

BULLETIN NO. 14

JUNE, 1920

Colorado Cooperative Crop Reporting Service (State and Federal)

U. S. Department of Agriculture

Bureau of Crop Estimates

Leon M. Estabrook, Chief

W. W. Putnam, Field Agent

Colorado State Board of Immigration

Division of Agricultural Statistics

Edward D. Foster, Commissioner

Howard D. Sullivan, Deputy

Preliminary Acreage Estimates—All preliminary estimates of acreage of 1920 crops in Colorado given in this Bulletin, except winter wheat, are based upon partial returns of county assessors, and are subject to revision when complete returns from assessors are available. Final figures on acreage for 1919 were the acreage reports turned in by assessors, with 10 per cent added because of incompleteness of assessors' returns. Partial reports from assessors so far received this year indicate that 10 per cent was not a large enough addition to make, and it is believed that the acreage reports for last year will have to be revised upward slightly when complete reports are received this year.

Winter Wheat—The condition of winter wheat in Colorado on June 1 was approximately 87 per cent of normal, compared with 85 per cent on May 1, and 82 per cent on June 1 last year. Weather conditions generally were favorable for the development of this crop during May and have continued favorable in June, except that rain is needed in most of the non-irrigated areas. The area left for harvest is estimated at 861,000 acres, and the forecasted production, based upon this acreage and the condition prevailing June 1. is 14,232.000 bushels, compared with a final estimate of 11,916,800 bushels last year. Preliminary reports of county assessors indicate that the estimate on acreage of winter wheat this year is considerably too low and a revision of the acreage figure will be made as soon as complete returns from assessors are available.

The condition of winter wheat in the United States on June 1 was 78.2 per cent of normal, compared with 79.1 per cent on May 1, and 94.9 per cent on June 1 last year. The area left for harvest is estimated at 34.165,000 acres, compared with 49,905,000 acres in 1919. The forecasted production, based upon this acreage and the condition of the crop on June 1, is 503,996,000 bushels, compared with a final estimate of 731,636,000 bushels last year and an average estimated production of 563,498,000 bushels from 1914 to 1918 inclusive.

Spring Wheat—The condition of spring wheat in Colorado on June 1 was placed at 95 per cent of normal, compared with 89 per

cent on June 1 last year and an average condition on June 1 of 92 per cent. Preliminary reports of county assessors indicate that the area devoted to the crop in the state is 395,000 acres, the same as last year. Based upon this acreage and the condition prevailing on June 1, the forecasted production is 8,443,000 bushels, compared with a final estimate of 5,727,500 bushels last year.

The condition of spring wheat in the United States on June 1 was 89.1 per cent, compared with 91.2 per cent on June 1 last year and an average condition on June 1 for the past ten years of 93.3 per cent. The area devoted to this crop is estimated at 19,487,000 acres, compared with 23,338,000 acres in 1919, and the forecasted production, based upon this acreage and the condition of the crop on June 1, is 276.547,000 bushels.

All Wheat—In Colorado, according to the preliminary estimates given above, the total area devoted to wheat is 1,256,000 acres, compared with 1,459,000 acres last year. The total indicated production, based upon June 1 condition, is 22,675,000 bushels, compared with a final estimate of 17,645,000 bushels last year. If conditions remain favorable until harvest the production of wheat in Colorado this year will be the largest in the history of the state.

The total area devoted to wheat in the United States is estimated at 53,652,000 acres, compared with 73,243,000 acres last year. Total production, if conditions remain the same until harvest as they were on June 1, will be approximately 780,543,000 bushels, compared with 941,000,000 bushels last year and an average production of 822,000,000 bushels for the five years from 1914 to 1918 inclusive.

Oats—The condition of oats in Colorado on June 1 was approximately 95 per cent of normal, compared with 95 per cent on June 1 last year and an average condition of 93 per cent on June 1 for the past ten years. Preliminary reports of county assessors indicate that the area devoted to the crop is 239,000 acres, compared with 249,000 acres last year. The forecasted production, based upon this acreage and the June 1 condition, is 8,628,000 bushels, compared with a final estimate of 6,524,000 bushels last year and 7,530,000 bushels in 1918.

The area devoted to oats in the United States is estimated at 41.032,000 acres, compared with 42,400,000 acres last year. The condition of the crop on June 1 was estimated at 87.8 per cent of normal, compared with 93.2 per cent on June 1 last year, and the forecasted production, based upon this condition, is 1,315,476,000 bushels, compared with a final estimate of 1,248,000,000 bushels last year and an average of 1,415,000,000 bushels for the five years ending with 1918.

