezuma	90	95	96	94	93	90	97	91	105	92	92
San Juan		92	90	93	92	96	93	99	96	•••••	******
San Miguel	90	94	JU	33	ن و	30		00	90	93	98
8. South Cent							0.5				
Alamosa Conejos	80	•	$\begin{smallmatrix}96\\90\end{smallmatrix}$	90 87	90 93	•	93 80	$\frac{87}{82}$	95	88	90
costilla	•••••	95	92	89	82	•	87	104	101	$\begin{array}{c} 60 \\ 91 \end{array}$	89 87
Huerfano	86	$\frac{90}{91}$	$\frac{78}{41}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} 88\\ 91\end{smallmatrix}$	85 ° 60	77	81 75	$\begin{smallmatrix} 94\\100\end{smallmatrix}$	90 80	93 80	82
do Grande		31	94	96	94	100	91	90	86	90	$\frac{70}{85}$
Saguache		•••••	94	90	94		89	100	91	90	92
9. Southeast-	_										
Baca Bent	80	70	$\begin{smallmatrix}80\\100\end{smallmatrix}$	83	75 85	65 45	*****	0.7	100	50	72
nowles.	$\begin{smallmatrix} 81\\92\end{smallmatrix}$	84 80		83 95	95	85	******	87	$\frac{92}{95}$	83 80	90 80
dowa Las Animas		80	98		77	85 60	******	*****			•••••
6161.0	an	56 95	$\frac{58}{92}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} 61\\96\end{smallmatrix}$	97	95	75	$\frac{81}{74}$	95 85	49 85	$\frac{61}{93}$
Prowers Queblo	0.9	93	95 87	$\frac{96}{93}$	94 87	$\begin{array}{c} 110 \\ 80 \end{array}$		100	97	90	96
		88						89	91	82	103
State Total	76	80	77	82	81	88	88	88	87	86	86
•						-					

SUMMARY OF THE JULY 1, 1924, CROP AND LIVESTOCK REPORT FOR COLORADO AND THE UNITED STATES

	AND THE UNITED STATES								
- -	1924	COLORAD 1923	O——— Average		NITED STA 1923				
CORN-						Average			
Acres planted	76	$1,490 \\ 93 \\ 37,250$	1,145‡ 88 18,320‡	$ \begin{array}{r} 105,604 \\ 72.0 \\ 2,515,313 \end{array} $	84.9	101,984† 85.0			
Production, bus. grain26	0,101	01,200	10,0204	2,313,313	3,046,000	2,899,000;			
Per cent remaining on farms	4.3	4.5	6.01	3.9	4.1				
Number bushels remaining			-			1,4			
on farmsAcres for harvest	774 1,705	$\substack{980 \\ 1,390}$	$1,394 \ddagger 1,620 \ddagger$	$30,696 \\ 53,818$	$35,894 \\ 58,308$	33,187† 64,406†			
Condition, per cent	79	$\begin{smallmatrix}76\\18,000\end{smallmatrix}$	21,776‡	742,000	786,000	82.6 881,000†			
WINTER WHEAT-									
Acres for harvest Condition, per cent	1,375 80	$\substack{1,060\\72}$	$\substack{\textbf{1,262} \\ 83}$	$36,898 \\ 77.9$	$39,522 \\ 76.8$	42,682† 80.0			
Production, bushels2	3,650	12,720	16,406‡	542,551		624,653;			
SPRING WHEAT-	990	920	250+	10,000	10 500				
Acres for harvest Condition, per cent	77	$\frac{330}{90}$	358‡ 86	$16,920 \\ 81.9 \\ 197,461$	18,786 82.4	21,724† 86.6			
Production, bushels	5,590	5,280	5,370‡	197,461	213,000	256,336†			
OATS— Acres for harvest	228	198	185‡	41,625	40,768	42,697			
Condition, per cent	82	92	88	86.9	83.5	84.7			
Production, bushels	6,450	6,336	4,625‡	1,356,338	1,300,000	1,302,516;			
BARLEY— Acres for harvest	265	221	186‡	7,558	7,905	7,758†			
Condition per cent	81	93	90	80.2	86.1	87.0			
Production, bushels	0,225	6,409	3,534‡	170,011	198,000	186,036†			
RYE Acres for harvest	68	73	97‡	4,337	5,157	5,661†			
Condition, per cent	88	90	88	86.9 64.768	75.0	85.8			
Production, bushels	862	876	873‡	04,108	63,000	78,410†			
WHITE POTATOES— Acres for harvest	97	110	1421	3,753	4,307	4.948†			
Condition, per cent	88 1.950	$\begin{smallmatrix} 93\\13,530\end{smallmatrix}$	90 18,460‡	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&86.3\\372.968\end{smallmatrix}$	86.4 $412,000$				
Production, business	_,,,,,,,	20,000	2-,+	5.3,000	,000	202,000			
Acres harvested	238	165	148‡	917	657	7017			
Condition, per cent	$\frac{84}{2,253}$	$\frac{88}{1,962}$	88 1,466‡	$\substack{81.3\\7,344}$	$\frac{88.2}{7.096}$	6,775†			
Sugar, tons	275	240	183‡	939	881	854†			
MARKE HAV-		1,203	1,191‡	61,020	60,162	58,134†			
Acres		89	90	83.4	80.3	\$5.1			
Production, tons	2,458	2,406	2,263‡	90,076	89,100	85.800†			
WILD HAY—		373	366‡		15,722	15,961†			
Acres Condition, per cent	88	89	******		86.1	16,372†			
Production, tons	387	391	355‡	•••••	15,700	10,3121			
ALL HAY—	1.612	1,576	1,557‡		75,824	74,095†			
Acres Condition, per cent Production, tons	87	8.9	91	82.9	81.1	85.			
•	2,845	2,742	2,618‡	*******	104,800				
FIELD BEANS—	306	170	81‡	1,353	1,297	1,099			
Acres for harvest Condition, per cent	85	93	89 405‡	83.5 13,629	89.4	86.6			
Production, bushels	4,357	1,360	4094	10,020	19,140	10,012			
APPLES— Condition, per cent	85	84	68	66.5	67.0	60.3			
Acril Prod hushels	3,208	3,010 803	4,250‡	$\frac{196,000}{32,000}$	$197.000 \\ 34,300$	202,702 31,000			
Commercial barrels	866	803	1,034‡	02,000	04,000	34,***			
PEACHES-	9.0	78	62	70.2	63.8	583			
Condition, per cent	810	$79\overset{\circ}{2}$	900‡	53,701	45,700	55,852‡			
PEARS—			~ ^		**	60 (
Condition, per cent	$\begin{array}{c} 95 \\ 506 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 85 \\ 400 \end{array}$	69 519‡	$\frac{65}{18,427}$	63.2 $17,390$	20,705			
Agr'l Prod., bushels	000		T	,,	_ ,,				

NOTES: The figures on acreage and production enumerate thousands and require that three ciphers (000) be added to complete the numbers. *1919 revised estimates. †5-yea average. Acreage and production figures for 1923 and 1922 are the last December final est mates and revisions. §1919 Federal Census. †1922. Averages unless otherwise designate are 10-year averages.