Barley—The condition of barley in Colorado on June 1 was approximately 96 per cent of normal, compared with 88 per cent last year. The area devoted to the crop is estimated at 190,000 acres, compared with 200,000 acres last year and 206,000 acres in 1918. The forecasted production, based upon the acreage and condition given above, is 6,019,000 bushels, compared with a final estimate of 3,900,000 bushels last year.

The condition of barley in the United States on June 1 was 87.6 per cent of normal, compared with 91.7 per cent last year. The area devoted to the crop is estimated at 7,437,000 acres, compared with 7,420,000 acres last year, and the forecasted production, based upon this acreage and condition, is 185,108,000 bushels, compared with 166.000,000 bushels last year and an average of 215,000,000 bushels for the five years ending with 1918.

Rye—The condition of rye in Colorado on June 1 was approximately 91 per cent of normal, compared with 92 per cent last year.

The area devoted to this crop for grain is estimated at 122,000 acres, compared with 143,000 acres last year. The forecasted production is 1.443.000 bushels, compared with a final estimate of 1,258,000 bushels last year. The rye crop in Colorado is partly fall sown and partly spring sown, but the relative proportions of the two kinds can not be established until complete returns are available from county assessors.

The condition of rye in the United States on June 1 was 84.4 per cent of normal, compared with 93.5 per cent on June 1 last year. The area devoted to the crop is estimated at 5,470,000 acres, compared with 7.063.000 acres last year, and the forecasted production is 80,006,000 bushels, compared with a final estimate of 88,500,000 bushels last year.

Hay—The condition of hay in Colorado on June 1 was 98 per cent of normal, compared with 94 per cent on June 1 last year. The area devoted to all kinds of hay in the state is estimated at 1,425,000 acres, compared with 1,431,000 acres last year. Based upon the acreage and condition given above the forecasted production is 2,862,000 tons, compared with a final estimate of 2,811,000 tons last year. Preliminary reports of county assessors indicate that about 728,000 acres is devoted to alfalfa in the state this year, compared with 662,000 acres last year, this crop showing perhaps a larger percentage of increase in acreage than any other crop of primary importance grown in the state. The condition of the alfalfa crop on June 1 was 99 per cent of normal, compared with 93 per cent last year.

The condition of hay in the United States on June 1 was 88.9 per cent of normal, compared with 94.1 per cent on June 1 last year. The area devoted to hay of all varieties is estimated at 71,752,000 acres, compared with 72,034,000 acres last year, and the forecasted production is 111,790,000 tons, compared with a final estimate of 109,000,000 tons last year. The condition of alfalfa in the United States on June 1 was 92.7 per cent of normal, compared with 96.9 per cent on June 1 last year.

Pastures—The condition of pastures in Colorado on June 1 was 96 per cent of normal, compared with \$2 per cent on May 1 and 96 per cent on June 1 last year. In the United States the average condition of pastures on June 1 was 88.8 per cent of normal, compared with 97.4 per cent on June 1 last year and an average condition of 90.1 per cent on June 1 for the past ten years.

Apples—The condition of apples in the state on June 1 was 85 per cent of normal, compared with 70 per cent on June 1 last year and an average condition of 74 per cent on June 1 for the past ten years. The indicated production, based upon this condition, is 3,204,000 bushels, compared with a final estimate of 2,795,000 bushels last year. In the United States the condition of the apple crop on June 1 was 79.3 per cent of normal, compared with 67.8 per cent last year, and the indicated production is 198,965,000 bushels, compared with a final estimate of 147,000,000 bushels last year.

Peaches—The condition of peaches in Colorado on June 1 was estimated at 50 per cent of normal, compared with 70 per cent on June 1 last year and an average condition of 55 per cent on June 1 for the past ten years. The indicated production is 638,000 bushels, compared with a final estimate of 840,000 bushels last year. The chief cause of the low condition of peaches in the state is damage resulting from extremely cold weather last winter. The condition of peaches in the United States on June 1 was 64.9 per cent of normal, compared with 73.1 per cent last year, and the estimated production is 45,067,000 bushels, compared with 50,400,000 bushels last year.

Pears—The condition of pears in Colorado on June 1 was 92 per cent of normal, compared with 90 per cent on June 1 last year and an average condition of 66 per cent on June 1 for the past ten years. The estimated commercial production is 414,000 bushels, compared with 382,000 bushels last year. In the United States the average condition of the pear crop on June 1 was 73.4 per cent of normal, compared with 66.3 per cent on June 1 last year and an average condition of 66.5 per cent on June 1 for the past ten years. The estimated total production this year, based upon the condition of the crop June 1, is 13,568,000 bushels, compared with 13,498,000 bushels last year.

Miscellaneous Crop.—In the general summary of the crop report for Colorado and the United States, on page 8 of this Bulletin, will be found the comparative condition of several crops, the acreages for which are not now available. These crops include field beans, field peas, cabbage, onions, cantaloupes and sugar beets. Advance reports from the operating sugar refining companies indicate that the acreage devoted to sugar beets in the state this year will be considerable greater than that harvested last year, approximately 255,000 having been contracted. Preliminary reports from assessors indicate that more than 200,000 acres is being planted to the crop this year. The acreage of cantaloupes, according to partial returns from assessors, is about the same as that for last year. It was stated in the Crop Bulletin last month that are acreage devoted to field beans would be very materially reduced this year and the reports of county assessors verify this statement.

Agricultural Outlook—Reports from all sections of the state showed that the estimated amount of moisture in the soil on June 1 was 112 per cent of normal, compared with 110 on May 1 and 86.5 on April 1, indicating an excellent condition for the growth and development of all crops at this time. By districts the estimated amount of moisture June 1 in the soil as compared with normal was as follows: District 1, Northwest, 113; District 2, North Central, 103; District 3, Northeast, 108; District 4, West Central, 114; District 5, Central, 100.3; District 6, East Central, 105; District 7, Southwest, 108; District 8, South Central, 108; District 9, Southeast, 106.

On page 5 of this Bulletin will be found a table showing the estimated normal yields of winter wheat, spring wheat and rye on both irrigated and non-irrigated land, as determined from the average opinion of several hundred volunteer crop reporters in all sections of the state, as well as the average yields of these crops for 1918, as reported by threshermen. Threshermen's reports are taken for 1918 for the reason that more complete reports are available for that year than any other.

A summary of the June 1 crop report, for both Colorado and the United States, will be found on page 8 of this Bulletin.

Cooperation Invited—The Colorado Cooperative Crop Reporting Service desires to make this monthly bulletin of the greatest possible value to all those interested in the production, movement and marketing of farm products, and urges the cooperation of all who are in position to report agricultural conditions. It solicits helpful suggestions and comments from reporters and others interested in this service. Those desiring to keep permanent files of this bulletin may obtain extra copies or missing copies by writing to the Colorado Cooperative Crop Reporting Service, at Denver, Colo.

THRESHING REPORTS AND NORMALS.

| | Winter Wheat | | | | Spring Wheat | | | Rye | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------|--|---|---------------------|--|
| | 918 | ed | | 918 | ed | | 916 | Normal Non-Irrigated | | |
| Districts and | Average Threshing Reports, 1 | Normal Non-Irrigated | - P | Average Threshing Reports, 1 | Normal Non-Irrigated | - P | 50 | riga | -g | |
| Counties | resh resh rpor | n-Ir | Normal Irrigated | resh resh port | orma or-Ir | Normal Irrigated | Average Threshin Reports, | orm n-L | Normal Irrigated | |
| District | | žž | | ĀĘ% | žž | | | ŽŽ | Z.E Bu. | |
| District. 1. Northwest. | Bu. | Bu | Bu. | Bu. | Bu. | Bu. | Bu. | Bu. | | |
| Grand | | 20 | 40 | | | 30 30 | | $\frac{20}{15}$ | $\frac{30}{20}$ | |
| Jackson Moffat | 12 | 23 | 35 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 9 | 20 | 30 | |
| Rio Blanco | $\frac{27}{23}$ | 23 31 | 40 | $\frac{21}{17}$ | $\frac{26}{25}$ | 40 | $^{14}_{12}$ | $\frac{20}{25}$ | $\frac{35}{30}$ | |
| North Central. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adams Boulder | $\frac{8}{23}$ | 16 19 | 35 34 | $\frac{22}{23}$ | $\frac{11}{16}$ | 33 35 | 5 24 | $\frac{14}{16}$ | $\frac{25}{30}$ | |
| Denver | 20 | 15 | 35 | 12 | 11 | 33 | 23 | 10 | $\frac{22}{32}$ | |
| Denver Larimer Weld | $\frac{21}{15}$ | $\frac{20}{17}$ | 40 35 | 28 19 | $\frac{14}{12}$ | $\frac{40}{32}$ | $\frac{11}{6}$ | $\frac{18}{13}$ | $\frac{32}{25}$ | |
| 3. Northeast. | 8 | 16 | 30 | 9 | 12 | 28 | 10 | 10 | 22 | |
| Logan Morgan | 8 | 15 | $\frac{30}{34}$ | 11 | 12 | 30 | 6 | 12 | 23 | |
| Phillips | 7 6 | 18 18 | 38 | 7 8 | $\frac{12}{15}$ | 29 | 4 | $\frac{16}{12}$ | 24 | |
| Morgan Phillips Sedgwick Washington | 6 | 15 | | 4 | 12 | | 5 | 11 | | |
| Yuma 4. West Central. | 6 | 16 | | 4 | 12 | | 7 | 12 | | |
| Delta | 32 | 23 | 34 | 24 | 13 | 32 | 31 | 15 | 30 | |
| Eagle Garfield | $\frac{14}{19}$ | $\frac{15}{18}$ | $\frac{30}{40}$ | $\frac{31}{23}$ | 20 | 38 38 | 9 15 | $\begin{array}{c} 15 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | 30 30 | |
| Gunnison | 21 | 10 | 32 | $\frac{25}{21}$ | 20 | $\frac{38}{29}$ | 19 | 15 | 30 30 | |
| Garfield Gunnison Mesa Montrose | 32 | 19 | 37 | 29 | 13 | 36 | 23 | | 25 | |
| Ouray Pitkin | $\frac{13}{25}$ | •••• | 40 35 | $\frac{33}{34}$ | | 35 35 | $\begin{array}{c} 18 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | 16 | $\frac{25}{30}$ | |
| Central, | 20 | • | | | | | | | | |
| Chaffee | •• | • | 30 | $^{19}_{12}$ | 10 | 36 | 12 | 15 | 30 | |
| Clear Creek Fremont | 14 | 12 | 28 | 14 | 15 | 28 | 9 | 14 | 23 | |
| Gilpin Jefferson Lake | 26 | 19 | 40 | $\begin{smallmatrix}22\\24\end{smallmatrix}$ | 16. | 35 | $\begin{smallmatrix}21\\16\end{smallmatrix}$ | 15 | 23 | |
| Lake | | •••• | • | | * | | •••• | | • | |
| Park Summit | | | | | | | | •••• | **** | |
| Teller 6. East Central. | •••• | | * | | • | * | | 10 | | |
| Arapahoe | 9 | 14 | 42 | 17 | 11 | 37 | 9 | 3.0 | 22 | |
| Cheyenne Douglas | $\frac{6}{12}$ | 14 18 | • | 10 | 15 15 | 20 | 7 9 | $\frac{15}{12}$ | • | |
| Elbert | 11 | 16 | 30 | 7 | 13 | 20 | 8 | 14 | | |
| El Paso Kit Carson | 8 7 | 18 14 | $\frac{28}{30}$ | 14 4 | 15 11 | 20 20 | 7 5 | 15 15 | 30 | |
| Lame of the contract of the co | 5 | 14 | • | 4 | 11 | | 6 | 15 | | |
| 7. Southwest. | 18 | 18 | 38 | 22 | 18 | 33 | 5 | 15 | 25 | |
| 100lores | 12 | 15 | 25 | 9 | 15 | 25 | 6 | 15 | 25 | |
| Hinsdale La Plata | 22 | | 28 | 19 | 16 | 30 | 9 | 15 | 25 | |
| Mineral Montezuma | 10 | 15 | 28 | 17 | 13 | 26 | 10 | 15 | $\ddot{2}\ddot{7}$ | |
| San Juan San Miguel | | 18 | 25 | | 15 | 25 | | • • • • | 25 | |
| 8. South Central. | | 10 | 20 | | 10 | | •••• | 15 | 20 | |
| Alamosa Conejos | | | 22 | $\frac{22}{15}$ | | 25 25 | | **** | | |
| 1 08tilla | 18 | | 25 | 15 | | 25 | <u>7</u> | | 22 | |
| Custer Huerfano | 19 10 | 16 | 25 31 | 20 15 | 20 15 | 25 28 | 17 | 12 10 | 22 | |
| Custor Huerfano Rio Grande Saguache | 17 | | 25 | $\frac{22}{21}$ | 15 | 30 | | **** | 20 | |
| 800theast. | | •••• | | 21 | | 23 | •••• | | 20 | |
| vaca | 9 23 | $\frac{13}{12}$ | $\frac{31}{37}$ | 7 | 11 10 | 28 32 | 8 19 | 14 | 26 25 | |
| Crowley | 24 | 12 | 30 | 18 17 | 12 | 30 | 9 | 15 | 25 25 | |
| Las Animas | 4 6 | $\frac{11}{13}$ | 32 | $\frac{8}{12}$ | 10 12 | 28 | 9 5 | $\frac{12}{13}$ | 21 | |
| | 26 | 13 | 37 | 32 | 13 | 32 | 12 | 13 | 30 | |
| Prowers Pueblo | 20 8 | 10 15 | 36 35 | 19 14 | 10 15 | 30 32 | 20 8 | $\frac{12}{14}$ | 28 30 | |
| Omission of figures | | | | | is not | | | nsively | | |

Omission of figures indicates that the crop is not grown extensively and not reported.

CONDITIONS OF CROPS JUNE 1, COMPARED WITH NORMAL.

| District and Counties | Win | nter W. Non- | heat | Spr | ing Wi Non- | neat | | Oats Non- | | Rye |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------------|--|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|-----------|
| 1 Northwest— | Irr. | Irr. | All | Irr. | Irr. | All | Irr. | Irr. | All | All |
| Grand | | | 100 | 100 | | 100 | 100 | | 100 | 90 |
| Jackson | 100 | | 100 | 100 | | 98 | 100 | | 100 | |
| Moffat | 101 | 99 | 99 | 101 | 100 | 100 | 101 | 100 | 100 | 101 |
| Rio Blanco | | 95 | 95 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 9.5 |
| Routt | 94 | 91 | 91 | 98 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| 2 North Cent | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 95 | 61 | 69 | 95 | 86 | 9.0 | 100 | 85 | 9.8 | 76 |
| Adams Boulder | 88 | 86 | 87 - | 91 | 94 | 92 | 96 | 95 | 96 | 94 |
| Denver | | ***** | ***** | | | | | | | ****** |
| Larimer | 91 | 95 | 92 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 99 | 100 | 99 | 100 |
| Weld | 94 | 86 | 90 | 98 | 91 | 96 | 99 | 90 | 99 | 92 |
| 3 Northeast- | | | | | | | | | | |
| Logan | 86 | 83 | 83 | 97 | 94 | 94 | 93 | 8.9 | 92 | 86 |
| Morgan | 93 | 87 | 87 | 97 | 98 | 97 | 97 | 89 | 95 | 95 |
| Phillips Sedgwick | | 91 | 91 | | 90 | 90 | | 90 | 90 | 95 |
| Sedgwick | 97 | $\frac{91}{90}$ | $\begin{smallmatrix} 91\\ 91\end{smallmatrix}$ | 96 90 | $\frac{90}{109}$ | $\frac{90}{108}$ | $\frac{96}{100}$ | 90 90 | $\frac{94}{91}$ | 95 92 |
| Washington | 100 | 84 | 84 | | 89 | 89 | 100 | 91 | 91 | 86 |
| Yuma | | 0. | • | | | •• | | ~ ~ | | |
| 4 West Cent | | | | | | | | | | |
| Delta | 98 | 100 | 98 | 95 | 100 | 95 | 98 | 100 | 98 | 100 |
| Eagle | 80 95 | 90 95 | $\frac{90}{95}$ | $\frac{110}{100}$ | 95 | $\frac{110}{100}$ | $\frac{112}{100}$ | $\frac{115}{90}$ | $\frac{112}{100}$ | 100 |
| Garfield Gunnison | 93 | 30 | 00 | | | | 100 | 93 | 95 | 100 |
| Mesa | 96 | 100 | 97 | 98 | 95 | 98 | 98 | 100 | 98 | 100 |
| Mesa Montrose | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Ouray | | | 100 | 100 | ••••• | 100 | 100 | • | 100 | ****** |
| Pitkin | 100 | ***** | 100 | 100 | • | 100 | 100 | ••••• | 100 | ••••• |
| 5 Central— | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chaffee | 90 | | 90 | 96 | | 96 | 99 | | 99 | |
| Clear Creek | | ***** | | | • | | • | | ••••• | • |
| Fremont | 100 | | 100 | 75 | • | 75 | 80 | ••···• | 80 | 88 |
| Gilpin | | 90 | 92 | 91 | 98 | 92 | 94 | 98 | 95 | 100 |
| Jefferson Lake | | | | | | | | | | |
| Park | | | | | | | | ***** | ***** | |
| Park Summit | | ***** | | | ***** | | ***** | | | • |
| Teller | | ••••• | ****** | | | ***** | •• | 88 | 88 | ****** |
| 6 East Cent | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arapahoe | 99 | 81 | 83 | 99 | 9.0 | 95 | 98 | 97 | 97 | 100 |
| Cheyenne | | 84 | 84 | ***** | 80 | 80 | ****** | 100 | 100 | 90 |
| Douglas | | 100 | $\frac{100}{90}$ | | 100 | 100 | ***** | 102 | 102 | 95 89 |
| Elbert | 102 | $\frac{90}{83}$ | 84 | 103 | 96 | 98 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 86 |
| El Paso Kit Carson | 103 | 90 | 90 | 100 | 98 | 98 | | 97 | 97 | 86 |
| Lincoln | | 70 | 70 | ••••• | 94 | 94 | | 96 | 96 | 89 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 Southwest— | 75 | 80 | 80 | 75 | 86 | 86 | 93 | 87 | 87 | |
| Archuleta Dolores | | ••••• | | | | | | | | |
| Hinsdale | | ****** | ***** | | | ***** | | | •••• | |
| La Plata | 85 | 87 | 85 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 90 | 90 | 90 | |
| Mineral | | * | | • | | ••••• | ••••• | ••••• | ***** | |
| Montezuma | | ••••• | | | | ***** | | | | |
| San Juan San Miguel | 100 | 98 | 98 | 85 | 90 | 98 | | 100 | 100 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 South Cent.— | | | | 100 | | 103 | 98 | | 98 | , |
| Alamosa | | , | | 103 | | 100 | 90 | * | 90 | |
| Conejos | 100 | | 100 | 125 | 125 | 115 | 117 | 100 | 116 | 100 |
| Custer | 400 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97 |
| Huerfano | 93 | 86 | 89 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 97 |
| Rio Grande | 100 | | 100 | 103 | | $\frac{103}{98}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 103 \\ 96 \end{array}$ | | $\frac{103}{96}$ | 100 |
| Saguache | 90 | • | 90 | 98 | | 90 | 90 | | 30 | 2 |
| 9 Southeast— | | • | | | | | | | | |
| Baca | . 77 | 96 | 95 | 93 | 112 | 100 | 90 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 9 (|
| Bent | 88 | 78 | $\frac{88}{72}$ | 88 | 80 | 88 | 94 | 90 | 94 | 85 95 |
| Crowley Kiowa | 72 | 78 | $\frac{72}{80}$ | 80 | $\frac{73}{90}$ | 80 90 | 87 | $\begin{array}{c} 73 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 87 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | 85 |
| Kiowa | 100 | 80 95 | 96 | 98 | 95 | 97 | 99 | 98 | 98 | 73 |
| Las Animas Otero | | 50 | 76 | 93 | 65 | 92 | 93 | 78 | 93 | 85 |
| Prowers | 97 | 103 | 97 | 95 | 109 | 98 | 97 | 100 | 97 | 102 95 |
| Pueblo | 90 | 95 | 92 | 90 | 93 | 91 | 80 | 88 | 82 | 90 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

CONDITION OF CROPS AND AGRICULTURAL OUTLOOK ON JUNE 1, COMPARED WITH NORMAL.

| District and Counties 1 Northwest— | Irr. | Barley Non- Irr. | All | Irr, | Beans Non- Irr, | All | Alfalfa | Hay | Pas- ture | *Agr. Out- look |
|--|------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------|------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Grand | | TVT | $\frac{100}{99}$ | | | | 100 | 110 110 | 100 110 | 110 |
| Moffat Rio Blanco Routt | 100 | $\frac{101}{100}$ | 101 100 99 | | 97 | 97 | $104 \\ 103 \\ 100$ | $104 \\ 103 \\ 100$ | $104 \\ 105 \\ 104$ | $121 \\ 105 \\ 103$ |
| 2 North Cent.— | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adams Boulder Denver | 97 87 | 87 97 | 91 97 | 98 | 98 | 100 98 | 101 95 | 99 98 | 98 96 | 104 104 |
| Weld | 99 98 | $\begin{smallmatrix} 99\\91\end{smallmatrix}$ | 99 96 | $\begin{array}{c} 73 \\ 75 \end{array}$ | 65 56 | $^{68}_{62}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 105 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | 100 98 | $\frac{104}{98}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 106 \\ 105 \end{array}$ |
| Logan | 97 | 91 | 94 | 75 | 42 | 45 | 100 | 93 | 93 | 106 |
| Morgan Phillips | 100 | 94 | $\frac{98}{93}$ | . 86 | 93 | 92 | 97 95 | $\frac{97}{90}$ | $\frac{99}{93}$ | 104 130 |
| Sedgwick Washington | 96 | $\frac{91}{95}$ | 95 95 | | 100 | 100 | $\begin{smallmatrix} 85\\100\end{smallmatrix}$ | $\frac{100}{105}$ | 88 99 | $\frac{125}{112}$ |
| Yuma | | 92 | 92 | | | * | 101 | 100 | 99 | 104 |
| Delta Eagle | | $\frac{100}{105}$ | $\frac{100}{103}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | $\frac{102}{110}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 101 \\ 112 \end{array}$ | $\frac{93}{118}$ | $\frac{115}{140}$ |
| Garfield | 100 | 95 | 100 | | | | 102 | 103 | 98 | 121 |
| 211.0a | 20 | ****** | 95 | 88 | | 88 | 100 98 | $\frac{100}{96}$ | $\frac{95}{100}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 101 \\ 109 \end{array}$ |
| Montrose | 100 | 100 | $\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 101 90 | 100 90 | $\frac{99}{100}$ | $\frac{110}{120}$ |
| 5 Central— | 100 | | 100 | ****** | | ••••• | 115 | 107 | 107 | 130 |
| Chaffee | 94 | ***** | 94 | | ••••• | • | 99 | 99 | 98 | 110 |
| gremont | 100 | 75 | 102 | | | • | 95 | 100 | 95 | 95 |
| Gilpin Jefferson | 96 | $\begin{smallmatrix}90\\100\end{smallmatrix}$ | $\frac{90}{97}$ | | | | 98 94 | 85 96 | $\frac{87}{95}$ | 100 91 |
| Lake Park | | | 85 | | | | • | 60 | 60 | 100 |
| Summit | | | | | | | • | • | • | |
| Teller | | 85 | 85 | ****** | • | | | 88 | 92 | 107 |
| Arapahoe | 97 | 97 | 97 | 90 | 83 | 83 | 94 | 102 | 98 | 90 |
| Cheyenne Douglas | | 100 | 100 | ****** | | | $\substack{ 101 \\ 95 }$ | 80 100 | $\begin{array}{c} 101 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\frac{99}{100}$ |
| Elbert El Paso | | $\frac{100}{93}$ | 100 94 | 75 | 95 | 95 | 102 | 100 | 94 | 117 |
| Att Carson | | 105 | 105 | | 100 | 100 | 103 | $\begin{smallmatrix}101\\103\end{smallmatrix}$ | $\begin{smallmatrix} 98\\102\end{smallmatrix}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 107 \\ 109 \end{array}$ |
| Lincoln | ****** | 100 | 100 | •• | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 98 | 99 |
| Archuleta. | 110 | 93 | 93 | | | ••••• | 95 | 100 | 115 | 104 |
| Dolores Hinsdale | | ****** | | ****** | | | ****** | 90 | | ***** |
| Hinsdale La Plata Mineral | 85 | 85 | 85 | 70 | 60 | 65 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 108 |
| montesuma | | | ••••• | | 105 | 105 | 0.77 | • | 100 | 111 |
| San Juan San Miguel 8 South Cent.— | 100 | 100 | 100 | ****** | ••••• | ••••• | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Alamosa | 95 | | 95 | 100 | | 100 | 106 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Conejos Costilla | 125 | ****** | $\frac{101}{125}$ | 85 | | 85 | | 100 88 | 100 | 100 |
| Custer | 100 | 100 | 100 | ***** | ***** | | 100 | 100 | $\frac{116}{75}$ | $\frac{100}{100}$ |
| Huerfano Rio Grande | $\frac{97}{101}$ | 97 | $\begin{array}{c} 97 \\ 101 \end{array}$ | $\frac{95}{100}$ | 95 | $\frac{95}{100}$ | | $102 \\ 101$ | $\frac{101}{100}$ | $\frac{100}{107}$ |
| Saguache 9 Southeast— | 100 | | 100 | | ***** | | 100 | 97 | 99 | 106 |
| Baca | 83 | 94 | 91 | | | 9.0 | 93 | 93 | 98 | 104 |
| | 94 84 | 88 68 | $\frac{94}{84}$ | $\frac{100}{80}$ | 100 | 100 | 96 86 | 95 86 | 97 83 | 94 |
| Crowley Kiowa | | 95 | 95 | | 100 | 100 | 75 | 90 | 103 | $\begin{array}{c} 85 \\ 110 \end{array}$ |
| Otero | $\frac{95}{94}$ | 95 50 | $\frac{95}{94}$ | $\frac{100}{83}$ | $\frac{100}{90}$ | $\frac{100}{87}$ | 99 96 | 98 96 | 98 97 | $\frac{118}{101}$ |
| Prowers Pueblo | 99 95 | 102 93 | 99 95 | 80 80 | 90 80 | 85 80 | 105 | 102 | 101 | 134 |
| - | 20 | 0.0 | 00 | 60 | 99 | Q () | 98 | 92 | 92 | 88 |

^{*}See text on Page 4.

SUMMARY OF JUNE 1, 1920, CROP REPORT FOR COLORADO AND THE UNITED STATES

| | Ć | OLORA | DO | UNITED STATES | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| Subject 1 | 1920 | 1919 | Ave. | 1920 | 1919 | Ave. | |
| Winter Wheat— Acres for harvest Condition, per cent Production, bus14 | 861 87 4,232 | $1,064 \\ 82 \\ 11,917$ | 925¶ 86† 9,712¶ | $34,165 \\ 78.2 \\ 503,996$ | $49,905 \\ 94.9 \\ 731,636$ | 32,950§ 82.0† 563,498‡ | |
| Production, bus 8 | 395 95 3,443 | 395 89 5.728 | 325¶ 92† 5,688¶ | $\substack{19,487\\89.1\\276,547}$ | 23,338 91.2 209,000* | 18,124° 93.3† 259,000‡ | |
| All Wheat— Acreage | 1,256 $2,675$ | $1,459 \\ 17,645$ | $^{1,250\P}_{15,400\P}$ | $53,652 \\ 780,543$ | 73,243 941,000* | 52,320° 822,000‡ | |
| Acreage | 239 95 3,628 | 249 95 6,524* | 251¶ 93† 7,530¶ | 41,032 87.8 1,315,476 | 42,400 93.2 1,248,000* | 40,583° 89,9† 1,415,000‡ | |
| Acreage | 190 96 3,019 | 200 88 3,900 | 206¶ 92† 3,708¶ | $\begin{array}{c} 7,437\\ 87.6\\ 185,108\end{array}$ | $7,420 \\ 91.7 \\ 166,000$ | 7,780° 90.6† 215,000‡ | |
| Acreage | $^{122}_{91}_{1,443}$ | 143 92 1,258* | 149¶ 93† 1,043¶ | $\substack{5,470\\84.4\\80,006}$ | 7,063 93.5 88,500* | $\substack{6,391 \S \\ 89.2 \dagger \\ 59,900 \ddagger}$ | |
| Acres 1 Condition, per cent Production, tons 2 | 98 | $^{1,431}_{94}$ 2,811 | 1,384¶ 93† 2,711¶ | $71,752 \\ 88.9 \\ 111,790$ | $72,034 \\ 94.1 \\ 109,000*$ | 71,415¶ 87.9† 99,300‡ | |
| Alfalfa— Acreage Condition, per cent | $\frac{728}{99}$ | 662 * 93 | 655¶ 93† | $8,553 \\ 92.7$ | $8.224 \\ 96.9$ | 91.6 | |
| Pastures— Condition, per cent | 96 | 96 | 91† | 88.8 | 97.4 | 90.1† | |
| Field Peas— Condition, per cent | 91 | 98 | 94† | 83.0 | 85.5 | 84.7† | |
| Field Beans— Condition, per cent | 90 | 85 | 93† | 86.4 | 87.2 | \$7.5† | |
| Cabbages— Condition, per cent | 95 | 92 | 91† | 86.8 | 88.3 | 87.4† | |
| Onions— Condition, per cent | 93 | 90 | 92† | 89.3 | 92.0 | 89.6† | |
| Apples— Condition, per cent | 85 | 70 | 74† | 79.3 | 67.8 | 68.6† | |
| Production, total crop, bushels 3 | 3,204 | 2,795* | 2,067¶ | 198,965 | 147,000* | 203,0001 | |
| Peaches— Condition, per cent | 50 | 70 | 55† | 64.9 | 73.1 | 61.2† | |
| Production, total erop, bushels | 638 | 840 | 959¶ | 45,067 | 50,400* | 47,5001 | |
| Pears— Condition, per cent | 92 | 90 | 66† | 73.4 | 66.3 | 66.5† | |
| Agriculturia | | | ******* | 13,568 | 13,498* | 12,993[| |
| Production, bushels, Commercial | 414 | 382 | 182¶ | | ******* | ********* | |
| Berries (Black)— Condition, per cent | 80 | 75 | 84 | 88,1 | 93.9 | 86.1† | |
| Cantaloupes— Condition, per cent | 94 | 50 | 88 | 79.8 | 80.4 | 81.4† | |
| Sugar Beets— Condition, per cent | 94 | 83 | 91 | 92.8 | 84.7 | 90.5† | |

Note-The figures on acreage and production merely enumerate thousands and require the addition of three ciphers (000) to complete them.

^{*}December, 1919, estimate, †Ten-year average on June 1, †1914-1918 average, \$1911-1915 average, *1913-1917 average, ¶1918.